Environmental Assessment Determinations and Compliance Findings for HUD-assisted Projects 24 CFR Part 58

Project Information

Project ID: PR-RGRW-00794

Project Name: Juan Vázquez Rivera

Responsible Entity: Puerto Rico Department of Housing

Grant Recipient (if different than Responsible Entity): Same as above

State/Local Identifier: Puerto Rico/Corozal, PR

Preparer: Clifford Jarman

Certifying Officer Name and Title: Permit and Compliance Officers: Sally Acevedo Cosme, Pedro De León Rodriguez, María T. Torres Bregón, Angel G. López-Guzmán, Ivelisse Lorenzo Torres, Santa Damarys Ramírez Lebrón, and Janette I. Cambrelén

Consultant (if applicable): Tetra Tech, 251 Calle Recinto Sur, Ste. 202, San Juan, PR 00091

Direct Comments to: PRDOH (environmentcdbg@vivienda.pr.gov)

Project Location:

The property is a 5.87-acres site located at State Road PR-159, Km 7.3 Interior, in Barrio Padilla, at the west end of the Municipality of Corozal, Puerto Rico. (Parcel ID#139-052-001-08). The proposed project parcel is in both the Municipality of Corozal and Morovis, located at 18.325579, -66.367160.

The property is currently used for breeding of sheep and goats. Previously, forty years ago, this farm was used for pig breeding. The main structure is used for animal breeding and is approximately 62-foot by 60-foot with two smaller structures south and southwest. The structure to the south is covered animal pens. The main structure has electric power and water service connections.

Description of the Proposed Project [24 CFR 58.32; 40 CFR 1508.25]:

The proposed activities are for improving the sheep and goat breeding farm. The proposed use of grant funds is for the purchase of ranch materials to construct new cages for the applicant's animals and repair the existing ones, and construction of a bathroom in the existing building, construction a new fence and repair to existing fences. Also included is the purchase of wheelbarrow, shovels, blower, pressure washer, trimmer, fumigation pump, and a water tank.

The main structure is a 62-foot by 60-foot one-story rectangular concrete agricultural building located at 18.325579, -66.367160. The roof is a front gable corrugated metal roof over metal structure with an open covered area to the rear. The proposed improvements inside the existing main structure, include the addition of a new bathroom; addition of new animal cages; repair to existing gages and dividers; with a new fence to the east side (front) of the main structure.

No new utilities meters are needed for water and power connection from the applicant's house.

The work includes repairing the existing fences. One fence is located to the northwest of the main structure, at 18.3259130, -66.3674027. Another fence is located north of the main structure, at 18.3258681, -66.3671590.

Site photos are included in **Appendix A**. A site map (Figure 1) is included in **Appendix B**.

Statement of Purpose and Need for the Proposal [40 CFR 1508.9(b)]:

The Re-Grow Puerto Rico Urban-Rural Agriculture Program (RGRW) will increase agricultural capacity while promoting and increasing food security inland wide. This Program will enhance and expand agricultural production related to economic revitalization and sustainable development activities. This agricultural project associated with the purchase of ranch materials to improve and add on to the animal breeding building, build a new fence and repair existing fences, and purchase of power equipment and hand tools is in keeping with the overall objectives of the Economic Development Program.

Existing Conditions and Trends [24 CFR 58.40(a)]:

The land is used for agricultural purposes; therefore, there is no change in land use associated with the project.

Structure of this Environmental Review Report (ERR).

This ERR discusses the Funding Information immediately below. The environmental impacts of the proposed action are discussed in the Compliance with 24 CFR 58.5 and 58.6 Laws and Authorities checklist and Environmental Factors checklist. The listing of Additional Studies Performed, and Sources, Agencies and Persons Consulted follows the checklists. The discussions of Public Outreach, Cumulative Impacts, Alternatives, and Summary of Findings and Conclusions are presented at the end of the ERR, before the listing of Mitigation Measures and Determination signatures.

The appendices contain detailed information.

Appendix A – Site Inspection

Appendix B – Maps

Appendix C – Additional Documentation

Appendix D – Endangered Species

Appendix E – SHPO Consultation

Funding Information

Grant Number	HUD Program	Funding Amount
B-18-DP-72-0001	CDBG-DR, Re-Grow Puerto Rico	\$172,500,000
B-17-DM-72-0001	Urban-Rural Agricultural	
	Program	

Estimated Total HUD Funded Amount: \$43,855.51

Estimated Total Project Cost (HUD and non-HUD funds) [24 CFR 58.32(d)]: \$43,855.51

Compliance with 24 CFR 58.5 and 58.6 Laws and Authorities

Record below the compliance or conformance determinations for each statute, executive order, or regulation. Provide credible, traceable, and supportive source documentation for each authority. Where applicable, complete the necessary reviews or consultations and obtain or note applicable permits of approvals. Clearly note citations, dates/names/titles of contacts, and page references. Attach additional documentation as appropriate.

Compliance Factors: Statutes, Executive Orders, and Regulations listed at 24 CFR §58.5 and §58.6	Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?	Compliance determinations
STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS,	AND REGULATION	ONS LISTED AT 24 CFR 58.6
Airport Hazards 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D	Yes No	The project consists of the purchase of ranch materials to improve and add on to the animal breeding building including adding a bathroom; construct new cages, build a new fence and repair existing fences; and purchase of power equipment and hand tools, and a water tank. The nearest civil airport is approximately 104,514 feet from the proposed site. The nearest military airport is approximately 131,687 feet from the proposed site. The project site is not within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a

		civilian airport. The project is in compliance with Airport Hazards requirements. Refer to Figure 2 in Appendix B.
Coastal Barrier Resources Coastal Barrier Resources Act, as amended by the Coastal Barrier Improvement Act of 1990 [16 USC 3501]	Yes No	Puerto Rico has various Coastal Barrier Resources Systems (CBRS). The project is in inland northern Puerto Rico. The distance to the nearest CBRS unit is 51,749 feet. Therefore, this project has no potential to impact a CBRS Unit and is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act. Refer to Figure 3 in Appendix B .
Flood Insurance Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 and National Flood Insurance Reform Act of 1994 [42 USC 4001-4128 and 42 USC 5154a]	Yes No	The Project site is not located in a 100-year floodplain per Floodplain Insurance Map 72000C0680H, effective date April 19, 2005. This project is in compliance with Floodplain Insurance requirements. (See Figures 4, 5, 6, and 7 in Appendix B .)
STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS,	AND REGULATI	ONS LISTED AT 24 CFR 58.5
Clean Air Clean Air Act, as amended, particularly section 176(c) & (d); 40 CFR Parts 6, 51, 93	Yes No	The Project site is not located in a county or air quality management district that is in attainment status or non-attainment status for any criteria pollutants. The Municipio of Corozal is not listed in the EPA Green Book "Puerto Rico Nonattainment/Maintenance Status for Each County by Year for all Criteria Pollutants" The project consisting of the purchase of ranch materials to improve and add on to the animal breeding building including adding a bathroom; construct new cages, build a new fence and repair existing fences; and purchase of power equipment and hand tools, and a water tank will have no impact on air quality. The project is in compliance with Clean Air Act. Refer to EPA listing in Appendix C .
Coastal Zone Management Coastal Zone Management Act, sections 307(c) & (d)	Yes No	The project is located 49,054 feet from the nearest Coastal Zone Management area and does not affect a Coastal Zone as defined in the PR Coastal Zone Management Plan. The project is in compliance with the Coastal Zone Management Act. See Figure 8 in Appendix B .

Substances 24 CFR Part 58.5(i)(2)	Yes No	there were several 55-gallon drums on the site. There no signs on leaks. Fertilizer storage is inside the main structure. At the time of the inspection, no debris or rubbish or visible signs vegetative stress, contamination, or toxic substances were noted at the project site. The new processing building would include a room for the compliant storage of fertilizer. See Appendix A for site inspection. There are no sites of environmental concern identified within 3,000 feet of the project site. Refer to Figures 9 and 10 in Appendix B . The project is in compliance with Contamination and Toxic Substances.
Endangered Species Endangered Species Act of 1973, particularly section 7; 50 CFR Part 402	Yes No	The project will have No Effect on listed species. According to EPA NEPAssist Enviromapper, the nearest critical or proposed critical habitat is 61,127 feet to the west of the project location. Per the Official Species List from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) Information for Planning and Consultation (IPaC) website, the Puerto Rican Boa can be found, but there are no critical habitats at this location. The proposed project will have no potential to affect species or habitats due to the developed nature of the project site. The project consists of the purchase of ranch materials to improve and add on to the animal breeding building including adding a bathroom; construct new cages, building a new fence in an existing developed area, and repair existing fences; and purchase of power equipment and hand tools, and a water tank.
		If a Puerto Rican Boa is encountered, work will cease until it moves off the site or, failing that, the Puerto Rico Department of Natural and Environmental Resources (PRDNER) Rangers will be notified for safe capture and relocation of the animal, in accordance with the USFW Puerto Rican Boa Conservation Measures guidelines. Refer to Figures 11 and 12 in Appendix B and IPaC report in Appendix D. This project is in compliance with the Endangered Species Act.

