Environmental Assessment Determinations and Compliance Findings for HUD-assisted Projects 24 CFR Part 58

Project Information

Project ID: PR-RGRW-01322

Project Name: Reynaldo Ruiz Feliciano

Responsible Entity: Puerto Rico Department of Housing

Grant Recipient (if different than Responsible Entity): same as above

State/Local Identifier: Puerto Rico / Municipio of Maricao

Preparer: Allyson Rezac, Deputy Program Manager

Certifying Officer Name and Title:

Permit and Environmental Compliance Officers: Sally Acevedo Cosme Pedro De León Rodriguez Ivelisse Lorenzo Torres Santa Damarys Ramírez Lebrón Janette I. Cambrelén Limary Vélez Marrero Mónica M. Machuca Ríos Abdul X. Feliciano Plaza Javier Mercado Barrera Priscilla Toro Rivera María T. Torres Bregón - Environmental Compliance Manager Aldo A. Rivera Vázquez- Program Director Angel G. López-Guzmán - Deputy Director Juan C. Perez Bofill - Director for Disaster Recovery

Consultant (if applicable): SWCA Environmental Consultants

Direct Comments to: PR Department of Housing, environmentcdbg@vivienda.pr.gov

Project Location:

The proposed project, which includes the installation of a water well, is located on a 2.69-acre parcel (Cadastral Number 263-000-009-45-901) at Carretera 105 KM 42.1 Indiera Baja, Maricao, PR 00606 (see **Appendix A**, **Figure 1**- Site Location and **Figure 2**-Site Vicinity). This property is in a rural area in the southwestern portion of Maricao

Municipio. Access to the project areas is provided via an existing unpaved road that runs north/south through the central portion of the property.

The applicant has identified one location for project activity identified in the Intended Use of Grant Funds that is being evaluated under this Environmental Assessment (EA), also shown on Figures 1 and 2:

• Water Well Location (18.158326, -66.8978) is in the central-west portion of the parcel.

Description of the Proposed Project [24 CFR 58.32; 40 CFR 1508.25]:

The proposed project includes the installation of a water well. The proposed water well will be approximately 400 feet (ft) deep with a ground surface area of approximately 16 square inches (in) and a diameter up to 8 in. The water well will be built near an existing structure that would serve as the electric line required for the water pump. The applicant anticipates the electricity to be provided aboveground. If it is determined the lines need to be underground, the electricity connection will be approximately 1 ft deep extending 10 ft from the existing structure to the water well. The water well will be located near the western portion of the property. Water from the water well will be moved to a 600-gallon cistern via aboveground PVC pipes, to be stored and used to water the crops. Water will be distributed to the site via an above-ground irrigation system using various PVC pipes. The proposed well will be installed by contractors, who will be responsible for obtaining the proper permitting required for construction of wells prior to the start of construction activities.

The project will involve extensive ground disturbance for the drilling of the well, but no vegetation or tree clearing is required for construction. The applicant owns the property; therefore, no acquisition is required.

Statement of Purpose and Need for the Proposal [40 CFR 1508.9(b)]:

In September of 2017, Hurricanes Irma and María produced sustained winds and intense rainfall that decimated agricultural production across the island of Puerto Rico. These hurricanes caused the loss of eighty percent of crop value island wide, exacerbating challenges to food security and agricultural business development. The Puerto Rico Department of Agriculture (PRDA) estimated seven hundred and eighty million dollars (\$780 million) worth of damages from the hurricanes to the agricultural sector of the economy.

Many properties were damaged in Hurricane Maria, resulting in struggling businesses. The Re-Grow Puerto Rico Urban-Rural Agriculture Program (Re-Grow Program) will develop greater agricultural capacity and address the needs created by Hurricanes Irma and María with a substantial investment of CDBG-DR funds for a wide variety of viable and sustainable agricultural activities.

Agricultural capacity and strong food systems are fundamental to resiliency of economies and, as Puerto Rico's entire agriculture sector was devastated by the Hurricanes, this Program is designed to revitalize this industry. The Re-Grow Program is an integral part of the long-term expansion of jobs in the sector, food security and contributing to overall economic recovery of disaster impacted populations.

The applicant does not have the resources to install a well for their agricultural operation nor has the applicant received any other outside source of funding for the project. The new water well will provide a more reliable water source for agricultural operations. The project as a whole will support continued local agricultural production during future disasters.

Agencies consulted for the proposed project are provided in the List of Sources, Agencies and Persons Consulted section of this Environmental Assessment (EA). Further discussion of the environmental impacts of the proposed action and alternatives is provided in the Cumulative Impact Analysis, Alternatives/No Action Alternative, and Summary of Findings and Conclusions sections of this EA.

Existing Conditions and Trends

The general topography of the property is hilly with open pastures and vegetated areas. The property is classified as rustic agricultural land. The proposed activities are for agricultural purposes and are consistent with the current land use.

Funding Information

Grant Number	HUD Program	Funding Amount
B-17-DM-72-0001 B-18-DP-72-0001 B-19-DP-78-0002 B-18-DE-72-0001	Community Development Block Grant – Disaster Recovery (CDBG-DR)	\$11,938,162,230

Estimated Total HUD Funded Amount: \$26,386.00

Estimated Total Project Cost (HUD and non-HUD funds) [24 CFR 58.32(d)]: \$26,386.00

Compliance with 24 CFR 58.5 and 58.6 Laws and Authorities

Record below the compliance or conformance determinations for each statute, executive order, or regulation. Provide credible, traceable, and supportive source documentation for each authority. Where applicable, complete the necessary reviews or consultations and obtain or note applicable permits of approvals. Clearly note citations, dates/names/titles of contacts, and page references. Attach additional documentation as appropriate.

Compliance Factors: Statutes, Executive Orders, and Regulations listed at 24 CFR §58.5 and §58.6 STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS, A	Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?	Compliance Determinations
Airport Hazards 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D		The project site is not within 2,500 ft of a civil primary or commercial service airport or within 15,000 ft of a military airport. The nearest civil primary or commercial service airport, Eugenio Maria De Hostos, is located 91,855 ft (17 miles [mi]) from the project site. The nearest military airport, Luis Munoz Marin International Airport, is located 322,342 ft (61 mi) from the project site. No further evaluation is required. The project is in compliance with airport hazards requirements. The Airport Hazards Partner Worksheet and Airport Hazards Map (Figure B 1-1) are provided in Appendix B,
Coastal Barrier Resources Coastal Barrier Resources Act, as amended by the Coastal Barrier Improvement Act of 1990 [16 USC 3501]	Yes No	Attachment 1. The project site is not located in a Coastal Barrier Resource Systems Unit (CBRS) or Otherwise Protected Area (OPA). There are no CBRS units in Maricao. The closest CBRS unit, Punta Ballena, is located 74,508 ft (14 mi) south of the project site. No further evaluation is required. The project is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act. The Coastal Barrier Resources Act Partner Worksheet and Coastal Barrier

		Resources Map (Figure B 2-1) are provided in Appendix B, Attachment 2.
Flood Insurance Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 and National Flood Insurance Reform Act of 1994 [42 USC 4001-4128 and 42 USC 5154a]	Yes No	A review of the FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM), Community Panel 72000C1045H (effective date 04/19/2005), shows the project site is in Flood Zone X, which is not in a Special Flood Hazard Area (SFHA). Flood insurance is not required. No further evaluation is required. The project is in compliance with the Flood Disaster Protection Act and National Flood Insurance Reform Act. The Flood Insurance Partner Worksheet and FIRM (Figure B 3-1) are provided in Appendix B, Attachment 3 .
STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS,	AND REGULAT	IONS LISTED AT 24 CFR 58.5
Clean Air Clean Air Act, as amended, particularly section 176(c) & (d); 40 CFR Parts 6, 51, 93	Yes No	The project site is in Maricao Municipio, which is within a U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) designated attainment area. Municipios in Nonattainment or Maintenance areas include Arecibo, Bayamon, Catano, Guaynabo, Salinas, San Juan and Toa Baja. Project activities include new construction of a water well. The project is not anticipated to have a negative impact on air quality. Emissions associated with the proposed actions are temporary and limited to the use of small construction equipment and will be well below the Federal General Conformity Rule de minimis thresholds. No further evaluation is required. The project is in compliance with the Clean Air Act. The Air Quality Partner Worksheet, List of Non-Attainment/Maintenance Status Counties in Puerto Rico, and Clean Air Map (Figure B 4-1) are provided in Appendix B, Attachment 4.
Coastal Zone Management	Yes No	The project site is not located within the Puerto Rico Coastal Management Zone. The closest coastal zone area is located

Coastal Zone Management Act, sections 307(c) & (d)		56,551 feet (11 mi) from the project site. No further evaluation is required. The project is in compliance with the Coastal Zone Management Act. The Coastal Zone Management Partner Worksheet and Coastal Zone Map (Figure B 5-1) are provided in Appendix B, Attachment 5.
Contamination and Toxic Substances 24 CFR Part 58.5(i)(2)	Yes No	The project site was evaluated for potential contamination by conducting a field site inspection on 10/25/2023 to identify any onsite hazards including, but not limited to, soil staining, above ground storage tanks, signs of underground storage tanks, odors, hazardous debris etc. The site inspection did not identify any onsite hazards, stained soil or vegetation and the project is in compliance with contamination and toxic substances requirements. The project does not involve any occupied structures, and therefore, is exempt from considering radon as part of the site contamination analysis as per HUD Notice CDP- 23-103. In addition, a desktop review of USEPA databases, NEPAssist, and other sources was conducted to determine if the project site was located near dump sites, junk yards, landfills, hazardous waste sites, or industrial sites, including USEPA National Priorities List Sites (Superfund sites), CERCLA or state- equivalent sites, RCRA Corrective Action sites with release(s) or suspected release(s) requiring clean-up action and/or further investigation. The parcel has been used for agricultural purposes and there has been no change in land use in the last 20 years. The project is located in a rural area of Maricao Municipio and will continue to be used for agricultural purpose.

		The desktop review did not find any of the above-listed toxic, hazardous or radioactive substances in or within 3,000 feet of the project area. The project is in compliance with contamination and toxic substances requirements. The Contamination and Toxics Substances Partner Worksheet and Contamination and Toxic Substances Map (Figure B 6-1) are provided in Appendix B, Attachment 6.
Endangered Species Endangered Species Act of 1973, particularly section 7; 50 CFR Part 402	Yes No	The project involves activities that have the potential to affect protected species or habitats including but not limited to activities such as ground disturbance. Threatened, endangered, and migratory bird species were identified by reviewing data from the United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) Information and Planning Consultation (IPaC) Tool. In addition, critical species habitat was reviewed through the USFWS IPaC Critical Habitat Portal. The review identified five federally listed species (Puerto Rican broad-winged hawk [Buteo platypterus brunnescens], Puerto Rican parrot [Amazona vittata], Puerto Rican sharp-shinned hawk [Accipiter striatus venator], Puerto Rican boa [Chilabothrus inornatus], and the Puerto Rican harlequin butterfly [Atlantea tulita]] with the potential to occur within the project area. There is no designated or proposed critical habitat within the project area; the closest final designated critical habitat is located 9,688 feet (2 mi) away. The project activities, including drilling a hole for the well and excavating for water lines, and installation of the cisterns and water lines. A qualified biologist reviewed the proposed activity location and determined that based on the site visit and habitat evaluations, the Puerto Rican broad-winged hawk,

		Puerto Rican Parrot, Puerto Rican sharp- shinned hawk, and the Puerto Rican harlequin butterfly are all considered unlikely to occur within the project area due to lack of suitable habitat. Therefore, it is anticipated that the project may affect, but is not likely to adversely affect the Puerto Rican boa, Puerto Rican broad-winged hawk, and Puerto Rican broad-winged hawk, and Puerto Rican sharp-shinned hawk, and will have no effect on the Puerto Rican parrot and Puerto Rican harlequin butterfly. USFWS Concurred with this on September 30, 2024. If a Puerto Rican Boa is found in the project action site, work shall cease until the Boa moves off on its own. If the Boa does not move off, the Construction Manager shall contact the Puerto Rico Department of Natural and Environmental Resources and ask for them to relocate the Boa. The Endangered Species Act Partner Worksheet, Threatened and Endangered Species USFWS Consultation with IPaC, Critical Habitat Map (Figure B 7-1) are provided in Appendix B, Attachment 7.
Explosive and Flammable Hazards 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart C	Yes No	The project includes the new construction of a water well. The project itself is not the development of a hazardous facility nor will the project increase residential densities. No further evaluation is required. The project is in compliance with explosive and flammable hazard requirements. The Explosive and Flammable Hazards Partner Worksheet is provided in Appendix B, Attachment 8 .
Farmlands Protection Farmland Protection Policy Act of 1981, particularly sections 1504(b) and 1541; 7 CFR Part 658	Yes No	This project does not include any activities that could potentially convert agricultural land to non-agricultural use. Per the USGS/NRCS Web Soil Survey, the project area crosses two mapped soil series: LuF2 (Los Guineos clay, 20 to 60

		percent slopes, eroded) and MrF2 (Maricao clay, 20 to 60 percent slopes). No prime farmland or farmlands of statewide importance are within the project area. Although the project includes new construction, the project is exempt from review under the Farmland Protection Policy Act (FFPA) as the project is limited to construction of on- farm structures needed for farm operations. No further review is required. The project is in compliance with the Farmland Protection Policy Act. The Farmlands Protection Partner Worksheet and Prime Farmland Map (Figure B 9-1) are provided in Appendix B, Attachment 9.
Floodplain Management Executive Order 11988, particularly section 2(a); 24 CFR Part 55	Yes No	An Advisory Base Flood Elevation (ABFE) map was developed by FEMA for this FIRM, which shows the project sites are in Flood Zone X. The project is not located in the 100-year floodplain or ABFE special flood hazard area; therefore, no further action is required. The extent of the FFRMS floodplain was determined using the 0.2 percent flood approach. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11988,. as amended by Executive Order 13690.PFIRMs in Puerto Rico were only developed for certain sections of the municipalities of Carolina, Canovanas, Loiza, San Juan and Trujillo Alto. The proposed project is located in the municipality of Maricao; therefore, PFIRM information was not available for the area and therefore not considered in the 100-year floodplain or ABFE special flood hazard area; therefore, no further action is required. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11988. HUD implemented new floodplain regulations under 89 FR 30850 effective June 24, 2024, which created the

		Federal Flood Risk Management Standard (FFRMS). The current project comprises non-critical actions that lie outside the 0.2-percent-annual-chance (500-year flood elevation), which is the approach used for this project to comply with the FFRMS; therefore, mitigation is not required, and the project is in compliance with 24 CFR Part 55. The Floodplain Management Partner Worksheet and ABFE Floodplain Map (Figure B 10-1) are provided in Appendix B, Attachment 10.
Historic Preservation National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, particularly sections 106 and 110; 36 CFR Part 800	Yes No	No National Historic Landmark (NHL) are within or near the project area. The site was visited on October 25, 2023. Records review and research were conducted at the SHPO and the Institute for Puerto Rican Culture (ICP) to determine if any archaeologically sensitive resources could be present within a 0.5-mile radius.
		The results of the Record Search and the Site Inspection indicate that there are no historic properties or cultural resources within the 0.50-mile radius study area or within the project APE. Based on the results of our historic property identification efforts, the Program has determined that project actions will not affect historic properties that compose the Area of Potential Effect. The determination was submitted to SHPO by PRDOH for concurrence on February 27, 2024, and SHPO concurred with the No Historic Properties Affected determination on March 6, 2024. The Historic Preservation Partner Worksheet, Previously Recorded Cultural Resources Map (Figure B 11-1), and SHPO consultation are provided in Appendix B, Attachment 11.

Noise Abatement and Control Noise Control Act of 1972, as amended by the Quiet Communities Act of 1978; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart B	Yes No	The project activities are limited to the construction of a new water well and do not involve residential new construction or rehabilitation. No further evaluation is required. The project is in compliance with HUD's noise regulation.
Sole Source Aquifers Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974, as amended, particularly section 142©); 40 CFR Part 149	Yes No	According to the USEPA's Source Water Protection, Sole Source Aquifer Protection Program, there are no sole source aquifers in Puerto Rico. No further evaluation is required. The project is in compliance with the Safe Drinking Water Act. The Sole Source Aquifer Partner Worksheet and Sole Source Aquifer Map (Figure B 15-1) are provided in Appendix B, Attachment 15.
Wetlands Protection Executive Order 11990, particularly sections 2 and 5	Yes No	The project site was reviewed for wetlands using the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) Wetland Inventory Mapper and a visual confirmation during the field site inspection. No wetlands were determined to be present on site. No further evaluation is required. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11990. The Wetlands Protection Partner Worksheet and Wetland Map (Figure B 12-1) are provided in Appendix B, Attachment 12.
Wild and Scenic Rivers Wild and Scenic Rivers Act of 1968, particularly section 7(b) and (c)	Yes No	A review of the USFWS National Wild and Scenic River mapper identified no Wild and Scenic Rivers or National Rivers Inventory (NRI) rivers present in Maricao Municipio. The closest Wild and Scenic River segment is located 387,154 feet (73 mi) from the project site. No further evaluation is required. The project is in compliance with the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act. The Wild and Scenic Rivers Partner Worksheet and Wild and Scenic Rivers

		Map (Figure B 13-1) are provided in Appendix B, Attachment 13.
ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE		
Environmental Justice Executive Order 12898	Yes No	The ReGrow Program intends to alleviate negative economic impacts to, and strengthen, the agricultural industry in Puerto Rico. The project's direct and indirect impacts are limited to a small area on a single land parcel. The project will benefit the farm owner by improving agricultural use and production. The project would not facilitate development that would negatively affect human health or result in disproportionate adverse environmental impacts to low-income or minority populations. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 12898. The Environmental Justice Partner Worksheet and EJScreen Report are provided in Appendix B, Attachment 14 .

Environmental Assessment Factors [24 CFR 58.40; Ref. 40 CFR 1508.8 &1508.27] Recorded below is the qualitative and quantitative significance of the effects of the proposal on the character, features and resources of the project area. Each factor has been evaluated and documented, as appropriate and in proportion to its relevance to the proposed action. Verifiable source documentation has been provided and described in support of each determination, as appropriate. Credible, traceable and supportive source documentations have been provided. Where applicable, the necessary reviews or consultations have been completed and applicable permits of approvals have been obtained or noted. Citations, dates/names/titles of contacts, and page references are clear. Additional documentation is attached, as appropriate. **All conditions, attenuation or mitigation measures have been clearly identified**.

Impact Codes: Use an impact code from the following list to make the determination of impact for each factor.

- (1) Minor beneficial impact
- (2) No impact anticipated
- (3) Minor Adverse Impact May require mitigation

(4) Significant or potentially significant impact requiring avoidance or modification which may require an Environmental Impact Statement

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation
LAND DEVELOPMENT		
Conformance with Plans / Compatible Land Use and Zoning / Scale and Urban	2	The proposed project includes installation of a well. The project site location(s) is classified as Rural General (R- G) land use. The proposed action is continued agricultural use of property, which is compatible with zoning and existing land use.
Design		Construction actions include minor improvements which increase the current function of the existing land use. There is no change in land use since the land will continue to be used for agriculture purposes. The project site is in a rural area of Jayuya Municipio, and project activities will not contribute to urban sprawl.
		The applicant and/or construction manager is required to obtain any necessary local and territorial building and environmental permits from the DNER prior to construction activities commencing.
Soil Suitability/ Slope/ Erosion/ Drainage/ Storm Water Runoff	2	The proposed project includes installation of a well. Per the USGS/NRCS Web Soil Survey, the project area crosses two mapped soil series: LuF2 (Los Guineos clay, 20 to 60 percent slopes, eroded) and MrF2 (Maricao clay, 20 to 60 percent slopes). Soil suitability will be assessed prior to construction and will be addressed during local permitting processes. Contractors will be required to use best management practices during construction to control erosion and prevent runoff. The soil is currently being used for agriculture purposes.
		Landslide data from the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) indicates less than 25 landslides per square kilometer for the project area (see Appendix A, Figure A-3- USGS Landslide Map). Department of Natural and Environmental Resources (DNER) authorization is required for any extraction, removal, excavation, and dredging of the components of the earth's crust.
Hazards and Nuisances including Site Safety and Noise	2	The proposed project includes installation of a well. Contractors will be required to provide health and safety plans and monitoring during construction. Noise levels will temporarily increase during construction; however, program activities are limited to the existing

	farm property and will not elevate ambient noise levels long-term. Contractors will be required to comply with the applicable local noise ordinances. Noise impacts will be mitigated by restricting construction activities to daylight hours and to the hours established in the Regulation for Noise Control and Pollution in Puerto Rico. Additionally, the project does not include housing to where inhabitants would be affected.
--	---

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation
SOCIOECONOMIC		
Employment and Income Patterns	2	The proposed project includes installation of a well. The project will result in short-term benefit to employment if contractors are hired for the construction of a water well. After construction, the project will support the continuation of operations and intended use of the farm, which supplies produce for Puerto Rico communities.
		The project is not anticipated to have a significant impact on employment and income patterns; however, the project may help restore employment opportunities and income patterns.
Demographic Character Changes, Displacement	2	The proposed project includes installation of a well. The project is a rural area in Maricao Municipio and will not alter the demographics or character of the surrounding community. Project activities will not result in any direct or indirect displacement of individuals or families.
Environmental Justice	2	The proposed project includes installation of a well. The project's direct and indirect impacts are limited to a small area on a single land parcel. The project will benefit the farm owner by improving agricultural use and production. The project will not facilitate development that would negatively affect human health or result in disproportionate adverse environmental impacts to low-income or minority populations.