Explosive and Flammable	Yes No	The project does not include development,
Hazards		construction, or rehabilitation that will increase residential density.
24 CFR Part 51 Subpart C		The project is in compliance with Explosive and Flammable Hazard requirements.
		Refer to site visit report in Appendix A .
Farmlands Protection Farmland Protection Policy Act of 1981, particularly sections 1504(b) and 1541; 7 CFR Part 658	Yes No	The eastern portion of the project site is designated as farmland of statewide importance. The project consists of the purchase of ranch materials to improve and add on to the animal breeding building including adding a bathroom; construct new cages, build a new fence and repair existing fences; and purchase of power equipment and hand tools, and a water tank. The project does not include any activities that could potentially convert agricultural land to nonagricultural use. This project is in compliance with the Farmland Protection Policy Act. Refer to Figure 13 in Appendix B.
Floodplain Management Executive Order 11988, particularly section 2(a); 24 CFR Part 55	Yes No	The Project site is not located in a 100-year floodplain per Floodplain Insurance Map 72000C0680H, effective date April 19, 2005. The project site is not located in Preliminary Firm or an Advisory Base Flood Elevation (ABFE) special flood hazard area. See Figures 4, 5, 6, and 7. This project is in compliance with Floodplain Management Requirements. (See maps in Appendix B.)
Historic Preservation National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, particularly sections 106 and 110; 36 CFR Part 800	Yes No	The site was evaluated on December 15, 2022, by and SOI Qualified Archaeologist. Documentation with maps was subsequently submitted to SHPO on February 3, 2023 (Appendix E). SHPO concurred with a finding of No Historic Properties Affected within the project's Area of Potential on Effects on February 28, 2023. This project is in compliance with Historic Preservation requirements. (See Figure 14 in Appendix B and Appendix E).
Noise Abatement and Control Noise Control Act of 1972, as amended by the Quiet	Yes No	HUD's noise regulations protect residential properties from excessive noise exposure. The project consists of the purchase of ranch materials to improve and add on to the animal breeding building including adding a bathroom;

Communities Act of 1978; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart B		construct new cages, build a new fence and repair existing fences; and purchase of power equipment and hand tools, and a water tank. HUD noise regulations do not apply as the project does not include new construction for residential use or rehabilitation of an existing residential property. The proposed project is in compliance with Noise Abatement and Control.
Sole Source Aquifers Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974, as amended, particularly section 1424(e); 40 CFR Part 149	Yes No	There are no EPA sole source aquifers in Puerto Rico. The project is in compliance with Sole Source Aquifer requirements. Refer to Figure 15 in Appendix B .
Wetlands Protection Executive Order 11990, particularly sections 2 and 5	Yes No	The north coast is 59,664 feet miles north from the property. The closest fresh-water body is a tributary of Río Unibón, on the western boundary of the property, and Río Unibón, 528 miles northeast of the property.
		The NWI maps show a riverine wetlands on the western boundary of the property approximately 75 feet west of the project site associated with the tributary of Río Unibón. The project site is already developed. The repair of one of the old fences would be close to the NWI riverine wetland. During construction standard best management practices (BMPs) will be used to minimize erosion and runoff.
		With these mitigations this project would not impact any on or off-site wetlands and includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11990. Refer to Figure 16 in Appendix B .
Wild and Scenic Rivers Wild and Scenic Rivers Act of 1968, particularly section 7(b) and (c)	Yes No	This project is not within proximity of a National Wild and Scenic River (WSR). The distance to the nearest WSR is approximately 199,344 feet. The project is in compliance with the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act. Refer to Figure 17 in Appendix B .

ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE			
Environmental Justice Executive Order 12898	Yes No	No adverse environmental impacts were identified in any other compliance review portion of this project that may disproportionately be high for low-income and/or minority communities. Therefore, the project is in compliance with Executive Order 12898.	

Environmental Assessment Factors [24 CFR 58.40; Ref. 40 CFR 1508.8 & 1508.27] Recorded below is the qualitative and quantitative significance of the effects of the proposal on the character, features and resources of the project area. Each factor has been evaluated and documented, as appropriate and in proportion to its relevance to the proposed action. Verifiable source documentation has been provided and described in support of each determination, as appropriate. Credible, traceable, and supportive source documentation for each authority has been provided. Where applicable, the necessary reviews or consultations have been completed and applicable permits of approvals have been obtained or noted. Citations, dates/names/titles of contacts, and page references are clear. Additional documentation is attached, as appropriate. All conditions, attenuation or mitigation measures have been clearly identified.

Impact Codes: Use an impact code from the following list to make the determination of impact for each factor.

- (1) Minor beneficial impact
- (2) No impact anticipated
- (3) Minor Adverse Impact May require mitigation
- **(4)** Significant or potentially significant impact requiring avoidance or modification which may require an Environmental Impact Statement

Environmental	Impact	
Assessment Factor	Code	Impact Evaluation
LAND DEVELOP	MENT	
Conformance with Plans / Compatible Land Use and Zoning / Scale and Urban Design		The proposed project is located on a private farm. The project consists of the purchase of ranch materials to improve and add on to the animal breeding building including adding a bathroom; construct new cages, build a new fence and repair existing fences; and purchase of power equipment and hand tools, and a water tank. The project site is not zoned. The

		proposed action is compliant with the current agricultural land use of the Project area.
Soil Suitability/ Slope/ Erosion/ Drainage/ Storm Water Runoff	2	The proposed project is located in a rural, mountainous area, on soil classified as Consumo clay (CuF), with 40 to 60 percent slopes, and covered with dense, secondary woodland vegetation west of the property. This terrain is 185 meters above the mean sea level.
		The area with the existing structure is rated "low" for landslide susceptibility, while the areas for repair of the fences range from "moderate to very high" (see Figure 18 in Appendix B). The proposed location of the work includes existing developed area and fences. During construction BMPs would be used to minimize erosion and runoff. Afterward there will be little to no additional runoff associated with the project.
Hazards and Nuisances including Site Safety and Noise	2	During implementation of the project, construction activities may result in temporary elevation of ambient noise levels in immediate areas around active construction areas. The only nearby receptors are the residents of the farm.
		There is no access to the project area by the public. Standard BMPs, such as construction fencing, would be applied to protect the farm resident public from typical construction hazards.
Energy Consumption	2	The project site is connected to the local electricity provider. The project would result in a minor increase in electrical usage at the site for new lights in the building. There would be no substantial change in energy demand in the area.
Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation
SOCIOECONON	ΛIC	
Employment and Income Patterns	2	Temporary employment of workers related to construction activities would result, but no new permanent jobs would be created as a result of this project. These workers are expected to come from the local region. However, since the project will include an economic component, it may aid in restoring some employment opportunities and increase income. The proposed project would not negatively impact
Domographia	2	employment or income patterns.
Demographic Character	<u> </u>	The proposed project would not result in demographic character changes or displacement. Given the nature of the

Changes,	project area, no relocations or demolition of residential
Displacement	structures or businesses would occur as part of this project.

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation
COMMUNITY FA	CILITIES A	AND SERVICES
Educational and Cultural Facilities	2	The project would not result in any change to regional or local area educational and cultural facilities or increase demand for them.
Commercial Facilities	2	The agricultural activity of the project property will improve. Other commercial facilities would not be impacted by the proposed project.
Health Care and Social Services	2	Health care and social services facilities would not be impacted by the proposed project. The project would not increase demand for health care and social services facilities.
Solid Waste Disposal / Recycling	2	Waste vegetation from fencing activities will either be composted on site or at regional composting centers. Any soil from these activities would be recycled on the farm as fill. Left over construction materials that could be reused on the farm (e.g., fencing, roofing, cage materials) would be stored for later use. The remaining construction solid waste materials would be collected for transport to the local landfill. The amount of impact of solid waste resulting from the construction of the proposed project would be minor. During operations, the products and byproducts would be agricultural, which waste would be biodegradable.
Wastewater / Sanitary Sewers	2	The proposed project would include a new bathroom in the existing building. No new sewer facilities are included in the project. Current farm conditions would remain unchanged.
Water Supply	2	Applicant has access to a water supply from the local utility. The proposed project will have minor impact on water usage.
Public Safety - Police, Fire and	2	The proposed project would not create any new demand for emergency or health services.

Emergency Medical		
Parks, Open Space and Recreation	2	The proposed project would not create or destroy any new parks, open space, or recreational activities. It also would not increase use of those facilities.
Transportation and Accessibility	2	The proposed project would not involve the creation of new roads nor any increase in long-term traffic on existing roads. There would be some minor use of the existing road during construction. All residents and businesses would retain access to their properties during and after the project.