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation
COMMUNITY FACILIT	IES AND SER	VICES
Educational and Cultural Facilities	2	The proposed project includes installation of a well on private land and will not contribute to any change in educational or cultural facilities or affect access to or capacity of educational or cultural facilities.
Commercial Facilities	2	The proposed project includes installation of a well. The proposed project will increase agricultural production, resulting in a net positive impact to the applicant's farm commercial output and a positive benefit to surrounding commercial enterprises who purchase and sell the produce; however, the project will not put undue pressure on commercial facilities.
Health Care and Social Services	2	The proposed project includes installation of a well on private land and will not affect access to or capacity of health care and social services.
Solid Waste Disposal / Recycling	2	The proposed installation of a well may cause an increase in short-term generation of solid waste during construction. All construction debris will be disposed of at the proper facilities for the debris type (i.e., construction waste). Dirt that becomes debris as part of the project will remain onsite and be spread out to ensure it doesn't cause a runoff hazard to neighboring property. The project will not contribute to long-term needs or changes to solid waste disposal and recycling.
Wastewater / Sanitary Sewers	2	The installation of a water well is not expected to result in significant changes in wastewater or sanitary sewer generation. Sewage will not be generated from the project. No new wastewater or sanitary sewer connections are required for this project.
Water Supply	2	The proposed project is limited to construction of a water well on an existing farm. There are no sole source aquifers in Puerto Rico, therefore, the well installation will not significantly impact groundwater or aquifer storage/recharge. Additionally, installation of a water well may reduce demand from the municipal water supply, as the applicant will have their own source of water to supply their crops.

Public Safety - Police, Fire and Emergency Medical	2	The proposed project includes installation of a well on private land and will not affect public safety concerns such as police, fire, and emergency medical services.
Parks, Open Space and Recreation	2	The proposed project includes installation of a well on private land and will not result in any changes to access or use of parks, open space, and recreation areas.
Transportation and Accessibility	2	The proposed project includes installation of a well on private land and have no relation to transportation services. The proposed activities will not result in any changes in transportation and accessibility.

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation
NATURAL FEATURES		
Unique Natural Features, Water Resources	2	The proposed project includes installation of a well. The project is not located in proximity to unique natural features or water resources; therefore, construction activities will not affect quality or access to these resources.
Vegetation, Wildlife	2	The proposed project includes installation of a well. The project area has already been previously disturbed for residential home placement and farm operations; therefore, the project is not anticipated to result in any new impacts to trees, vegetation, wildlife or native plant communities. No tree clearing or pruning is anticipated prior to greenhouse construction.

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation
CLIMATE AND ENERG	γ	
Climate Change Impacts		The proposed project includes installation of a well. The proposed ReGrow program project aims to increase resiliency in the agricultural industry to prepare for future climate related disasters, including drought. The Climate Mapping for Resilience and Adaptation Assessment tool (https://resilience.climate.gov/#assessment-tool)

		provides historical and future climate data for five common climate-related hazards (extreme heat, drought, wildfires, flooding, and coastal inundation). The data model for the census tract that includes application location does not indicate a significant increase in hazard risks from climate change for drought, wildfire, or flooding, when comparing historical data (1976-2005) to future projections. The model projects a greater number of days where temperatures will exceed 90 degrees Fahrenheit after 2015, which is a systemic trend in the model across the Island. The specific activities proposed for this economic development project are limited in scale and land impacts. The proposed water well construction activities are for individual farm use and will not result in a significant increase in electricity or water draw. The water well will allow the applicant to control the water to the crops and will reduce the amount needed compared to open air farming which often results in higher evapotranspiration. The equipment that will be used during construction and operation will also be limited to occasional use at this specific land parcel, and therefore will not generate a significant amount of greenhouse gas. Additionally, project activities will not result in tree clearing that would contribute to a loss in carbon capture.
Energy Efficiency	2	The proposed project includes installation of a well. An electrical line from a nearby existing structure will power the water pump for the well; the water pump will not result in significant additional energy consumption. However, the applicant should obtain authorization from PREPA or LUMA prior to expanding the connections.

Additional Studies Performed:

No additional studies were performed.

Field Inspection (Date and completed by):

Field inspection completed on October 25, 2023, by Armando Ramos, SWCA Environmental Consultants.

List of Sources, Agencies and Persons Consulted [40 CFR 1508.9(b)]:

Department of Natural and Environmental Resources (DNER). 2023. Puerto Rico DNER Species Ranges – under construction. Accessed March 1, 2023. Available at: <u>https://arcg.is/1S9aju0</u>.

Federal Aviation Administration (FAA). 2022. National Plan for Integrated Airport Systems 2023-2027. Accessed January 31, 2023. Available at: <u>National Plan of Integrated Airport Systems (NPIAS) 2023-2027, Appendix B: National and State Maps (faa.gov)</u>.

Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). 2023. FEMA Flood Map Service Center - Map Panel 72000C0H545H (effective 4/19/2005). Accessed March 1, 2023. Available at: <u>https://msc.fema.gov/portal/home</u>.

Institute of Puerto Rican Culture (ICP). 2023. San Juan, Puerto Rico. Data collection conducted on (Date).

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Office for Coastal Management. 2023. Puerto Rico Coastal Zone Boundary. Accessed March 1, 2023. Available at: <u>Puerto Rico Coastal Vulnerability Viewer (arcgis.com)</u>.

State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO). 2023. San Juan, Puerto Rico. Data collection conducted on (Date).

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 2022a. Envirofacts Mapper. Air pollution data (ICIS-AIR), Brownfields, Hazardous Waste (RCRAInfo), Superfund National Priorities List (NPL), Toxic Releases Inventory (TRI), and National Pollutants Discharge Elimination Systems (NPDES). Accessed November 1, 2022. Available at: https://geopub.epa.gov/arcgis/rest/services/EMEF/efpoints/MapServer.

USEPA. 2022b. Sole Source Aquifer Map. Accessed March 1, 2023. Available at: <u>https://epa.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=9ebb047ba3ec41ad</u> <u>a1877155fe31356b</u>.

USEPA. 2023a. Puerto Rico Nonattainment/Maintenance Status for Each County by Year for All Criteria Pollutants. USEPA Green Book. Accessed January 31, 2023. Available at: <u>https://www3.epa.gov/airquality/greenbook/anayo_pr.html</u>.

USEPA. 2023b. EJScreen: Environmental Justice Screening and Mapping Tool. Accessed March 3, 2023. Available at: <u>https://www.epa.gov/ejscreen/download-ejscreen-data</u>.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). 2019. Coastal Barrier Resources System. Accessed January 31, 2023. Available at: <u>https://www.fws.gov/CBRA/Maps/Mapper.html</u>.

USFWS. 2023a. Information for Planning and Consultation (IPaC). Accessed March 1, 2023. Available at: <u>https://ipac.ecosphere.fws.gov/location/index</u>.

USFWS. 2023b. Critical Habitat for Threatened & Endangered Species. Accessed March 1, 2023. Available at:

https://fws.maps.arcgis.com/home/webmap/viewer.html?webmap=9d8de5e265ad4fe 09893cf75b8dbfb77.

USFWS. 2023c. National Wetlands Inventory Surface Waters and Wetlands Mapper. Accessed March 1, 2023. Available at: <u>https://fwsprimary.wim.usgs.gov/wetlands/apps/wetlands-mapper/</u>. U.S. Forest Service. 2022. National Wild and Scenic River Segments. Accessed January 31, 2023. Available at: <u>https://www.rivers.gov/mapping-gis.php</u>; <u>Wild & Scenic Rivers |</u> <u>US Forest Service (usda.gov)</u>.

U.S. Geological Survey (USGS). 2022. Concentration of Landslides caused by Hurricane Maria, Puerto Rico. Accessed March 1, 2023. Available at: <u>U.S. Landslide Inventory</u> (arcgis.com).

List of Permits Obtained:

No permits have been obtained.

Public Outreach [24 CFR 58.43]:

Puerto Rico Department of Agriculture has worked closely with the agricultural community. In compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) regulations for HUD, the public will be notified of the project through publication of the combined Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) – Notice of Intent to Request Release of Funds (NOI-RROF) notice with a 15-day public comment period.

Cumulative Impact Analysis [24 CFR 58.32]:

The installation of a water well at the project site is not anticipated to have a negative impact on environmental resources. None of the environmental assessment factors nor the regulations reviewed in the above checklist resulted in negative environmental impacts or the need for mitigation. Overall, the project will have a net positive impact by helping a small business increase their capacity for agricultural production. No other planned or ongoing projects were identified in the project vicinity that could contribute to cumulative impacts of environmental resources.

Alternatives [24 CFR 58.40(e); 40 CFR 1508.9]

Alternative Location:

Within the boundaries of the property, other locations could be considered for the new water well. However, other locations may require greater environmental impacts such as additional ground disturbance, grading on slopes that are not suitable for installation, or additional tree clearing, and would result in higher costs to the applicant.

No Action Alternative [24 CFR 58.40(e)]:

If the proposed project did not take place, the farm may have to rely on outside sources of water rather than have access to their own water well. In the absence of a well, the business may have difficulty continuing agricultural production during future disasters. Given the degree of need for greater agricultural capacity in Puerto Rico following Hurricanes Irma and María, the costs of foregoing the Project would exceed the benefits.

Summary of Findings and Conclusions:

The proposed project would not result in any adverse impacts to the surrounding natural, historical, and water resources; generate significant air or noise pollution; or promote environmental or socioeconomic injustices. The proposed project is not located within a coastal zone or near a major water resource.

Mitigation Measures and Conditions [40 CFR 1505.2(c)]

Summarize below all mitigation measures adopted by the Responsible Entity to reduce, avoid, or eliminate adverse environmental impacts and to avoid non-compliance or non-conformance with the above-listed authorities and factors. These measures/conditions must be incorporated into project contracts, development agreements, and other relevant documents. The staff responsible for implementing and monitoring mitigation measures should be clearly identified in the mitigation plan.

Law, Authority, or Factor	Mitigation Measure
Endangered Species Endangered Species Act of 1973, particularly section 7; 50 CFR Part 402	The current project activities do not involve the removal of trees. If any tree clearing is to be proposed, the project will need to be re-evaluated for impacts to threatened and endangered species.
	If a Puerto Rican Boa (Boa) is found in the project activity site, work shall cease until the Boa moves off site on its own. If the Boa does not move off site, the construction manager shall contact the Puerto Rico DNER to relocate the Boa. The USFWS has developed the following conservation measures for the Boa:
	1. Inform all project personnel about the potential presence of the PR boa in areas where the proposed work will be conducted. A pre- construction meeting should be conducted to inform all project personnel about the need to avoid harming the species as well as penalties for harassing or harming PR boas. An educational poster or sign with photo or illustration of the species should be displayed at the project site.
	2. Prior to any construction activity, including removal of vegetation and earth movements, the boundaries of the project and areas to be excluded and protected should be clearly marked in the project plan and in the field in order to avoid further habitat degradation into forested and conservation areas.

3. Once areas are clearly marked, and prior to the use of heavy machinery and any construction activity (including removal of vegetation and earth movement), a biologist or project personnel with experience on this species should survey the areas to be cleared to verify the presence of any PR boa within the work area.
4. If a PR boa is found within any of the working or construction areas, activities should stop at that area and information recorded (see #5). Do not capture the boa. If boas need to be moved out of harm's way, designated personnel shall immediately contact the Puerto Rico Department of Natural and Environmental Resources (PRDNER) Rangers for safe capture and relocation of the animal (PRDNER phone #s: (787) 724-5700, (787) 230-5550, (787) 771-1124). If immediate relocation is not an option, project-related activities at that area must stop until the boa moves out of harm's way on its own. Activities at other work sites, where no boas have been found after surveying the area, may continue.
5. For all boa sightings (dead or alive), record the time and date of the sighting and the specific location where it was found. PR boa data should also include a photo of the animal (dead or alive), site GPS coordinates, the time and date, and comments on how the animal was detected and its behavior. Last Revised: January 2024
6. If a PR boa is captured by PRDNER personnel, record the name of that person and information on where the PR boa will be taken. This information should be reported to the Service.
7. Measures should be taken to avoid and minimize PR boa casualties by heavy machinery or motor vehicles being used on site. Any heavy machinery left on site (staging) or near potential PR boa habitat (within 50 meters of potential boa habitat), needs to be thoroughly inspected each morning before work starts to ensure that no boas have sheltered within engine compartments or other areas of the equipment. If PR boas are found within vehicles or equipment, do not capture the animal, and let it move on its own or call PRDNER Rangers for safe capture and relocation of the animal (see

#4). If not possible, the animal should be left alone until it leaves the vehicle on its own.
8. PR boas may seek shelter in debris piles. Measures should be taken to avoid and minimize boa casualties associated with sheltering in debris piles as a result of project activities. Debris piles should be placed far away from forested areas. Prior to moving, disposing or shredding, debris piles should be carefully inspected for the presence of boas. If debris piles will be left on site, we recommend they be placed in areas that will not be disturbed in the future.
9. If a dead PR boa is found, immediately cease all work in that area and record the information accordingly (see #5). If the PR boa was accidentally killed as part of the project actions, please include information on what conservation measures had been implemented and what actions will be taken to avoid further killings. A dead boa report should be sent by email (see contacts below) to the Service within 48 hours of the event.
10. Projects must comply with all state laws and regulations. Please contact the PRDNER for further guidance.
The USFWS has developed the following conservation measures for bird species in case an encounter occurs:
1. All personnel will be advised that there are civil and criminal penalties for harming, harassing, or killing species protected under the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended. During breeding seasons (see below), nest surveys shall be conducted if a project occurs within the range of any of the species listed above and if habitat for those species will be impacted by the proposed actions. Nest searches must be conducted by qualified personnel with the appropriate permits from the Puerto Rico Department of Natural and Environmental Resources (PRDNER) prior to start of work. If nesting activity is detected, all construction activities or human disturbance must be avoided within a 50-meter buffer around any nest(s) found within the project area. This avoidance strategy

must be kept uptil fledglings successfully leave the
must be kept until fledglings successfully leave the nest(s) permanently.
Breeding Seasons:
 Puerto Rican parrot: February-June.
• Puerto Rican broad-winged hawk: December- June.
2. Outside the breeding season no nest surveys are required, but if a nest is encountered, all construction activities or human disturbance must be avoided within a 50-meter buffer around that nest(s). This avoidance strategy must be kept until fledglings successfully leave the nest(s) permanently. Furthermore, if any of the species indicated above is observed (e.g., foraging, resting) within the project area, avoid any disturbance to the individual(s) and do not flush the bird until it leaves on its own.
3. For all nest sightings, the Applicant must record the time and date of the sighting and the specific location where it was found. All sightings and incidental lethal take reports should be sent to the USFWS Caribbean Ecological Services Field Office at Caribbean_es@fws.gov. For questions, the Point of Contact (POC) is José Cruz-Burgos, Endangered Species Program Coordinator, and can be contacted at:
♣ Mobile: 305-304-1386
♣ Office phone: 786-244-0081
• Office Direct Line: 939-320-3120
 Email: jose_cruz-burgos@fws.gov
The USFWS has developed the following conservation measures for the Puerto Rican Harlequin Butterfly species in case an encounter occurs:
1. All project construction personnel must be informed about the potential presence of the PR harlequin butterfly or its occupied host plant, prickly bush (Oplonia spinosa), in the project areas and the need to avoid harming the species and its occupied host plant. All personnel will be advised that there are civil and criminal penalties for harming, harassing, or killing species protected

under the Endangered Species Act. Educational
material (e.g., posters, flyers, or signs with photos or illustrations of all the life stages of the PR harlequin butterfly (i.e., eggs, caterpillar, chrysalis, and adult) as well as its host plant, should be prepared and available to all personnel for reference.
2. Before starting any project activity, including removal of vegetation and earth movement, the boundaries of the work area in the field clearly delineate to avoid unnecessary habitat impacts. Once the project areas are clearly marked, and before any work activity, including site preparation, personnel with knowledge and ability to identify the PR harlequin butterfly (all life stages) and the prickly bush must survey the areas where the work will be performed for the presence of the species and its host plant. It is important to note that the PR harlequin butterfly can be observed year-round in all its life stages; thus, oviposition (egg-laying) may occur at any time during the year.
3. If the prickly bush is present on the project site, try to avoid cutting it off, even if no eggs, caterpillars, or chrysalis are present.
4. If there is no prickly bush within the project area, and the butterfly is observed flying within the project area, do not harass, harm, pursue, wound, kill, trap, capture, collect, or attempt to engage in any such conduct, the species.
5. Adult butterflies are often observed flying near the host plant as part of their mating behavior and laying eggs. Project-related activities must stop if the prickle bush is found in the project area and the PR harlequin butterfly is observed flying in that same area where the plan is located. A temporary 50- meter (164 feet) buffer zone of no activity or human disturbance should be established and clearly marked around that prickly bush until the butterfly moves out on its own.
6. Once the PR harlequin butterfly has moved away, within a period of 24 to 36 hours, a search of the prickly bush that has been buffered should be conducted to determine the presence of eggs, caterpillars, or chrysalids of the butterfly on the plant. The contractor or the Applicant should send a report of the observation and its findings to

	caribbean_es@fws.gov after the 36-hour search is concluded.
	7. If, after the initial search or after the 24 to 36-hour search, any life stage of the PR harlequin butterfly is found in the prickly bush, take the following actions: o Clearly mark the host plant with flagging tape. February 2024 o Establish a 10-meter (32-foot) buffer zone around the bush to protect it. o Eggs are typically found on the prickly bush's newly grown, tender branches. Once an egg hatches, the caterpillar moves and feeds throughout the plant. Therefore, avoid cutting off the prickly bush within the project site even if no eggs, caterpillars, or chrysalids are present. o Work within the 10-meter buffered area may resume when no signs of any live life stage of the butterfly are detected, which usually takes approximately 60 to 120 days.
	8. For all PR harlequin butterfly sightings (all life stages), the time and date of the sighting and the specific location where the butterfly was found must be recorded. Data should also include a photo of the butterfly (if possible) and the habitat where it was observed, site GPS coordinates, and comments on how the butterfly was detected and its behavior. All PR harlequin butterfly sighting reports should be sent to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Caribbean Ecological Service Field Office at caribbean_es@fws.gov.
	 9. For questions regarding the PR harlequin butterfly, the Point of Contact is: • José Cruz-Burgos, Threatened and Endangered Species Program Coordinator:
	o Mobile: 305-304-1386
	o Office phone: 786-244-0081
	o Office Direct Line: 939-320-3120
	o Email: jose_cruz-burgos@fws.gov
Historic Preservation	General Condition:
National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, particularly sections 106 and 110; 36 CFR Part 800	If historic properties are discovered, unanticipated effects on historic properties are found, or cultural materials are encountered, work should cease in the immediate area; work can continue where no historic properties or cultural materials are present. Please contact PRDOH to consult on further actions

	that may be necessary to protect historic properties or cultural materials.
Conformance with Plans / Compatible Land Use and Zoning / Scale and Urban Design	The proposed action is continued agricultural use of property, which is compatible with the existing land use.
	The applicant and/or construction manager is required to obtain any necessary local and territorial building and environmental permits prior to construction activities commencing.
Soil Suitability/ Slope/ Erosion/ Drainage/ Storm Water Runoff	Soil suitability will be assessed prior to construction. Contractors will be required to use best management practices during construction. DNER authorization is required for any extraction, removal, excavation, and dredging of the components of the earth's crust.
Vegetation, Wildlife	DNER authorization is required for pruning. The landowner and/or the contractor will contact the Permit Management Office to determine permits and authorizations required prior to construction.
Hazards and Nuisances including Site Safety and Noise	Contractors will be required to provide health and safety plans and monitoring during construction. Contractors will be required to comply with the applicable local noise ordinances. Noise impacts will be mitigated by restricting construction activities to daylight hours and to the hours established in the Regulation for Noise Control and Pollution in Puerto Rico.
Solid Waste Disposal / Recycling	All construction debris will be disposed of at the proper facilities for the debris type (i.e. construction waste).
Energy Consumption	The applicant is required to obtain authorization or permits from Prepa and/or Luma prior to expanding electrical connections.
Ground Disturbance	The applicant is required to obtain the necessary authorization or permits prior to ground disturbing activities taking place for the installation of the well.

Determination:

Finding of No Significant Impact [24 CFR 58.40(g)(1); 40 CFI The project will not result in a significant impact on the environment.	-
Finding of Significant Impact [24 CFR 58.40(g)(2); 40 CFR 15 The project may significantly affect the quality of the human er	-
Preparer Signature: <u>Allyn Ma</u>	_Date: <u>Jan 02, 2025</u>
Name/Title/Organization: <u>Allyson Rezac, Deputy program Mana</u>	ger,
Certifying Officer Signature:	Date: <u>1/15/2025</u>
Name/Title:	

This original, signed document and related supporting material must be retained on file by the Responsible Entity in an Environmental Review Record (ERR) for the activity/project (ref: 24 CFR Part 58.38) and in accordance with recordkeeping requirements for the HUD program(s).

Appendix A Project Overview Figures

Figure 1 Site Location Map





Figure 2 Site Vicinity Map



Figure 3 USGS Landslide Map



Appendix B Attachments and Supporting Documentation

Airport Hazards Partner Worksheet and Airport Hazards Map



This Worksheet was designed to be used by those "Partners" (including Public Housing Authorities, consultants, contractors, and nonprofits) who assist Responsible Entities and HUD in preparing environmental reviews, but legally cannot take full responsibilities for these reviews themselves. Responsible Entities and HUD should use the RE/HUD version of the Worksheet.

Airport Hazards (CEST and EA) – PARTNER

https://www.hudexchange.info/environmental-review/airport-hazards

- 1. To ensure compatible land use development, you must determine your site's proximity to civil and military airports. Is your project within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport?
 - \boxtimes No \rightarrow If the RE/HUD agrees with this recommendation, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Provide a map showing that the site is not within the applicable distances to a military or civilian airport.
 - \Box Yes \rightarrow Continue to Question 2.
- 2. Is your project located within a Runway Potential Zone/Clear Zone (RPZ/CZ) or Accident Potential Zone (APZ)?

 \Box Yes, project is in an APZ \rightarrow Continue to Question 3.

 \Box Yes, project is an RPZ/CZ \rightarrow Project cannot proceed at this location.

□No, project is not within an APZ or RPZ/CZ

→ If the RE/HUD agrees with this recommendation, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Provide a map showing that the site is not within either zone.

3. Is the project in conformance with DOD guidelines for APZ?

□Yes, project is consistent with DOD guidelines without further action.

→ If the RE/HUD agrees with this recommendation, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Provide any documentation supporting this determination.