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation
NATURAL FEATURES		
Unique Natural Features, Water Resources	2	The proposed project will be situated on previously developed site, will use BMPs during any construction, and thus would have no impact to unique natural features or water resources.
Vegetation, Wildlife	2	The proposed project will occur on land previously developed and used for agricultural purposes and will continue in that capacity. The proposed project will have no impact on vegetation and wildlife.
Climate Change	2	This is a small project with no measurable impact on climate change factors. With respect to climate change impact on the project, agricultural activities could be affected by drought. The project site is currently developed for use in breeding animals.

Additional Studies Performed: None

Field Inspection (Date and completed by):

Site inspection was conducted on November 9, 2022 by Carlos Medina.

List of Sources, Agencies and Persons Consulted [40 CFR 1508.9(b)]:

Puerto Rico State Historic Preservation Office

FAA, National Plan for Integrated Airport Systems:

www.faa.gov/airports/planning_capacity/npias/reports/NPIAS-Report-2017-2021-Appendix-B-Part6.pdf

John H. Chafee Coastal Barrier Resources System, Puerto Rico <u>map.</u> www.fws.gov/CBRA/Maps/Locator/PR.pdf

National Wild and Scenic Rivers System: www.rivers.gov/puerto-rico.php

Puerto Rico Community Development Block Grant Disaster Recovery Action Plan, July 2018. www.cdbg-dr.pr.gov/en/action-plan/

Programmatic Agreement among the Federal Emergency Management Agency, the Puerto Rico State Historic Preservation Office and the Central Office for Recovery, Reconstruction and Resilience – amended to include the Puerto Rico Department of Housing.

US Environmental Protection Agency, National Ambient Air Quality Standards, Nonattainment Areas for Criteria Pollutants (Green Book): www3.epa.gov/airquality/greenbook/anayo pr.html

US EPA, Environmental Topics, Air Topics: www.epa.gov/environmental-topics/air-topics

US Fish and Wildlife Service, Environmental Conservation Online System: https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/report/species-listings-by-state?stateAbbrev=PR&stateName=Puerto%20Rico&statusCategory=Listed

Federal Emergency Management Agency, Flood Mapping Service: https://msc.fema.gov/portal/home (compilation of numerous maps)

US Fish and Wildlife Service, National Wetlands Inventory:

<u>www.fws.gov/wetlands/data/mapper.html</u> (compilation of numerous maps)

Puerto Rico Coastal Zone Management Program Plan, September 2009.

US EPA, Sole Source Aquifers. Esri HERE, Garmin, NOAA, USGS, EPA.

US Geological Survey, Data Release of May Showing Concentration of Landslides Caused by Hurricane Maria,

www.sciencebase.gov/catalog/item/59de6459e4b05fe04ccd39d8

List of Permits Obtained:

None

Public Outreach [24 CFR 58.43]:

The local community has been very proactive in the recovery process. Puerto Rico Department of Agriculture has worked closely with the agricultural community. The project includes a FONSI / NOI-RROF in compliance with NEPA regulations for HUD.

Cumulative Impact Analysis [24 CFR 58.32]:

In accordance with 24 CFR 58.32 (Aggregation), there are no cumulative impacts associated with the proposed project. The growth of agricultural products at the farm would not increase. The project would allow more efficient growth and handling of the agricultural products. Water use would increase to a minor degree in the existing building but would only impact the existing site water supply. There are no other wells nearby to be affected.

Alternatives [24 CFR 58.40(e); 40 CFR 1508.9]

The RGRW Program's goal is to increase agricultural capacity while promoting and increasing food security island-wide. This Program seeks to enhance and expand agricultural production related to economic revitalization and sustainable development activities. The applicant submitted a proposal to enhance and expand agricultural production on their property. The proposed use of grant funds will allow the applicant to expand the handling of agricultural products through the purchase of ranch materials to improve and add on to the animal breeding building including a new bathroom; adding cages, build a new fence and repair existing fences; and the purchase of power equipment and hand tools and a water tank. Any alternative that would involve an off-property location would not enhance and expand agricultural production or allow for the economic development for this applicant. The actions are proposed in an existing building already used for breeding animals with existing water and power supplies. The proposed site has already been developed, developed. Any other on-site location would need to be wholly constructed and would require new electric and water service connections.

No Action Alternative [24 CFR 58.40(e)]:

Under the No Action Alternative, the applicant would not receive federal funding for the purchase of ranch materials to improve and add on to the animal breeding building including the addition of a new bathroom; adding cages, build a new fence and repair existing fences; or the purchase of power equipment and hand tools and a water tank which would inhibit the economic growth opportunity that the applicant would not otherwise have under the PRDOH Re-Grow Puerto Rico program. As a result, these owners may not be able to experience the growth needed to recover and expand their agriculture activities. A provision of the grant allows for economic development for businesses. The No-Action alternative would not allow for the economic development for this applicant.

Summary of Findings and Conclusions:

The proposed activity has been found to not have any adverse effects on the environment nor is there the requirement for further consultation with any agency. There are no environmental review topics addressed that result in the need for formal compliance steps or the requirement for mitigation.

Mitigation Measures and Conditions [40 CFR 1505.2(c)]

Summarize below all mitigation measures adopted by the Responsible Entity to reduce, avoid, or eliminate adverse environmental impacts and to avoid non-compliance or non-conformance with the above-listed authorities and factors. These measures/conditions must be incorporated into project contracts, development agreements, and other relevant documents. The staff responsible for implementing and monitoring mitigation measures should be clearly identified in the mitigation plan.

Law, Authority, or Factor	Mitigation Measure				
Wetlands Protection	Standard construction BMPs would be used to control erosion and runoff during construction.				
Soil Suitability/ Slope/ Erosion/ Drainage/ Storm Water Runoff	Standard construction BMPs would be used to control erosion and runoff during construction.				

Determination:
Finding of No Significant Impact [24 CFR 58.40(g)(1); 40 CFR 1508.27]
The project will not result in a significant impact on the quality of the human environment.
Finding of Significant Impact [24 CFR 58.40(g)(2); 40 CFR 1508.27]
The project may significantly affect the quality of the human environment.
Preparer Signature:Date: 03/27/23
Name/Title/Organization: Clifford Jarman, Senior Environmental Scientist, Tetra Tech Inc.
Certifying Officer Signature: <u>Janta Olanive Jebro</u> Date: <u>May 18</u> , 2023
Name/Title: Santa D. Ramírez Lebrón / Permits and Environmental Compliance Specialist

This original, signed document and related supporting material must be retained on file by the Responsible Entity in an Environmental Review Record (ERR) for the activity/project (ref: 24 CFR Part 58.38) and in accordance with recordkeeping requirements for the HUD program(s).

Appendix A





Environmental Field Observation - Puerto Rico Department of Housing

APPLICANT INFORMATION						
Application ID	PR-RGRW-00794					
Applicant Name						
Property Address						
	139-052-001-08					
Coordinates						
Inspector Name						
Inspection Date						
Building Type						
	Lean To					
Number of Units	1					
Number of Stories	1					
Year Built; Data Source	c2010; Historian					
ENVIRONMENTAL OBSERVATIONS (attach photos and notes, as necessary, for any YES answers)						
OBSERVATION ITEMS	YES	NO	COMMENTS			
A. Is the structure in use?	V		The farm structure is constructed on metal roof, cement walls and floor. Some areas has metal fences and wood.			
B. is structure a greenhouse?		V				
C. Is Electricity connected?	V		Structured has service. Applicant plan to add lights fixtures and power outlets in the future.			
D. Is water connected? (Utilities or Well)	Ø		Structured has services of public water. Not water well, not water cistern connected. In the future he wants to install a water cistern, at the time of the inspection, applicant does not know where to do the installation.			
1. Are there signs of poor housekeeping on site? (mounds of rubble, garbage, storm debris, solid waste, petroleum products, paint, pesticides, cleaning fluids, vehicle batteries, abandoned vehicles, pits, pools, ponds of hazardous substances, etc.)		Ø				
2. Are there any 55-gallon drums visible on site? If yes, are they leaking?	Ø		55 Gallon drums scattered around property, non leaking.			
3 . Are there any (or signs of any) underground storage tanks on the property?		V				
4 . Are there signs of ASTs on the parcel or adjacent parcel? If yes, list approximate size and contents, if known.	Ø		500 gallons 500 gallons Empty			
5. Is there any stained soil or pavement on the parcel?		Ø				
6. Is a water drainage system in use?	Ø		Water flow is to the right of the property, directly to the soil			
7. Is a warehouse in use for storage of Fertilizer or Pesticides ?	Ø		Storage is separate and lock			
8 . Are there any groundwater monitoring wells on the site or adjacent parcel?		Ø				
9. Is there evidence of a faulty septic system?						