 \Box No, the project cannot be brought into conformance with DOD guidelines and has not been approved. \rightarrow *Project cannot proceed at this location.*

If mitigation measures have been or will be taken, explain in detail the proposed measures that must be implemented to mitigate for the impact or effect, including the timeline for implementation.

Click here to enter text.

→ Work with the RE/HUD to develop mitigation measures. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Provide any documentation supporting this determination.

Worksheet Summary

Provide a full description of your determination and a synopsis of the information that it was based on, such as:

- Map panel numbers and dates
- Names of all consulted parties and relevant consultation dates
- Names of plans or reports and relevant page numbers
- Any additional requirements specific to your program or region

Include all documentation supporting your findings in your submission to HUD.

The project site is not within 2,500 feet (ft) of a civil primary or commercial service airport or within 15,000 ft of a military airport. The nearest civil primary or commercial service airport, Eugenio Maria De Hostos, is located 91,855 ft (17 miles) from the project site. The nearest military airport, Luis Munoz Marin International Airport, is located 322,342 ft (61 miles) from the project site. No further evaluation is required. The project is in compliance with airport hazards requirements.



Coastal Barrier Resources Act Partner Worksheet and Coastal Barrier Resources Map



This Worksheet was designed to be used by those "Partners" (including Public Housing Authorities, consultants, contractors, and nonprofits) who assist Responsible Entities and HUD in preparing environmental reviews, but legally cannot take full responsibilities for these reviews themselves. Responsible Entities and HUD should use the RE/HUD version of the Worksheet.

Coastal Barrier Resources (CEST and EA) – PARTNER

https://www.hudexchange.info/environmental-review/coastal-barrier-resources

Alabama	Georgia	Massachusetts	New Jersey	Puerto Rico	Virgin Islands
Connecticut	Louisiana	Michigan	New York	Rhode Island	Virginia
Delaware	Maine	Minnesota	North Carolina	South Carolina	Wisconsin
Florida	Maryland	Mississippi	Ohio	Texas	

Projects located in the following states must complete this form.

1. Is the project located in a CBRS Unit?

 \boxtimes No \rightarrow If the RE/HUD agrees with this recommendation, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Provide a map showing that the site is not within a CBRS Unit.

\Box Yes \rightarrow Continue to 2.

<u>Federal assistance for most activities may not be used at this location. You must either</u> <u>choose an alternate site or cancel the project.</u> In very rare cases, federal monies can be spent within CBRS units for certain exempted activities (e.g., a nature trail), after consultation with the Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) (see <u>16 USC 3505</u> for exceptions to limitations on expenditures).

2. Indicate your recommended course of action for the RE/HUD

- □ Consultation with the FWS
- $\hfill\square$ Cancel the project

Worksheet Summary

Provide a full description of your determination and a synopsis of the information that it was based on, such as:

- Map panel numbers and dates
- Names of all consulted parties and relevant consultation dates
- Names of plans or reports and relevant page numbers
- Any additional requirements specific to your program or region


Include all documentation supporting your findings in your submission to HUD.

The project site is not located in a Coastal Barrier Resource Systems Unit (CBRS) or Otherwise Protected Area (OPA). There are no CBRS units in Maricao. The closest CBRS unit, Punta Ballena, is located 74,508



This Worksheet was designed to be used by those "Partners" (including Public Housing Authorities, consultants, contractors, and nonprofits) who assist Responsible Entities and HUD in preparing environmental reviews, but legally cannot take full responsibilities for these reviews themselves. Responsible Entities and HUD should use the RE/HUD version of the Worksheet.

feet (14 miles) from the project site. No further evaluation is required. The project is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act.



Flood Insurance Partner Worksheet and Flood Insurance Rate Map



This Worksheet was designed to be used by those "Partners" (including Public Housing Authorities, consultants, contractors, and nonprofits) who assist Responsible Entities and HUD in preparing environmental reviews, but legally cannot take full responsibilities for these reviews themselves. Responsible Entities and HUD should use the RE/HUD version of the Worksheet.

Flood Insurance (CEST and EA) – PARTNER

https://www.hudexchange.info/environmental-review/flood-insurance

1. Does this project involve mortgage insurance, refinance, acquisition, repairs, rehabilitation, or construction of a structure, mobile home, or insurable personal property?

 \boxtimes No. This project does not require flood insurance or is excepted from flood insurance. \rightarrow Continue to the Worksheet Summary.

 \Box Yes \rightarrow Continue to Question 2.

2. Provide a FEMA/FIRM map showing the site.

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) designates floodplains. The <u>FEMA Map Service</u> <u>Center</u> provides this information in the form of FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs).

Is the structure, part of the structure, or insurable property located in a FEMA-designated Special Flood Hazard Area?

- \Box No \rightarrow Continue to the Worksheet Summary.
- \Box Yes \rightarrow Continue to Question 3.

3. Is the community participating in the National Flood Insurance Program *or* has less than one year passed since FEMA notification of Special Flood Hazards?

Yes, the community is participating in the National Flood Insurance Program.
 Flood insurance is required. Provide a copy of the flood insurance policy declaration or a paid receipt for the current annual flood insurance premium and a copy of the application for flood insurance.

 \rightarrow Continue to the Worksheet Summary.

 Yes, less than one year has passed since FEMA notification of Special Flood Hazards.
 If less than one year has passed since notification of Special Flood Hazards, no flood Insurance is required.

 \rightarrow Continue to the Worksheet Summary.

□ No. The community is not participating, or its participation has been suspended.
 <u>Federal assistance may not be used at this location.</u> Cancel the project at this location.

Worksheet Summary

Provide a full description of your determination and a synopsis of the information that it was based on, such as:

- Map panel numbers and dates
- Names of all consulted parties and relevant consultation dates
- Names of plans or reports and relevant page numbers
- Any additional requirements specific to your program or region

Include all documentation supporting your findings in your submission to HUD.

A review of the FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM), Community Panel 72000C1045H (effective date 04/19/2023), shows the project site is in Flood Zone X, which is not in a Special Flood Hazard Area (SFHA). Flood insurance is not required. No further evaluation is required. The project is in compliance with the Flood Disaster Protection Act and National Flood Insurance Reform Act.



Air Quality Partner Worksheet, List of Non-Attainment/Maintenance Status Counties in Puerto Rico, and Clean Air Map



This Worksheet was designed to be used by those "Partners" (including Public Housing Authorities, consultants, contractors, and nonprofits) who assist Responsible Entities and HUD in preparing environmental reviews, but legally cannot take full responsibilities for these reviews themselves. Responsible Entities and HUD should use the RE/HUD version of the Worksheet.

Air Quality (CEST and EA) – PARTNER

https://www.hudexchange.info/environmental-review/air-quality

1. Does your project include new construction or conversion of land use facilitating the development of public, commercial, or industrial facilities OR five or more dwelling units?

 \Box Yes \rightarrow Continue to Question 2.

- \boxtimes No \rightarrow If the RE/HUD agrees with this recommendation, the review is in compliance with this section. Provide any documents used to make your determination.
- 2. Is your project's air quality management district or county in non-attainment or maintenance status for any criteria pollutants?

Follow the link below to determine compliance status of project county or air quality management district:

http://www.epa.gov/oaqps001/greenbk/

- No, project's county or air quality management district is in attainment status for all criteria pollutants
 - → If the RE/HUD agrees with this recommendation, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Provide any documents used to make your determination.
- □ Yes, project's management district or county is in non-attainment or maintenance status for one or more criteria pollutants. \rightarrow Continue to Question 3.
- 3. Determine the <u>estimated emissions levels of your project for each of those criteria pollutants</u> that are in non-attainment or maintenance status on your project area. Will your project exceed any of the *de minimis or threshold* emissions levels of non-attainment and maintenance level pollutants or exceed the screening levels established by the state or air quality management district?

□ No, the project will not exceed *de minimis* or threshold emissions levels or screening levels

→ If the RE/HUD agrees with this recommendation, the review is in compliance with this section. Explain how you determined that the project would not exceed de minimis or threshold emissions.

- □ Yes, the project exceeds *de minimis* emissions levels or screening levels.
 - → Continue to Question 4. Explain how you determined that the project would not exceed de minimis or threshold emissions in the Worksheet Summary.
- 4. For the project to be brought into compliance with this section, all adverse impacts must be mitigated. Explain in detail the exact measures that must be implemented to mitigate for the impact or effect, including the timeline for implementation.

Click here to enter text.

Worksheet Summary

Provide a full description of your determination and a synopsis of the information that it was based on, such as:

- Map panel numbers and dates
- Names of all consulted parties and relevant consultation dates
- Names of plans or reports and relevant page numbers
- Any additional requirements specific to your program or region

Include all documentation supporting your findings in your submission to HUD.

The project site is in Maricao Municipio, which is within a U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) designated attainment area. Municipios in Nonattainment or Maintenance areas include Arecibo, Bayamon, Catano, Guaynabo, Salinas, San Juan and Toa Baja. Project activities include new construction of a water well. The project is not anticipated to have a negative impact on air quality. Emissions associated with the proposed actions are temporary and limited to the use of small construction equipment and will be well below the Federal General Conformity Rule de minimis thresholds. No further evaluation is required. The project is in compliance with the Clean Air Act.

Dogo

You are here: EPA Home > Green Book > >National Area and County-Level Multi-Pollutant Information >Puerto Rico Nonattainment/Maintenance Status for Each County by Year for All Criteria Pollutants

Puerto Rico Nonattainment/Maintenance Status for Each County by Year for All Criteria Pollutants

Data is current as of January 31, 2024

Listed by County, NAAQS, Area. The 8-hour Ozone (1997) standard was revoked on April 6, 2015 and the 1-hour Ozone (1979) standard was revoked on June 15, 2005.

* The 1997 Primary Annual PM-2.5 NAAQS (level of 15 μg/m³) is revoked in attainment and maintenance areas for that NAAQS. For additional information see the PM-2.5 NAAQS SIP Requirements Final Rule, effective October 24, 2016. (81 FR 58009)

Change the State:

PUERTO RICO 🗸 GO

Important Notes				ownload Nationa	al Dataset: dbf	xls	Data dictior	hary (PDF)	
County	NAAQS	Area Name	Nonattainment in Year	Redesignation to Maintenance	Classification	Whole or/ Part County	Population (2010)	State/ County FIPS Codes	
PUERTO RIO	PUERTO RICO								
Arecibo Municipio	Lead (2008)	Arecibo, PR	1112131415161718192021222324	//		Part	32,185	72/013	
Bayamon Municipio	Sulfur Dioxide (2010)	San Juan, PR	18192021222324	11		Part	22,921	72/021	
Catano Municipio	Sulfur Dioxide (2010)	San Juan, PR	18192021222324	11		Whole	28,140	72/033	
Guaynabo Municipio	PM-10 (1987)	Mun. of Guaynabo, PR	929394959697989900010203040506070809	02/11/2010	Moderate	Part	90,470	72/061	
Guaynabo Municipio	Sulfur Dioxide (2010)	San Juan, PR	18192021222324	17		Part	23,802	72/061	
Salinas Municipio	Sulfur Dioxide (2010)	Salinas PR	18192021222324	17		Part	23,401	72/123	
San Juan Municipio	Sulfur Dioxide (2010)	San Juan, PR	18192021222324	11		Part	147,963	72/127	
Toa Baja Municipio	Sulfur Dioxide (2010)	San Juan, PR	18192021222324	11		Part	52,441	72/137	

Important Notes

Discover.

Connect.

Ask.

Follow.

2024-01-31



Coastal Zone Management Partner Worksheet and Coastal Zone Map

SO THE ALL OF THE ALL

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT WASHINGTON, DC 20410-1000

This Worksheet was designed to be used by those "Partners" (including Public Housing Authorities, consultants, contractors, and nonprofits) who assist Responsible Entities and HUD in preparing environmental reviews, but legally cannot take full responsibilities for these reviews themselves. Responsible Entities and HUD should use the RE/HUD version of the Worksheet.

Coastal Zone Management Act (CEST and EA) – PARTNER

https://www.onecpd.info/environmental-review/coastal-zone-management

Alabama	Florida	Louisiana	Mississippi	Ohio	Texas		
Alaska	Georgia	Maine	New Hampshire	Oregon	Virgin Islands		
American Samona	Guam	Maryland	New Jersey	Pennsylvania	Virginia		
California	Hawaii	Massachusetts	New York	Puerto Rico	Washington		
Connecticut	Illinois	Michigan	North Carolina	Rhode Island	Wisconsin		
Delaware	Indiana	Minnesota	Northern Mariana Islands	South Carolina			

Projects located in the following states must complete this form.

1. Is the project located in, or does it affect, a Coastal Zone as defined in your state Coastal Management Plan?

- \Box Yes \rightarrow Continue to Question 2.
- \boxtimes No \rightarrow If the RE/HUD agrees with this recommendation, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Provide a map showing that the site is not within a Coastal Zone.

2. Does this project include activities that are subject to state review?

- \Box Yes \rightarrow Continue to Question 3.
- \square No \rightarrow If the RE/HUD agrees with this recommendation, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Provide documentation used to make your determination.
- **3.** Has this project been determined to be consistent with the State Coastal Management Program? □Yes, with mitigation. → The RE/HUD must work with the State Coastal Management Program to develop mitigation measures to mitigate the impact or effect of the project.

 \Box Yes, without mitigation. \rightarrow If the RE/HUD agrees with this recommendation, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Provide documentation used to make your determination.

 \Box No \rightarrow <u>Project cannot proceed at this location</u>.


Worksheet Summary

Provide a full description of your determination and a synopsis of the information that it was based on, such as:

- Map panel numbers and dates
- Names of all consulted parties and relevant consultation dates
- Names of plans or reports and relevant page numbers
- Any additional requirements specific to your program or region

Include all documentation supporting your findings in your submission to HUD.

The project site is not located within the Puerto Rico Coastal Management Zone. The closest coastal zone area is located 56,551 feet (11 miles) from the project site. No further evaluation is required. The project is in compliance with the Coastal Zone Management Act.



Contamination and Toxics Substances Partner Worksheet, Radon Agency Correspondence, and Map



This Worksheet was designed to be used by those "Partners" (including Public Housing Authorities, consultants, contractors, and nonprofits) who assist Responsible Entities and HUD in preparing environmental reviews, but legally cannot take full responsibilities for these reviews themselves. Responsible Entities and HUD should use the RE/HUD version of the Worksheet.

Contamination and Toxic Substances (Multifamily and Non-Residential

Properties) – PARTNER

https://www.hudexchange.info/programs/environmental-review/site-contamination

1. How was site contamination evaluated? ¹ Select all that apply.

- □ ASTM Phase I ESA
- □ ASTM Phase II ESA
- □ Remediation or clean-up plan
- □ ASTM Vapor Encroachment Screening
- $oxed{intermation}$ None of the above

 \rightarrow Provide documentation and reports and include an explanation of how site contamination was evaluated in the Worksheet Summary. Continue to Question 2.

 Were any on-site or nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive substances found that could affect the health and safety of project occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property? (Were any recognized environmental conditions or RECs identified in a Phase I ESA and confirmed in a Phase II ESA?)

 \boxtimes No \rightarrow Explain below.

Click here to enter text.

 \rightarrow If the RE/HUD agrees with this recommendation, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below.

 \Box Yes \rightarrow Describe the findings, including any recognized environmental conditions (RECs), in Worksheet Summary below. Continue to Question 3.

3. Can adverse environmental impacts be mitigated?

¹ HUD regulations at 24 CFR § 58.5(i)(2)(ii) require that the environmental review for multifamily housing with five or more dwelling units or non-residential property include the evaluation of previous uses of the site or other evidence of contamination on or near the site. For acquisition and new construction of multifamily and nonresidential properties HUD strongly advises the review include an ASTM Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) to meet real estate transaction standards of due diligence and to help ensure compliance with HUD's toxic policy at 24 CFR §58.5(i) and 24 CFR §50.3(i). Also note that some HUD programs require an ASTM Phase I ESA.

- \Box Adverse environmental impacts cannot feasibly be mitigated \rightarrow <u>HUD assistance may not be</u> used for the project at this site. Project cannot proceed at this location.
- □ Yes, adverse environmental impacts can be eliminated through mitigation. → Provide all mitigation requirements² and documents. Continue to Question 4.
- 4. Describe how compliance was achieved. Include any of the following that apply: State Voluntary Clean-up Program, a No Further Action letter, use of engineering controls³, or use of institutional controls⁴.

Click here to enter text.

If a remediation plan or clean-up program was necessary, which standard does it follow?

Complete removal

 \Box Risk-based corrective action (RBCA)

 \rightarrow Continue to the Worksheet Summary.

Worksheet Summary

Provide a full description of your determination and a synopsis of the information that it was based on, such as:

- Map panel numbers and dates
- Names of all consulted parties and relevant consultation dates
- Names of plans or reports and relevant page numbers
- Any additional requirements specific to your program or region

Include all documentation supporting your findings in your submission to HUD.

The project site was evaluated for potential contamination by conducting a field site inspection on 10/25/2023 to identify any onsite hazards including, but not limited to, soil staining, above ground storage tanks, signs of underground storage tanks, odors, hazardous debris etc.

The site inspection did not identify any onsite hazards, stained soil or vegetation and the project is in compliance with contamination and toxic substances requirements.

The project does not involve any occupied structures, and therefore, is exempt from considering radon as part of the site contamination analysis as per HUD Notice CDP- 23-103.

In addition, a desktop review of USEPA databases, NEPAssist, and other sources was conducted to determine if the project site was located near dump sites, junk yards, landfills, hazardous waste sites, or

² Mitigation requirements include all clean-up actions required by applicable federal, state, tribal, or local law. Additionally, provide, as applicable, the long-term operations and maintenance plan, Remedial Action Work Plan, and other equivalent documents.

³ Engineering controls are any physical mechanism used to contain or stabilize contamination or ensure the effectiveness of a remedial action. Engineering controls may include, without limitation, caps, covers, dikes, trenches, leachate collection systems, signs, fences, physical access controls, ground water monitoring systems and ground water containment systems including, without limitation, slurry walls and ground water pumping systems.

⁴ Institutional controls are mechanisms used to limit human activities at or near a contaminated site, or to ensure the effectiveness of the remedial action over time, when contaminants remain at a site at levels above the applicable remediation standard which would allow for unrestricted use of the property. Institutional controls may include structure, land, and natural resource use restrictions, well restriction areas, classification exception areas, deed notices, and declarations of environmental restrictions.

industrial sites, including USEPA National Priorities List Sites (Superfund sites), CERCLA or stateequivalent sites, RCRA Corrective Action sites with release(s) or suspected release(s) requiring clean-up action and/or further investigation. The parcel has been used for agricultural purposes and there has been no change in land use in the last 20 years. The project is located in a rural area of Maricao Municipio and will continue to be used for agricultural purpose.

The desktop review did not find any of the above-listed toxic, hazardous or radioactive substances in or within 3,000 feet of the project area. The project is in compliance with contamination and toxic substances requirements.

Radon Attachments GOVERNMENT OF PUERTO RICO

August 20, 2024

Mrs. Carmen R. Guerrero Pérez Director

Caribbean Environmental Protection Division City View Plaza II – Suite 7000 #48 Rd. 165 km 1.2 Guaynabo, PR 00968-8069

Vía email: <u>guerrero.carmen@epa.gov</u>

RE: Request for Information regarding available data on radon testing and levels within Puerto Rico

The Puerlo Rico Department of Housing (PRDOH) kindly requests your assistance in gathering data, information, or reports related to radon testing in Puerlo Rico, as this information is crucial for our compliance with the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Community Planning and Development (CPD) Notice CDP-23-103.

Community Planning and Development (CPD) Notice CDP-32-103. This Notice emphasizes the importance of radion testing and miligation in ensuring safe living environments, particularly in HUD-assitted properties. PRDOH, as the grantee of the Community Development Black Grant for Disaster Recovery and Miligation (CDB-DR/MIT), is responsible for ensuring compliance with environmental requirements under CDBG-DR/MIT programs. To fulfill our obligations under this Notice, we must complie comprehensive and up-to-date information on radion levels, testing practices, and any miligation efforts within the Islands of Puerto Rico.

Specifically, we are seeking for possible availability of the following information:

Radon testing data – Results from radon testing conducted within your agency's purview, including details on location, testing methods, and recorded radon levels.

Barbosa Ave. #606, Building Juan C. Cordero Davila, Rio Piedras, PR 00918 | PO Box 21365 San Juan, PR 00928-1365 Tel. (787) 274-2527 | www.invenda.pr.gov



August 20, 2024

Dr. Silvina Cancelos Professor College of Engineering University of Puerto Rico – Mayagüez Campus 259 Norte Blvd, Alfonso Valdés Cobián Mayagüez, Puerto Rico

Via email: <u>silvina.cancelos@upr.edu</u> RE: Request for Information regarding available data on radon testing and levels within Puerto Rico

The Puerto Rico Department of Housing (PRDOH) kindly requests your assistance in gathering data, information, or reports related to radon testing in Puerto Rico, as this information is crucial for our compliance with the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Community Planning and Development (CPD) Notice CDP-23-103.

Community Planning and Development (CPD) Notice CDP-23-103. This Notice emphasizes the importance of radion festing and miligation in ensuring safe living environments, particularly in HUD-assited properties. PRDOH, as the grantee of the Community Development Block Grant for Disaster Recovery and Miligation (CDB-CDR/MI), is responsible for ensuring compliance with environmental requirements under CDBG-DR/MIT programs. To fulfill our obligations under this Notice, we must comple comprehensive and up-to-date information on radion levels, testing practices, and any miligation efforts within the Islands of Puerto Rico.

Specifically, we are seeking for possible availability of the following information:

Radon testing data – Results from radon testing conducted within your agency's purview, including details on location, testing methods, and recorded radon levels.

Barbosa Ave. #606 , Building Juan C. Cordero Dávila, Rio Piedras, PR 00918 | PO Box 21365 San Juan, PR 00928-1365 Tel. (767) 274-2527 | www.viviendia.or.gov <u>Reports and assessments</u> – Any reports, studies, or assessments your agency has produced or commissioned that address radon testing or miligation.

Policies and auidelines – Information or any policy, guideline, or protocol your agency follows concerning radon testing, exposure limits, or mitigation.