10. Is there distressed vegetation on the parcel?	V	
11. Is there any visible indication of MOLD?	Ø	
12 . Is there any visible evidence of asbestos , chipping , flaking or peeling paint , or hazardous materials present in or on the structure?	V	
13. Are any additional site hazards observed?		
14 . Is there any permanent standing water , such as a pond or stream, located on the site (do not include ponding from recent rain / weather events)?	Ī	
15 . Does the subject property have water frontage ?	☑	
16 . Is there any indication of the presence of Wetlands ?	V	
17 . Are there any obvious signs of animals or birds nesting on or near the site?	V	
18 . Is the applicant aware of any significant historical event or persons associated with the structure, or of it being located in a historic district/area?	V	
19. Is a historic marker present?	Ī	

Additional Notes:

Case: PR-RGWR-00794 No septic system installed.

Properties is in the boundaries of the municipalities of Corozal an Morovis.

Farm is located approximately .50 miles southwest of the University of Puerto Rico Agricultural Experimental Program facilities in Corozal municipal.

- 1. Scope of Work: Includes the:
- [] Purchase of ranch materials to construct new cages for animals.
- [] Repair the existing cages
- [] Construction of a bathroom
- [] Construction of a new fence and repair the old one
- [] The purchase of wheelbarrow, shovels, blower, trimmer, fumigation pump and a water tank.
- 2. Land current in use for: Breeding of sheep and goats.
- 3. Past land use was: Breeding of pigs (40 years ago)
- 4. What the applicant plans to do:
- [] Repair of cages
- [] Repair of a bathroom
- [] Repair of a fence
- [] Construct a new fence
- [] Construct of new cages
- 5. Where the applicant plans to do the ground disturbance for the new structures:
- [] Applicant stated the repairs of the perimeter fences and new construction. This fence are located in the perimeter northwest back of the structured: 18.3259130, -66.3674027
- [] Another fence is located at the right side perimeter of the structure: 18.3258681, -66.3671590
- [] A new cages inside the main structure.
- [] A roof and fence in the front side of the structure. This consist in a metal roof and metal fence.








-[] A construction of a bathroom in a room located in the right-back side of the structure.

See attached pictures in question of "Structured in use"

- 6. Any new water connection, well, cisterns or power connection:
- [] Applicant stated he wants to add new water connections with PVC tubes to the different cages.
- [] No new utilities meters needed.
 [] Power connections and water are from the applicant main house connections.





Side #1 of Structure
Photo Direction: Northwest



Facing Away From Side #1

Photo Direction: Southeast





Photo Direction: Northeast



Facing Away From Back

Photo Direction: Southwest





Photo Direction: Southwest

Side #2 of Structure



Facing Away From Side #2

Photo Direction: Northeast





Streetscape #1
Photo Direction: North



Streetscape #2

Photo Direction: East









Photo Description: Usuad outbuilding
Photo Direction: Northwest



Environmentally Sensitive Areas

Photo Description: Water drainage

Photo Direction: Southeast





Photo Description: Architectural details

Photo Direction: Southeast



Structural Details

Photo Description: Architectural details

Photo Direction: Northeast





Photo Description: Architectural details

Photo Direction: Southwest



Structural Details

Photo Description: Architectural details

Photo Direction: West





Photo Description: Architectural details

Photo Direction: Northwest



Structural Details

Photo Description: Architectural details

Photo Direction: North





Photo Description: Architectural details

Photo Direction: South



Structural Details

Photo Description: Architectural details

Photo Direction: Southwest





Photo Description: Architectural details

Photo Direction: Northwest



Structural Details

Photo Description: Architectural details

Photo Direction: North






Photo Description: Architectural details

Photo Direction: East



Structural Details

Photo Description: Architectural details

Photo Direction: North





Photo Description: Architectural details

Photo Direction: Southeast



Structural Details

Photo Description: Architectural details

Photo Direction: East





Photo Description: Architectural details

Photo Direction: Northeast



Structural Details

Photo Description: Architectural details

Photo Direction: South






Photo Description: Architectural details

Photo Direction: Southwest



Structural Details

Photo Description: Architectural details

Photo Direction: Northeast





Structural Details

Photo Description: Architectural details

Photo Direction: North



Structural Details

Photo Description: Architectural details

Photo Direction: Northwest





Structural Details

Photo Description: Architectural details

Photo Direction: Southwest



Structural Details

Photo Description: Architectural details

Photo Direction: Northeast





Structural Details

Photo Description: Architectural details

Photo Direction: Southeast



Photo Description: Structure in use

Photo Direction: West



Structure Occupied

Photo Description: Cages for breeding og goats and sheep

Photo Direction: Southwest





Photo Description: Main structure

Photo Direction: Northwest



Structure Occupied

Photo Description: Scope of work area

Photo Direction: South





Photo Description: Scope of work area

Photo Direction: North



Structure Occupied

Photo Description: Scope of work area

Photo Direction: Northeast





Photo Description: Scope of work area

Photo Direction: West



Structure Occupied

Photo Description: Scope of work area

Photo Direction: East





Photo Description: Scope of work area

Photo Direction: Southwest



Structure Occupied

Photo Description: Scope of work area

Photo Direction: West





Photo Description: Scope of work area

Photo Direction: Southwest



Photo Description: Power connected
Photo Direction: Northwest



55-Gallon Drums

Photo Description: 55 gallons drums

Photo Direction: Northeast





Aboveground Storage Tanks

Photo Direction: Northwest

Photo Description: Empty water cistern



Appendix B






Parcels







APPLICANT ID: PR-RGRW-00794





Legend

- Tier 2 Site
- Civilian Runway Protection Zones
- Military Accident Potential Zones
- Airport Runways
- Civilian Airport 2,500 Feet Buffer
- Military Airport 15,000 Feet Buffer

Distance to Nearest Airport in Feet: 104514


PUERTO RICO







APPLICANT ID: PR-RGRW-00794





Coastal Barrier Resources
System Unit Type

Otherwise Protected Area

System Unit

Distance to Nearest Coastal Barrier Resources System in Feet: 51,749


PUERTO RICO





3. CUASTAL BARRIERS IMPROVEMENT

APPLICANT ID: PR-RGRW-00794










APPLICANT ID: PR-RGRW-00794

ADDRESS: CARRETRA 159 KM 7.3 INTERIOR, PR 00783 Parcel Coordinates: 18.325579, -66.367160



PUERTO RICO


PUERTORICO o Ponce

San Juan

Area Of Minimal Flood Hazard

S' NATIONAL FLOOD HAZARD LAYER FIR





Feet

2.000

250

500

1,000


1,500

1:6.000

Basemap: USGS National Map: Orthoimagery: Data refreshed October, 2020

Legend

SEE FIS REPORT FOR DETAILED LEGEND AND INDEX MAP FOR FIRM PANEL LAYOUT



This map complies with FEMA's standards for the use of digital flood maps if it is not void as described below. The basemap shown complies with FEMA's basemap


The flood hazard information is derived directly from the authoritative NFHL web services provided by FEMA. This map was exported on 3/24/2023 at 1:54 PM and does not reflect changes or amendments subsequent to this date and time. The NFHL and effective information may change or become superseded by new data over time.

This map image is void if the one or more of the following map elements do not appear: basemap imagery, flood zone labels, legend, scale bar, map creation date, community identifiers, FIRM panel number, and FIRM effective date. Map images for unmapped and unmodernized areas cannot be used for regulatory purposes.

E O. COMPARISON OF FLOOD HAS



Effective & Preliminary Flood Hazards Parcel Coordinates: 18.325579, -66.367160





Effective								
POI Longitude/Latitude	-66.3672, 18.3256							
Effective FIRM Panel	72000C0680H							
Effective Date	4/19/2005							
Flood Zone	Х							
Static BFE*	Not Available							
Flood Depth	Not Available							
Vertical Datum	Not Available							

Preliminary									
POI Longitude/Latitude	-66.3672, 18.3256								
Preliminary FIRM Panel	72000C0680H								
Preliminary Issue Date	11/16/2018								
Flood Zone	Not Available								
Estimated Static BFE*	Not Available								
Estimated Flood Depth	Not Available								
Vertical Datum	Not Available								

* A Base Flood Elevation is the expected elevation of flood water during the 1% annual chance storm event. Structures below the estimated water surface elevation may experience flooding during a base flood event.

Hazard Level

Flood Hazard Zone

High Flood Hazard

AE, A, AH, AO, VE and V Zones. Properties in these flood zones have a 1% chance of flooding each year. This represents a 26% chance of flooding over the life of a 30-year mortgage.

Moderate Flood Hazard **Shaded Zone X.** Properties in the moderate flood risk areas also have a chance of flooding from storm events that have a less than 1% chance of occurring each year. Moderate flood risk indicates an area that may be provided flood risk reduction due to a flood control system or an area that is prone to flooding during a 0.2% annual chance storm event. These areas may have been indicated as areas of shallow flooding by your community.


Unshaded Zone X. Properties on higher ground and away from local flooding sources have a reduced flood risk when compared to the Moderate and High Flood Risk categories. Structures in these areas may be affected by larger storm events, in excess of the 0.2% annual chance storm event.

Low Flood Hazard

Insurance Note: High Risk Areas are called 'Special Flood Hazard Areas' and flood insurance is mandatory for federally backed mortgage holders. Properties in Moderate and Low Flood Risk areas may purchase flood insurance at a lower-cost rate, known as Preferred Risk Policies. See your local insurance agent or visit https://www.fema.gov/national-flood-insurance-program for more information.

Disclaimer: This report is for informational purposes only and is not authorized for official use. The positional accuracy may be compromised in some areas. Please contact your local floodplain administrator for more information or go to msc.fema.gov to view an official copy of the Flood Insurance Rate Maps.

Service Layer Credits: USGS, USDA



My Map Parcel Coordinates: 18.325579, -66.367160



100ft

FEMA



Legend





Coastal Zone Management Boundary

Distance to nearest Coastal Zone Management Boundary in feet: 49,054


PUERTO RICO








APPLICANT ID: PR-RGRW-00794









TOXIC CHEMICALS AND GASSES, HAZARDOUS MATERIA

CONTAMINATION, AND RADIOACTIVE SUBSTANCES APPLICANT ID: PR-RGRW-00794



C 10: NEPASSIST MAP





PUERTO RICO







Date: 10/4/2022



APPLICANT ID: PR-RGRW-00794





Legend

Tier 2 Site

Common Name

Llanero Coqui

Puerto Rico harlequin butterfly

Distance to Nearest Critical Habitat in Feet: 61,127

PUERTO RICO






Date: 2/21/2023



APPLICANT ID: PR-RGRW-00794





Legend



Farm Class

All areas are prime farmland

Farmland of statewide importance

Farmland of statewide importance, if irrigated

Prime farmland if drained

Prime farmland if irrigated

Prime farmland if irrigated and reclaimed of excess salts and sodium

Prime farmland if protected from flooding or not frequently flooded during the growing season


PUERTO RICO








APPLICANT ID: PR-RGRW-00794








PUERTO RICO o Ponce




Date: 10/24/2022

= 14: HISTORIC PRESERVATION

APPLICANT ID: PR-RGRW-00794










APPLICANT ID: PR-RGRW-00794

ADDRESS: CARRETRA 159 KM 7.3 INTERIOR, PR 00783

Parcel Coordinates: 18.325579, -66.367160




PUERTO RICO

PUERTO RICO o Ponce

San Juan

Biscayne Aquifer SSA Streamflow and Recharge

Source Zones




E 10: WEILANDS

APPLICANT ID: PR-RGRW-00794

ADDRESS: CARRETRA 159 KM 7.3 INTERIOR, PR 00783

Parcel Coordinates: 18.325579, -66.367160



Ponce 324 m 517 m Guayama

PUERTO RICO

Mayaguez PUERTO RICO


Estuarine and Marine Deepwater Estuarine and Marine Wetland Freshwater Emergent Wetland Freshwater Forested/Shrub Wetland

Freshwater Pond

Lake

TETRA TECH

Source: U. S. Fish & Wildlife Service https://www.fws.gov Date: 10/4/2022



Legend





Distance to Nearest Wild and Scenic River in Feet: 199,344


PUERTO RICO








APPLICANT ID: PR-RGRW-00794







Extremely High Very High High Moderate


PUERTO RICO







APPLICANT ID: PR-RGRW-00794



Appendix C

O EPA Med States Environmental Protection Agen

Green Book

You are here: EPA Home > Green Book > National Area and Counly -Level Multi-Pollutant Information > Puerto Rico Nonattainment/Maintenance Status for Each County by Year ior All Criteria Pollutants

Puerto Rico Nonattainment/Maintenance Status for Each County by Year for All Criteria Pollutants

Data is current as of December 31, 2021

Listed by County, NAAQS, Area. The 8-hour Ozone (1997) standard was revoked on April 6, 2015 and the 1-hour Ozone (1979) standard was revoked on June 15, 2005.

• The 1997 Primary Annual Ptvl-2.5 NAAQS (level of 15 µg/m³) is revoked in attainment and maintenance areas for that NAAQS. For additional information see the PM-2.5 NAAQS SIP Requirements Final Rule, effective October 24, 2016. (81 FR 58009).

mportant Notes									Download N	Download National Datasetd bf 🔏																	
County	NMQS	Area Name	Nonattainment in Year									Redesignation to Maintenance	Classification	Whole or/ Part County	Population (2010)	State/ County FPS Codes											
PUERTO RICO																											
Arecibo Municipio	Lead (2008)	Arecibo, FR														11	12	13 14	15	16 17	18	19 20 2	1//		Part	32,185	72/013
Bayamon Municipio	Sulfur Dioxide (2010)	San Juan, FR																			18	19 20 2	11		Part	22,921	72/021
Catano Municipio	Sulfur Dioxide (2010)	San Juan, PR																			18	19 20 2	11		Whole	28,140	72/033
Guaynabo Municipio	PM-10 (1987)	Mun. of Guaynabo, FR	92	93 94	4 95	96 9	7 98	99 0	0 01	02	03 04	4 05	06	07 0	8 09								02/11/2010	Moderate	Part	90,470	72/061
Guaynabo Municipio	Sulfur Dioxide (2010)	San Juan, PR																			18	19 20 2	11		Part	23,802	72/061
Salinas Municipio	Sulfur Dioxide (2010)	Guayama-Salinas, FR																			18	19 20 2	11		Part	23,401	72/123
San Juan Municipio	Sulfur Dioxide (2010)	San Juan, PR																			18	19 20 2	11		Part	147,963	72/127
Toa Baja Municipio	Sulfur Dioxide (2010)	San Juan, PR																			18	19 20 2	1/		Part	52,441	72/137

Appendix D

IPaC resource list

This report is an automatically generated list of species and other resources such as critical habitat (collectively referred to as *trust resources*) under the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's (USFWS) jurisdiction that are known or expected to be on or near the project area referenced below. The list may also include trust resources that occur outside of the project area, but that could potentially be directly or indirectly affected by activities in the project area. However, determining the likelihood and extent of effects a project may have on trust resources typically requires gathering additional site-specific (e.g., vegetation/species surveys) and project-specific (e.g., magnitude and timing of proposed activities) information.

Below is a summary of the project information you provided and contact information for the USFWS office(s) with jurisdiction in the defined project area. Please read the introduction to each section that follows (Endangered Species, Migratory Birds, USFWS Facilities, and NWI Wetlands) for additional information applicable to the trust resources addressed in that section.

Location

Corozal and Morovis counties, Puerto Rico



Local office

Caribbean Ecological Services Field Office

- **\((787) 834-1600**
- **(787) 851-7440**
- CARIBBEAN ES@FWS.GOV

MAILING ADDRESS

Post Office Box 491 Boqueron, PR 00622-0491

PHYSICAL ADDRESS

Office Park I State Road #2 Km 156.5, Suite 303} Mayaguez, PR 00680

Endangered species

This resource list is for informational purposes only and does not constitute an analysis of project level impacts.

The primary information used to generate this list is the known or expected range of each species. Additional areas of influence (AOI) for species are also considered. An AOI includes areas outside of the species range if the species could be indirectly affected by activities in that area (e.g., placing a dam upstream of a fish population even if that fish does not occur at the dam site, may indirectly impact the species by reducing or eliminating water flow downstream). Because species can move, and site conditions can change, the species on this list are not guaranteed to be found on or near the project area. To fully determine any potential effects to species, additional site-specific and project-specific information is often required.

Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act **requires** Federal agencies to "request of the Secretary information whether any species which is listed or proposed to be listed may be present in the area of such proposed action" for any project that is conducted, permitted, funded, or licensed by any Federal agency. A letter from the local office and a species list which fulfills this requirement can **only** be obtained by requesting an official species list from either the Regulatory Review section in IPaC (see directions below) or from the local field office directly.

For project evaluations that require USFWS concurrence/review, please return to the IPaC website and request an official species list by doing the following:

- 1. Draw the project location and click CONTINUE.
- 2. Click DEFINE PROJECT.
- 3. Log in (if directed to do so).
- 4. Provide a name and description for your project.
- 5. Click REQUEST SPECIES LIST.

Listed species¹ and their critical habitats are managed by the <u>Ecological Services Program</u> of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) and the fisheries division of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA Fisheries²).

Species and critical habitats under the sole responsibility of NOAA Fisheries are **not** shown on this list. Please contact <u>NOAA</u> Fisheries for species under their jurisdiction.

- 1. Species listed under the <u>Endangered Species Act</u> are threatened or endangered; IPaC also shows species that are candidates, or proposed, for listing. See the <u>listing status page</u> for more information. IPaC only shows species that are regulated by USFWS (see FAQ).
- 2. <u>NOAA Fisheries</u>, also known as the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), is an office of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration within the Department of Commerce.

The following species are potentially affected by activities in this location:

Reptiles

NAME STATUS

Puerto Rican Boa Chilabothrus inornatus

Endangered

Wherever found

No critical habitat has been designated for this species.

https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/6628

Critical habitats

Potential effects to critical habitat(s) in this location must be analyzed along with the endangered species themselves.