<u>Historical data</u> – if available, historical data or trends in radon levels within the regions you monitor that may impact HUD-assisted housing.

This information is vital to ensure that our radon management strategies are practical and compliant with federal requirements. If some of this information may be sensitive or confidential, we are prepared to discuss any necessary agreements or protocols for sharing this data securely.

Please let us know if you require additional details or have any questions regarding this request. We would greatly appreciate your response by September 15, 2024, so we can incorporate this data into our ongoing compliance efforts.

Thank you in advance for your cooperation and support. We look forward to working together on this crifical initiative.

Sincerel Imm and iguez, Esq. Wille

Cc:

Mr. Oleg Povetko. <u>Povetko.Oleg@epa.gov</u> Mr. Matthew Laurita. <u>Jaurita.matthew@epa.gov</u>

> CD8G-DR/MIT Program Request for Information in relation with HUD CPD-23-103 for Puerto Rico Page 2 / 2

Reports and assessments – Any reports, studies, or assessments your agency has produced or commissioned that address radon testing or mitigation.

<u>Policies and guidelines</u> – Information or any policy, guideline, or protocol your agency follows concerning radon testing, exposure limits, or mitigation.

<u>Historical data</u> – if available, historical data or trends in radon levels within the regions you monitor that may impact HUD-assisted housing.

This information is vital to ensure that our radon management strategies are practical and compliant with federal requirements. If some of this information may be sensitive or confidential, we are prepared to discuss any necessary agreements or protocols for sharing this data securely.

Please let us know if you require additional details or have any questions regarding this request. We would greatly appreciate your response by September 15, 2024, so we can incorporate this data into our ongoing compliance efforts.

Thank you in advance for your cooperation and support. We look forward to working together on this critical initiative. Sincerely.

Manuez Robriguez, Esq. William O. Secretary

Cc: Dr. Carlos Marín, <u>carlos.marin3@upr.edu</u>

CDBG-DR/MIT Program Request for Information in relation with HUD CPD-23-103 for Puerto Ric Page 2 /

GOVERNMENT OF PUERTO RICO

August 20, 2024

Dr. Jessica Irizarry

Director Office of Island Affairs U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 1324 Cll Canada, San Juan, 00920 Guaynabo, PR 00968-8069

Via email: <u>OIA@cdc.gov</u>

RE: Request for Information regarding available data on radon testing and levels within Puerto Rico

The Puerto Rico Department of Housing (PRDOH) kindly requests your assistance in gathering data, information, or reports related to radon testing in Puerto Rico, as this information is crucial for our compliance with the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Community Planning and Development (CPD) Notice CDP-23-103.

This Notice emphasizes the importance of radon testing and mitigation in ensuring safe living environments, particularly in HUD-assisted properties. PRDOH, as the grantee of the Community Development Block Grant for Disaster Recovery and Mitigation (CDBG-DR/MIT), is responsible for ensuring compliance with environmental requirements under CDBG-DR/MIT programs. To fulfill our obligations under this Notice, we must compile comprehensive and up-to-date information on radon levels, testing practices, and any mitigation efforts within the islands of Puerto Rico.

Specifically, we are seeking for possible availability of the following information:

Radon testing data – Results from radon testing conducted within your agency's purview, including details on location, testing methods, and recorded radon levels.

Barbosa Ave. #606 , Building Juan C. Cordero Dávila, Rio Piedras, PR 00918 | PO Box 21365 San Juan, PR 00928-1365 Tel. (787) 274-2527 | www.vivienda.pr.gov



August 20, 2024

Mrs. Anaís Rodríguez

Secretary Puerto Rico Department of Natural Resources Carretera 8838, km. 6.3, Sector El Cinco, Río Piedras San Juan, PR 00926

Vía email: anais.rodriguez@dma.pr.gov

RE: Request for Information regarding available data on radon testing and levels within Puerto Rico

The Puerto Rico Department of Housing (PRDOH) kindly requests your assistance in gathering data, information, or reports related to radon testing in Puerto Rico, as this information is crucial for our compliance with the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Community Planning and Development (CPD) Notice CDP-23-103.

This Notice emphasizes the importance of radon testing and mitigation in ensuring safe living environments, particularly in HUD-assisted properties. PRDOH, as the grantee of the Community Development Block Grant for Disaster Recovery and Mitigation (CDBG-DR/MIT), is responsible for ensuring compliance with environmental requirements under CDBG-DR/MIT programs. To fulfill our obligations under this Notice, we must complie comprehensive and up-to-date information on radon levels, testing practices, and any mitigation efforts within the islands of Puerto Rico.

Specifically, we are seeking for possible availability of the following information:

<u>Radon testing data</u> – Results from radon testing conducted within your agency's purview, including details on location, testing methods, and recorded radon levels.

 $\frac{Reports \ and \ assessments}{agency has produced or commissioned that address radion testing or mitigation.}$

Barbosa Ave. #606, Building Juan C. Cordero Dávila, Rio Piedras, PR 00918 | PO Box 21365 San Juan, PR 00928-1365 Tel. (787) 274-2527 | www.vviienda.pr.gov Reports and assessments – Any reports, studies, or assessments your agency has produced or commissioned that address radon testing or mitigation.

Policies and guidelines – Information or any policy, guideline, or protocol your agency follows concerning radon testing, exposure limits, or milligation.

<u>Historical data</u> – if available, historical data or trends in radon levels within the regions you monitor that may impact HUD-assisted housing.

This information is vital to ensure that our radon management strategies are practical and compliant with federal requirements. If some of this information may be sensitive or confidential, we are prepared to discuss any necessary agreements or protocols for sharing this data securely.

Please let us know if you require additional details or have any questions regarding this request. We would greatly appreciate your response by September 15, 2024, so we can incorporate this data into our ongoing compliance efforts.

Thank you in advance for your cooperation and support. We look forward to working together on this critical initiative.

Sincerely

D. Rodríguez, Esq

CD8G-DR/MIT Program Request for Information in relation with HUD CPD-23-103 for Puerto Rico Page 2 / 2

Policies and guidelines – Information or any policy, guideline, or protocol your agency follows concerning radon testing, exposure limits, or miligation.

<u>Historical data</u> – if available, historical data or trends in radon levels within the regions you monitor that may impact HUD-assisted housing.

This information is vital to ensure that our radon management strategies are practical and compliant with federal requirements. If some of this information may be sensitive or confidential, we are prepared to discuss any necessary agreements or protocols for sharing this data securely.

Please let us know if you require additional details or have any questions regarding this request. We would greatly appreciate your response by September 15, 2024, so we can incorporate this data into our ongoing compliance efforts.

Thank you in advance for your cooperation and support. We look forward to working together on this critical initiative. Sincerely.

William O. Rodríguez, Esq. Secretary

Secretary

Cc: Mr. Luis Márquez, <u>secretariaaire@drna.pr.gov</u> Eng. Amarilys Rosario, <u>aire@drna.pr.gov</u> Mrs. Elid Ortega, <u>eortega@drna.pr.gov</u>

August 20, 2024

Dr. Carlos R. Mellado López Secretary Puerto Rico Department of Health PO Box 70184 San Juan, PR 00936-8184

Vía email: drcarlos.mellado@salud.pr.gov

RE: Request for Information regarding available data on radon testing and levels within Puerto Rico

The Puerto Rico Department of Housing (PRDOH) kindly requests your assistance in gathering data, information, or reports related to radon testing in Puerto Rico, as this information is crucial for our compliance with the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Community Planning and Development (CPD) Notice CDP-23-103.

This Notice emphasizes the importance of radon testing and mitigation in ensuring safe living environments, particularly in HUD-assisted properties. PRDOH, as the grantee of the Community Development Block Grant for Disaster Recovery and Mitigation (CDBG-DR/MIT), is responsible for ensuring compliance with environmental requirements under CDBG-DR/MIT programs. To futill our obligations under this Notice, we must complie comprehensive and up-to-date information on radon levels, testing practices, and any mitigation efforts within the Islands of Puerto Rico.

Specifically, we are seeking for possible availability of the following information:

Radon testing data – Results from radon testing conducted within your agency's purview, including details on location, testing methods, and recorded radon levels.

<u>Reports and assessments</u> – Any reports, studies, or assessments your agency has produced or commissioned that address radon testing or mitigation.

Barbosa Ave. #606, Building Juan C. Cordero Dávila, Río Piedras, PR 00918 | PO Box 21365 San Juan, PR 00928-1365 Tel. (787) 274-2527 | www.vivienda.or.gov



August 20, 2024

Mrs. Holly Weyers Regional Director, Southeast – Puerto Rico US Geological Survey 3916 Sunset Ridge Road Raleigh, NC 27607

Vía email: <u>hsweyers@usgs.gov</u>

RE: Request for Information regarding available data on radon testing and levels within Puerto Rico

The Puerto Rico Department of Housing (PRDOH) kindly requests your assistance in gathering data, information, or reports related to radon testing in Puerto Rico, as this information is crucial for our compliance with the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Community Planning and Development (CPD) Notice CDP-23-103.

This Notice emphasizes the importance of radon testing and mitigation in ensuring safe living environments, particularly in HUD-assisted properties. PRDOH, as the grantee of the Community Development Block Grant for Disaster Recovery and Mitigation (CDBG-DR/MIT), is responsible for ensuring compliance with environmental requirements under CDBG-DR/MIT programs. To fulfill our obligations under this Notice, we must compile comprehensive and up-to-date information on radon levels, testing practices, and any mitigation efforts within the islands of Puerto Rico.

Specifically, we are seeking for possible availability of the following information:

<u>Radon testing data</u> – Results from radon testing conducted within your agency's purview, including details on location, testing methods, and recorded radon levels.

 $\frac{Reports \ and \ assessments}{agency has produced or commissioned that address radion testing or mitigation.}$

Barbosa Ave. #606 , Building Juan C. Cordero Dávila, Rio Piedras, PR 00918 | PO Box 21365 San Juan, PR 00928-1365 Tel. (787) 274-2527 | www.vivienda.or.gov CDBG-DR/MIT Program Request for Information in relation with HUD CPD-23-103 for Puerto Rico Page 2 / 2

<u>Policies and guidelines</u> – Information or any policy, guideline, or protocol your agency follows concerning radon testing, exposure limits, or mitigation.

<u>Historical data</u> – If available, historical data or trends in radon levels within the regions you monitor that may impact HUD-assisted housing.

This information is vital to ensure that our radon management strategies are practical and compliant with federal requirements. It some of this information may be sensitive or confidential, we are prepared to discuss any necessary agreements or protocols for sharing this data securely.

Please let us know if you require additional details or have any questions regarding this request. We would greatly appreciate your response by September 15, 2024, so we can incorporate this data into our ongoing compliance efforts.

Thank you in advance for your cooperation and support. We look forward to working together on this critical initiative. Sincerely.

bodríguez Rodríguez, Esq. Willa atary

ourorury

Cc: Mr. Raúl Hernández Doble, rhernandez2@salud.pr.gov

> CDBG-DR/MIT Program Request for Information in relation with HUD CPD-23-103 for Puerto Rico Page 2 / 2

Policies and guidelines – Information or any policy, guideline, or protocol your agency follows concerning radon testing, exposure limits, or mitigation.