There are no critical habitats at this location.

Migratory birds

Certain birds are protected under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act and the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act.

Any person or organization who plans or conducts activities that may result in impacts to migratory birds, eagles, and their habitats should follow appropriate regulations and consider implementing appropriate conservation measures, as described below.

- 1. The Migratory Birds Treaty Act of 1918.
- 2. The Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act of 1940.

Additional information can be found using the following links:

- Birds of Conservation Concern https://www.fws.gov/program/migratory-birds/species
- Measures for avoiding and minimizing impacts to birds https://www.fws.gov/library/collections/avoiding-and-minimizing-incidental-take-migratory-birds
- Nationwide conservation measures for birds https://www.fws.gov/sites/default/files/documents/nationwide-standard-conservation-measures.pdf

There are no migratory birds of conservation concern expected to occur at this location.

Tell me more about conservation measures I can implement to avoid or minimize impacts to migratory birds.

Nationwide Conservation Measures describes measures that can help avoid and minimize impacts to all birds at any location year round. Implementation of these measures is particularly important when birds are most likely to occur in the project area. When birds may be breeding in the area, identifying the locations of any active nests and avoiding their destruction is a very helpful impact minimization measure. To see when birds are most likely to occur and be breeding in your project area, view the Probability of Presence Summary. Additional measures or permits may be advisable depending on the type of activity you are conducting and the type of infrastructure or bird species present on your project site.

What does IPaC use to generate the list of migratory birds that potentially occur in my specified location?

The Migratory Bird Resource List is comprised of USFWS <u>Birds of Conservation Concern (BCC)</u> and other species that may warrant special attention in your project location.

The migratory bird list generated for your project is derived from data provided by the <u>Avian Knowledge Network (AKN)</u>. The AKN data is based on a growing collection of <u>survey</u>, <u>banding</u>, <u>and citizen science datasets</u> and is queried and filtered to return a list of those birds reported as occurring in the 10km grid cell(s) which your project intersects, and that have been identified as warranting special attention because they are a BCC species in that area, an eagle (<u>Eagle Act</u> requirements may apply), or a species that has a particular vulnerability to offshore activities or development.

Again, the Migratory Bird Resource list includes only a subset of birds that may occur in your project area. It is not representative of all birds that may occur in your project area. To get a list of all birds potentially present in your project area, please visit the <u>Rapid Avian Information</u> <u>Locator (RAIL) Tool</u>.

What does IPaC use to generate the probability of presence graphs for the migratory birds potentially occurring in my specified location?

The probability of presence graphs associated with your migratory bird list are based on data provided by the <u>Avian Knowledge Network (AKN)</u>. This data is derived from a growing collection of <u>survey, banding, and citizen science datasets</u>.

Probability of presence data is continuously being updated as new and better information becomes available. To learn more about how the probability of presence graphs are produced and how to interpret them, go the Probability of Presence Summary and then click on the "Tell me about these graphs" link.

How do I know if a bird is breeding, wintering or migrating in my area?

To see what part of a particular bird's range your project area falls within (i.e. breeding, wintering, migrating or year-round), you may query your location using the RAIL Tool and look at the range maps provided for birds in your area at the bottom of the profiles provided for each bird in your results. If a bird on your migratory bird species list has a breeding season associated with it, if that bird does occur in your project area, there may be nests present at some point within the timeframe specified. If "Breeds elsewhere" is indicated, then the bird likely does not breed in your project area.

What are the levels of concern for migratory birds?

Migratory birds delivered through IPaC fall into the following distinct categories of concern:

- 1. "BCC Rangewide" birds are <u>Birds of Conservation Concern</u> (BCC) that are of concern throughout their range anywhere within the USA (including Hawaii, the Pacific Islands, Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands);
- 2. "BCC BCR" birds are BCCs that are of concern only in particular Bird Conservation Regions (BCRs) in the continental USA; and
- 3. "Non-BCC Vulnerable" birds are not BCC species in your project area, but appear on your list either because of the <u>Eagle Act</u> requirements (for eagles) or (for non-eagles) potential susceptibilities in offshore areas from certain types of development or activities (e.g. offshore energy development or longline fishing).

Although it is important to try to avoid and minimize impacts to all birds, efforts should be made, in particular, to avoid and minimize impacts to the birds on this list, especially eagles and BCC species of rangewide concern. For more information on conservation measures you can implement to help avoid and minimize migratory bird impacts and requirements for eagles, please see the FAQs for these topics.

Details about birds that are potentially affected by offshore projects

For additional details about the relative occurrence and abundance of both individual bird species and groups of bird species within your project area off the Atlantic Coast, please visit the Northeast Ocean Data Portal. The Portal also offers data and information about other taxa besides birds that may be helpful to you in your project review. Alternately, you may download the bird model results files underlying the portal maps through the NOAA NCCOS Integrative Statistical Modeling and Predictive Mapping of Marine Bird Distributions and Abundance on the Atlantic Outer Continental Shelf project webpage.

Bird tracking data can also provide additional details about occurrence and habitat use throughout the year, including migration. Models relying on survey data may not include this information. For additional information on marine bird tracking data, see the <u>Diving Bird Study</u> and the <u>nanotag studies</u> or contact <u>Caleb Spiegel</u> or <u>Pam Loring</u>.

What if I have eagles on my list?

If your project has the potential to disturb or kill eagles, you may need to <u>obtain a permit</u> to avoid violating the Eagle Act should such impacts occur.

Proper Interpretation and Use of Your Migratory Bird Report

The migratory bird list generated is not a list of all birds in your project area, only a subset of birds of priority concern. To learn more about how your list is generated, and see options for identifying what other birds may be in your project area, please see the FAQ "What does IPaC use to generate the migratory birds potentially occurring in my specified location". Please be aware this report provides the "probability of presence" of birds within the 10 km grid cell(s) that overlap your project; not your exact project footprint. On the graphs provided, please also look carefully at the survey effort (indicated by the black vertical bar) and for the existence of the "no data" indicator (a red horizontal bar). A high survey effort is the key component. If the survey effort is high, then the probability of presence score can be viewed as more dependable. In contrast, a low survey effort bar or no data bar means a lack of data and, therefore, a lack of certainty about presence of the species. This list is not perfect; it is simply a starting point for identifying what birds of concern have the potential to be in your project area, when they might be there, and if they might be breeding (which means nests might be present). The list helps you know what to look for to confirm presence, and helps guide you in knowing when to implement conservation measures to avoid or minimize potential impacts from your project activities, should presence be confirmed. To learn more about conservation measures, visit the FAQ "Tell me about conservation measures I can implement to avoid or minimize impacts to migratory birds" at the bottom of your migratory bird trust resources page.

Facilities

National Wildlife Refuge lands

Any activity proposed on lands managed by the <u>National Wildlife Refuge</u> system must undergo a 'Compatibility Determination' conducted by the Refuge. Please contact the individual Refuges to discuss any questions or concerns.

There are no refuge lands at this location.

Fish hatcheries

There are no fish hatcheries at this location.

Wetlands in the National Wetlands Inventory (NWI)

Impacts to <u>NWI wetlands</u> and other aquatic habitats may be subject to regulation under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act, or other State/Federal statutes.

For more information please contact the Regulatory Program of the local <u>U.S. Army Corps of Engineers District</u>.

Wetland information is not available at this time

This can happen when the National Wetlands Inventory (NWI) map service is unavailable, or for very large projects that intersect many wetland areas. Try again, or visit the NWI map to view wetlands at this location.

Data limitations

The Service's objective of mapping wetlands and deepwater habitats is to produce reconnaissance level information on the location, type and size of these resources. The maps are prepared from the analysis of high altitude imagery. Wetlands are identified based on vegetation, visible hydrology and geography. A margin of error is inherent in the use of imagery; thus, detailed on-the-ground inspection of any particular site may result in revision of the wetland boundaries or classification established through image analysis.

The accuracy of image interpretation depends on the quality of the imagery, the experience of the image analysts, the amount and quality of the collateral data and the amount of ground truth verification work conducted. Metadata should be consulted to determine the date of the source imagery used and any mapping problems.

Wetlands or other mapped features may have changed since the date of the imagery or field work. There may be occasional differences in polygon boundaries or classifications between the information depicted on the map and the actual conditions on site.

Data exclusions

Certain wetland habitats are excluded from the National mapping program because of the limitations of aerial imagery as the primary data source used to detect wetlands. These habitats include seagrasses or submerged aquatic vegetation that are found in the intertidal and subtidal zones of estuaries and nearshore coastal waters. Some deepwater reef communities (coral or tuberficid worm reefs) have also been excluded from the inventory. These habitats, because of their depth, go undetected by aerial imagery.

Data precautions

Federal, state, and local regulatory agencies with jurisdiction over wetlands may define and describe wetlands in a different manner than that used in this inventory. There is no attempt, in either the design or products of this inventory, to define the limits of proprietary jurisdiction of any Federal, state, or local government or to establish the geographical scope of the regulatory programs of government agencies. Persons intending to engage in activities involving modifications within or adjacent to wetland areas should seek the advice of appropriate Federal, state, or local agencies concerning specified agency regulatory programs and proprietary jurisdictions that may affect such activities.

Appendix E



GOVERNMENT OF PUERTO RICO

STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICE

Executive Director I Carlos A. Rubio-Cancela I carubio@prshpo.pr.gov

February 28, 2023

Lauren Bair Poche

HORNE- Architectural Historian Manager 10000 Perkins Rowe, Suite 610 Bldg. G Baton Rouge, LA 70810

SHPO: 02-03-23-05 PR-RGRW-00794 JUAN VAZQUEZ RIVERA LOCALIZADO EN EN BO. PADILLA CARR. PR-159 KM. 7.3 INTERIOR, COROZAL, PUERTO RICO

Dear Ms. Bair,

Our Office has received and reviewed the documentation above referenced project in accordance with 54 USC 306108 (commonly known as Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act, as amended) and 36 CFR Part 800: Protection of Historic Properties from the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation. The State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) is to advise and assist federal agencies and other responsible entities when identifying historic properties, assessing effects upon them, and considering alternatives to avoid or reduce the project's effects.

Our records support your finding of **no historic properties affected** within the project's area of potential effects. Please note that should the Agency discover other historic properties at any point during project implementation, you should notify the SHPO immediately.

If you have any questions concerning our comments, do not hesitate to contact our Office.

Sincerely,

Carlos A. Rubio-Cancela

State Historic Preservation Officer

CARC/GMO/IMC





February 3, 2023

Carlos A. Rubio Cancela Director Ejecutivo Oficina Estatal de Conservación Histórica Cuartel de Ballajá (Tercer Piso) San Juan, PR 00902-3935

Puerto Rico Disaster Recovery, CDBG-DR Re-Grow PR Urban-Rural Agricultural (Re-Grow PR) Program

Section 106 NHPA Effect Determination Submittal: PR-RGRW-00794 – Juan Vázquez Rivera – Barrio Padilla, Carretera PR-159 Km 7.3 Interior, Corozal, Puerto Rico – *No Historic Properties Affected*

Dear Architect Rubio Cancela,

In accordance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act and its implementing regulations, 36 CFR Part 800, HORNE is providing information for your review and requesting your concurrence regarding the above-referenced projects on behalf of the Puerto Rico Department of Housing (PRDOH) and the Home Repair, Reconstruction, or Relocation (R3) Program. On February 9, 2018, an allocation of Community Development Block Grant - Disaster Recovery (CDBG-DR) funds was approved by the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) under the Federal Register Volume 83, No. 28, 83 FR 5844, to assist the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico in meeting unmet needs in the wake of Hurricanes Irma and Maria. On August 14, 2018, an additional \$8.22 billion recovery allocation was allocated to Puerto Rico under the Federal Register Volume 83, No. 157, 83 FR 40314. With these funding allocations, the Puerto Rico Department of Housing (Housing) aims to lead a comprehensive and transparent recovery for the benefit of Puerto Rico residents.

On behalf of PRDOH and the subrecipient, the Puerto Rico Department of Agriculture, we are submitting documentation for activities proposed by Juan Vázquez Rivera in Barrio Padilla at Carretera PR-159 Km 7.3 Interior in the municipality of Corozal. This undertaking will involve the purchase of ranch materials for constructing new cages for animals and repair of existing cages, construction of a new fence, repair of an existing fence, construction of a bathroom in a room at the back of the structure, and the purchase of a wheelbarrow, shovels, blower, trimmer, fumigation pump, and a water tank. The applicant also wants to add new water connections with PVC tubes to the different cages. No new utility meters are needed for water and power connection from the applicant's house. Based on the submitted documentation, the Program requests a concurrence that a determination of No Historic Properties Affected is appropriate for this proposed project.

Please contact me by email at lauren.poche@horne.com or phone at 225-405-7676 with any questions or concerns.

Kindest regards,

Lauren Bair Poche, M.A.

Architectural Historian, Historic Preservation Senior Manager

Enclosures

PUERTO RICO 2017 DISASTER RECOVERY, CDBG-DR PROGRAM REGROW PUERTO RICO PROGRAM Section 106 NHPA Effect Determination	GOVERNMENT OF PUERTO RICO CEPARTHENT OF HOUSING
Subrecipient: Juan Vázquez Rivera	•
Case ID: PR-RGRW-00794	City: Corozal

Project Location: Barrio Padilla Carretera PR-159 Km 7.3 Interior

Project Coordinates: 18.325579, -66.367160

TPID (Número de Catastro): 139-042-007-03

Type of Undertaking:

□ Substantial Repair

☑ New Construction

Construction Date (AH est.): 2010

Property Size (acres): 5.87

SOI-Qualified Architect/Architectural Historian: Maria F. Lopez Schmid

Date Reviewed: 1/23/2023

SOI-Qualified Archaeologist: Jesus E. Vega, Ph.D.

Date Reviewed: December 15, 2022

In compliance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA), the Program is responsible for identifying historic properties listed in the NRHP and any properties not listed that would be considered eligible for listing that are located within the geographic area of potential effects (APE) of the proposed project and assessing the potential effects of its undertakings on these historic properties.

Project Description (Undertaking)

The proposed activities are for improving the sheep and goat breeding farm of Juan Vázquez Rivera, located on State Road PR-159, Km 7.3 Interior, in Barrio Padilla, at the west end of Corozal, near the border with Morovis. Previously, forty years ago, this farm was used for pig breeding. The Scope of Work includes the purchase of ranch materials for constructing new cages for animals and repair of existing cages, construction of a new fence, repair of an existing fence, construction of a bathroom in a room at the back of the structure, and to purchase a wheelbarrow, shovels, blower, trimmer, fumigation pump and a water tank. One fence is located in the back of the structure, at 18.3259130, -66.3674027. Another fence is located on the right perimeter, at 18.3258681, -66.3671590. The applicant also wants to add new water connections with PVC tubes to the different cages. No new utilities meters are needed for water and power connection from the applicant's house.

Area of Potential Effects

As defined in 36 CFR §800.16(d), the area of potential effects (APE) is the geographic area or areas within which an undertaking may directly or indirectly cause changes in the character or use of historic properties if any such properties exist. Based on this definition and the nature and scope of the Undertaking, the Program has determined that the direct

PUERTO RICO 2017 DISASTER RECOVERY, CDBG-DR PROGRAM
REGROW PUERTO RICO PROGRAM
Section 106 NHPA Effect Determination

Subrecipient: Juan Vázquez Rivera

Case ID: PR-RGRW-00794

City: Corozal

APE for this project will be centered on the area(s) potentially impacted by ground disturbance related to the following scope(s) of work: the repair and/or installation of fences at 18.3259130, -66.3674027 and at 18.3258681, -66.3671590, and the cage construction with PVC water lines, and the bathroom at the main structure. The main structure is approximately 62' by 60' with two smaller structures south and southwest. The direct APE includes a 15-meter buffer from the fences. The visual APE is the viewshed of the proposed project.

Identification of Historic Properties - Archaeology

Existing information on previously identified historic properties has been reviewed to determine if any such properties are located within the APE of this undertaking. The review of this existing information, by a Program contracted Historic Preservation Specialist meeting the Secretary of the Interior's Professional Qualification Standards (36 CFR Part 61), shows that the project area is within a half-mile distance of one recorded archaeological site and/or NRHP listed/eligible historic property. "No data" denotes that no corresponding Institute of Puerto Rican Culture (IPRC), State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO), or National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) identification was found. The proposed project is located in a rural, mountainous area, on soil classified as Consumo clay (CuF), with 40 to 60 percent slopes, and covered with dense, secondary woodland vegetation west of the property. This terrain is 185 meters above the mean sea level. The north coast is 11.30 miles north from the property. The closest fresh-water body is a tributary of Río Unibón, 0.03 miles west of the property, and Río Unibón, 0.10 miles northeast of the property.

The only reported archaeological site within a half-mile radius is MR0100015 (SHPO MR0100015). This is a historic archaeological site in Morovis, located 0.47 miles northwest of the direct APE. There are no archaeological sites reported at or adjacent to the property. Additionally, the slope is excessive in terms of prehistoric and early historic settlement patterns, and two Phase 1A-1B studies by Rivera Fontán (1993) and Maurás Casillas (1991), within a half-mile radius, do not report any sites at or adjacent to the APE.

PUERTO RICO 2017 DISASTER RECOVERY, CDBG-DR PROGRAM REGROW PUERTO RICO PROGRAM Section 106 NHPA Effect Determination	GOVERNMENT OF PUERTO RICO DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING
Subrecipient: Juan Vázquez Rivera	,
Case ID: PR-RGRW-00794	City: Corozal

Table 1. Archaeological Sites and/or NRHP Listed/Eligible Historic Properties Within a Half-Mile Radius of Project Area

Name	SHPO ID	IPRC ID	Location	Description	NRHP
MR0100015	MR010001	No data	0.47 mi NW	Historic archaeological site	No data
	5				

Table 2. Cultural Resource Studies Conducted Within a Half-Mile Radius of Project Area

Author	Title	Year	SHPO/IPRC ID	Results	Location
Juan A. Rivera Fontán	Phase 1A-1B, Mejoras al Sistema de Acueductos	1993	CAT-MV-93-02-05	Negative	0.45 mi NE
Adalberto Maurás Casillas	Phase 1A-1B, mprovement to the water supply system of Unibon, Franquez, Barahona, Morovis Norte and Morovis Sur Ward	1991	CAT-MV-91-01-04	Negative	0.45 mi NE

Identification of Historic Properties - Architecture

Existing information on previously identified historic properties has been reviewed to determine if any such properties are located within the APE of this undertaking. The review of this existing information, by a Program contracted Historic Preservation Specialist meeting the Secretary of the Interior's Professional Qualification Standards (36 CFR Part 61), shows that the project area is outside the boundaries of a Traditional Urban Center or Historic District, and no National Register of Historic Places (NRHP)- listed or eligible property is within a half-mile radius of the property.

The proposed project is located in a rural, mountainous terrain. A ca. 2010 building is inside the property's area of potential effect. The building is present on a 2012 aerial image, but not in a 2006 one. The building is just west and adjacent to the project coordinates. The building inside the direct Area of Potential Effect (APE) is a one-story rectangular concrete agricultural building for animal breeding. The roof is a front gable corrugated metal roof over metal structure with an open covered area to the rear. As part of the project, a bathroom addition is planned to be added to this existing building. This building is not eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places.

PUERTO RICO 2017 DISASTER RECOVERY, CDBG-DR PROGRAM REGROW PUERTO RICO PROGRAM Section 106 NHPA Effect Determination	GOVERNMENT OF PUERTO RICO DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING
Subrecipient: Juan Vázquez Rivera	,
Case ID: PR-RGRW-00794	City: Corozal

Determination

The following historic properties have been identified within the APE:

- Direct Effect:
 - o N/A
- Indirect Effect:
 - o N/A

Based on the results of our historic property identification efforts, the closest reported archaeological materials or significant cultural properties are at MR0100015 (SHPO# MR0100015), a historic archaeological site located 0.47 miles northwest of the proposed project. There are no known archaeological sites or NRHP listed/eligible historic properties within or adjacent to the direct Area of Potential Effect (APE) of case PR-RGRW-00794. The proposed project area is not within the boundaries or visual APE of any NRHP listed/eligible historic properties, or a Traditional Urban Center or Historic District. Additionally, although there is evidence of leveling in the field photos and aerial images, the original slope of this mountainous setting is excessive in terms of prehistoric and early historic settlement patterns. Finally, two Phase 1A-1B studies by Rivera Fontán (1993) and Maurás Casillas (1991), within a half-mile radius, do not report any sites at or adjacent to the APE. Therefore, the Program has determined that project actions described in the undertaking will not impact any cultural properties.

PUERTO RICO 2017 DISASTER RECOVERY, CDBG-DR PROGRAM	
REGROW PUERTO RICO PROGRAM	GOVERNMENT OF PUERTO RICO
Section 106 NHPA Effect Determination	
Subrecipient: Juan Vázquez Rivera	,
Case ID: PR-RGRW-00794	City: Corozal

Recommendation

The Puerto Rico Department of Housing requests that the Puerto Rico SHPO concur that th	е
following determination is appropriate for the undertaking (Choose One):	

□ No Adverse Effect
Condition (if applicable):
□ Adverse Effect
Proposed Resolution (if applicable)

This Section is to be Completed by SHPO Staff Only			
The Puerto Rico State Historic Preservation Office has reviewed and:	d the above information		
□ Concurs with the information provided.			
□ Does not concur with the information provided.			
Comments:			
Carlos Rubio-Cancela State Historic Preservation Officer	Date:		



Section 106 NHPA Effect Determination

Subrecipient: Juan Vázquez Rivera

Case ID: PR-RGRW-00794 City: Corozal

Project (Parcel) Location – Area of Potential Effect Map (Aerial) Legend Tier 2 Site **TETRA TECH** Parcelario Area of Potential Effect Source: CRIM




Case ID: PR-RGRW-00794 City: Corozal

Project (Parcel) Location - Aerial Map Legend Tier 2 Site Historic Comunidades **TETRA TECH** Traditional Urban Centers Cultural Resource District Polygon Parcelario Source: CRIM




Case ID: PR-RGRW-00794 City: Corozal





Case ID: PR-RGRW-00794 City: Corozal

Project (Parcel) Location – Soils Map




Section 106 NHPA Effect Determination

Subrecipient: Juan Vázquez Rivera

Case ID: PR-RGRW-00794 City: Corozal




Project (Parcel) Location with Previous Investigations - Aerial Map





Case ID: PR-RGRW-00794 City: Corozal

Project (Parcel) Location with Previously Recorded Cultural Resources USGS Topographic Map



Puerto Rico 2017 Disaster Recovery, CDBG-DR Program

REGROW PUERTO RICO PROGRAM

Section 106 NHPA Effect Determination

Subrecipient: Juan Vázquez Rivera

Case ID: PR-RGRW-00794 City: Corozal





Photo #: 1

Description (include direction):

Date: Nov. 09, 22

Side #1 of structure, northwest.



Photo #: 2

Description (include direction):

Date: Nov. 09, 22

Side #2 of structure, southwest.

PUERTO RICO 2017 DISASTER RECOVERY, CDBG-DR PROGRAM

REGROW PUERTO RICO PROGRAM

Section 106 NHPA Effect Determination

Subrecipient: Juan Vázquez Rivera

Case ID: PR-RGRW-00794 City: Corozal





Photo #: 3

Description (include direction):

Date: Nov. 09, 22

Back of structure, northeast.



Photo #:	4
----------	---

Description (include direction):

Date: Nov. 09, 22

Scope of work area, south.

PUERTO RICO 2017 DISASTER RECOVERY, CDBG-DR PROGRAM

REGROW PUERTO RICO PROGRAM

Section 106 NHPA Effect Determination

Subrecipient: Juan Vázquez Rivera

Case ID: PR-RGRW-00794 City: Corozal





Photo #: 5

Description (include direction):

Date: Nov. 09, 22

Scope of work area, north.



Photo	#:	6
-------	----	---

Description (include direction):

Date: Nov. 09, 22

Scope of work area, northeast.

PUERTO RICO 2017 DISASTER RECOVERY, CDBG-DR PROGRAM REGROW PUERTO RICO PROGRAM

Section 106 NHPA Effect Determination

Subrecipient: Juan Vázquez Rivera

Case ID: PR-RGRW-00794 City: Corozal



GOVERNMENT OF PUERTO RICO

Photo #: 7 Description (include direction):

Date: Nov. 09, 22 Scope of work area, east.



Photo #: 8 Description (include direction):

Date: Nov. 09, 22 Scope of work area, southwest.

PUERTO RICO 2017 DISASTER RECOVERY, CDBG-DR PROGRAM REGROW PUERTO RICO PROGRAM

REGROW FUERIO RICO FROGRAM

Section 106 NHPA Effect Determination

Subrecipient: Juan Vázquez Rivera

Case ID: PR-RGRW-00794 City: Corozal



GOVERNMENT OF PUERTO RICO DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING

Photo #: 9

Description (include direction):

Date: Nov. 09, 22

Scope of work area, west.



Photo #: 10

Description (include direction):

Date: Nov. 09, 22

Scope of work area, southwest.



October 20, 2022

Arch. Carlos A. Rubio Cancela

Executive Director State Historic Preservation Officer Cuartel de Ballajá Bldg. San Juan, Puerto Rico

Re: Authorization to Submit Documents

Dear Arch. Rubio Cancela:

The U.S. Department of Housing (HUD) approved the allocations of Community Development Block Grant (CDBG-DR) funds on February 9, 2018. It also approved the allocation of Community Development Block Grant Mitigation (CDBG-MIT) funds on January 27, 2020. The purpose of these allocations is to address unsatisfied needs as a result of Hurricanes Irma and Maria in September 2017; and to carry out strategic and high-impact activities to mitigate disaster risks and reduce future losses.

To comply with the environmental requirements established by HUD, the Department of Housing of Puerto Rico (PRDOH) contracted Horne Federal LLC to provide environmental registry review services, among others, that will support the objectives of the agenda for both CDBG-DR and CDBG -MIT Programs.

In line to expedite the processes, Horne Federal LLC, is authorized to submit to the State Historic Preservation Officer, documentation of projects related to both the CDBG-DR and CDBG-MIT on behalf of PRDOH.

Cordially,

Juan C Pérez Bofill, P.E. M.Eng Director of Disaster Recovery

CDBG DR-MIT