<u>Historical data</u> – if available, historical data or trends in radon levels within the regions you monitor that may impact HUD-assisted housing.

This information is vital to ensure that our radon management strategies are practical and compliant with federal requirements. If some of this information may be sensitive or confidential, we are prepared to discuss any necessary agreements or protocols for sharing this data securely.

Please let us know if you require additional details or have any questions regarding this request. We would greatly appreciate your response by September 15, 2024, so we can incorporate this data into our ongoing compliance efforts.

Thank you in advance for your cooperation and support. We look forward to working together on this critical initiative. Sincerely,

dríquez, Esq. William Ø. Secretary

Mr. R. Randall Schumann, rschumann@usgs.gov

From:	Charp, Paul (CDC/NCEH/DEHSP) <pac4@cdc.gov></pac4@cdc.gov>
Sent:	Tuesday, September 3, 2024 6:36 AM
To:	Miranda, Sandra (CDC/PHIC/DPS); Irizarry, Jessica (CDC/PHIC/DPS); Rzeszotarski, Peter
	(CDC/NCEH/DEHSP); Vinson, D. Aaron (CDC/NCEH/DEHSP)
Cc:	Kostak, Liana (CDC/PHIC/DPS); Vazquez, Germaine (CDC/NCEH/DEHSP)
Subject:	RE: REHi: Puerto Rico Request for Information- Randon testing and levels

Good morning, Sandra and others,

In response to the request from Mr. William Rodriguez of the Department of Housing, Government of Puerto Rico, I have reviewed all the available data within the CDC National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network system for data related to radon in Puerto Rico. In addition to the tracking data available on the internet, I also reached out to Mr. Aaron Vinson of the NCEH Tracking Branch.

I was not able to find any data in the CDC systems and this was confirmed by Mr. Vinson. We also reached out the US Environmental Protection Agency who indicated they had no radon data in their systems. Please relay this information to Mr. Rodríguez in your response to his requests

If you have any additional questions, please contact me.

Thank you and best regards,

Paul A. Charp, Ph.D., Fellow, HPS Senior Health Physicist Emerging Environmental Hazards and Health Effects Branch (EEHHEB) Division of Environmental Health Science and Practice (DEHSP) National Center for Environmental Health (NCEH) Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) pcharp@cdc.gov 770-488-0723 office 404.388.0614 Cell



From: Schumann, R. Randall <rschumann@usgs.gov> Sent: Wednesday, August 21, 2024 4:39 PM To: Melanie Medina Smaine <mmedina@vivienda.pr.gov>; Weyers, Holly S <hsweyers@usgs.gov> Cc: Elaine Dume Mejia <Edume@vivienda.pr.gov>; Luz S Colon Ortiz <Lcolon@vivienda.pr.gov>; Aldo A. Rivera-Vazquez <aarivera@vivienda.pr.gov> Subject: RE: Request for Information- Radon testing and levels

Dear Ms. Medina Smaine,

In the early 1990s the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) conducted geologic assessments of radon potential for all 50 states and the territories of Guam and Puerto Rico, in collaboration with the U.S. EPA. I conducted the geologic radon potential assessment for Puerto Rico. The PDF file of the report is too large to attach to this message but it can be obtained at https://pubs.usgs.gov/of/1993/0292k/report.pdf. The USGS did not conduct indoor radon testing and we did not conduct field studies associated with this assessment; it was based on existing data. Mr. David Saldana of the Puerto Rico Department of Health kindly provided us with data for 610 homes that were tested for indoor radon by his agency between 1993 and 1995, which are summarized in the report. I am not aware of any other radon-related geologic studies conducted in the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico by the U.S. Geological Survey.

Best regards,

R. Randall Schumann Scientist Emeritus U.S. Geological Survey Geociences and Environmental Change Science Center Denver, Colorado, USA <u>rschumann@usgs.gov</u> <u>https://www.usgs.gov/staff-profiles/r-randall-schumann</u>

From: Raul Hernandez Doble <rhernandez2@salud.pr.gov> Sent: Wednesday, August 21, 2024 2:13:31 PM To: Melanie Medina Smaine <mmedina@vivienda.pr.gov>; Dr. Carlos Mellado <drcarlos.mellado@salud.pr.gov> Cc: Elaine Dume Mejia <Edume@vivienda.pr.gov>; Luz S Colon Ortiz <Lcolon@vivienda.pr.gov>; Aldo A. Rivera-Vazquez <aarivera@vivienda.pr.gov>; Mayra Toro Tirado <mtoro@salud.pr.gov> Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL]Request for Information- Randon testing and levels

Good afternoon. Ms. Medina

I regret to inform that we do not have any recent information on radon testing, since we do not have a certified radiation laboratory certified for radon testing. There are companies that sell test kits available online that can be done and mailed to a testing laboratory. There are also lists of radon contractors and these companies that process radon testing cartridges with instructions, on the Environmental Protection Agency Indoor air Quality web page. The last radon study in Puerto Rico done by the PR Department of Health was done on the year 1993.

Raul Hernandez Doble Director, Seccion Salud Radiologica Division de Salud Ambiental Secretaria Auxiliar para la Vigilancia y la Proteccion de la Salud Publica <u>rhernandez2@salud.gov.pr</u> Phone: (787)765-2929 ext. 3210 From: Reyes, Brenda <Reyes.Brenda@epa.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, September 18, 2024 11:48 AM
To: Cesar O Rodriguez Santos <cesarrodriguez@drna.pr.gov>; Maritza Rosa Olivares <maritzarosaolivares@drna.pr.gov>; Silvina Cancelos Mancini <silvina.cancelos@upr.edu>; Melanie Medina Smaine <mmedina@vivienda.pr.gov>
Cc: Elaine Dume Mejia <Edume@vivienda.pr.gov>; Luz S Colon Ortiz <Lcolon@vivienda.pr.gov>; Aldo A. Rivera-Vazquez <aarivera@vivienda.pr.gov>; Povetko, Oleg (he/him/his) <Povetko.Oleg@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Request for Information- Randon testing and levels

Saludos.

La EPA esta trabajando una respuesta a su petición. Se sometió borrador a la directora y el subdirector para su aprobación y firma.

Brenda Reyes Tomassini Public Affairs U.S. EPA Region 2 Caribbean Environmental Protection Division (787) 977-5869/(787) 977-5865 Mobile: 202-834-1290

From: Silvina Cancelos Mancini <<u>silvina.cancelos@upr.edu</u>> Sent: Friday, September 6, 2024 15:04 To: Melanie Medina Smaine <<u>mmedina@vivienda.pr.gov</u>> Cc: Elaine Dume Mejia <<u>Edume@vivienda.pr.gov</u>>; Luz S Colon Ortiz <<u>Lcolon@vivienda.pr.gov</u>>; Aldo A. Rivera-Vazquez <<u>aarivera@vivienda.pr.gov</u>>; Maritza Rosa Olivares <<u>maritzarosaolivares@drna.pr.gov</u>>; Reyes, Brenda <<u>Reyes.Brenda@epa.gov</u>>; Povetko, Oleg <<u>Povetko.Oleg@epa.gov</u>> Subject: Re: Request for Information- Randon testing and levels

Estimada Melanie Medina

Quería dejarle saber que recibimos su correo el 21 de agosto al igual que el de Maritza Rosa el pasado 4 de septiembre. Ya las personas involucradas de EPA, junto conmigo y el Dr. Marín estamos al tanto del asunto y estamos trabajando para poder enviarles la información.

Atentamente

Silvina Cancelos Professor Associate Director Mechanical Engineering Department University of Puerto Rico - Mayaguez Call BOX 9000 Mayaguez PR 00680 Tel: 787-832-4040 ext 5956 email: <u>silvina.cancelos@upr.edu</u>



Bubble Dynamics Lab University of Puerto Rico - Mayaguez



September 23, 2024

William O. Rodríguez Rodríguez, Esq. Secretary Puerto Rico Department of Housing Barbosa Ave. 606 Building Juan C. Cordero San Juan, PR 00917 Email: W.Rodriguez@vivienda.pr.gov

EPA Response to August 20, 2024 request for information of data on radon testing and levels in Puerto Rico RE:

Dear Honorable Secretary Rodríguez Rodríguez

This communication is in response to your letter of August 20, 2024 addressed to the Puerto Rico Department of Natural and Environmental Resources (DNER) and referred to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) regarding available data on radon testing and levels within Puerto Rico

EPA's National Radon Action Plan 2021–2025 sets a goal for the nation to find, fix and prevent high indoor radon levels in 8 million buildings by 2025 and prevent 3,500 lung cancer deaths per year. Under this Plan, leaders from across multiple sectors are working together to plan, guide, and sustain nationwide action to prevent exposure to radon.

Due to the lack of data in Puerto Rico, EPA undertook an investigation in collaboration with the University of Puerto Rico-Mayaguez (UPRM) Campus, Departments of Civil Engineering and Surveying and Mechanical Engineering, to find out if radon presented a problem in Puerto Rico. Up until 2021, the only data we had for Puerto Rico was a 1993-1995 mail-in radon screening study referred to by the U.S. Geological Survey report (USGS, 1995) in which the USGS concluded that several areas of Puetor Rico have the geologic potential to generate indoor radio Heel's exceeding the EPA Action Level of 4 pC/L (piccouries per liter), perhaps locally reaching very high levels above 50 pC/L, if a house construction and

CITY VIEW PLAZA II BUILDING, 7TH FLOOR ROUTE 165 GUAYNABO, PR 00968

ventilation allow for soil-gas radon to enter and concentrate within the structure.¹ According to the USGS report, most of these areas are located in the northwest part of the island. Please note that the actual 1993-1995 study documentation is not available to the EPA.

Typical radon testing technology used in mainland United States (charcal canisters or electric-powered devices) are impractical in Puerto Rico because of high humidity and power outages. The recovery and rebuilding of communities following the aftermath of 2017 Hurricanes Irma and Maria presented an opportunity to develop radon prevention and mitigation strategies in 2019. Initially, EPA sampled indoor radon air in over 170 single-family residences in the municipalities of San Sebastian. Lares, Cales, Arecibo, Moroxis, Camuy, and Hatillo and later expanded the project to other municipalities such as Rincon, Aguada, Ruadallia, Isabela, Querbardilas, Barceloneta and Vega Baja. The quality assurance protocols were anchored in American National Standards institute/American Association of Radon Scientists and technologistic (ANS/JAARS) Tsathadrads of practice (ANS/JAARS, 2019). The sampling was designed in two stages: scoping and confirmatory sampling. The scoping sampling was conducted using Correntium Home (CH) electronic monitors and Ferm systems. Locations measuring above the EPA Action Level of 4 pC/L with CH were measured at the second stage of the sampling using RAD7 and Corentium Pro Continuous Radon Monitors (CRMS). Nationally certified radon sampling in the second stage. Also, during the study, the nationally certified radon mitigation professionals led by one such professional levels. Typical radon testing technology used in mainland United States (charcoal canisters or electric-powered levels.

Mapping radon in Puerto Rico proved to be a complicated endeavor given the COVID-19 pandemic in Mapping Takon in Planto Nico proves to obe a complexicate encessive given use ComPlantemic tim 2020. EPA and UPAK continue to work on the project, however, results have not been finalized, and no scientific report has been published yet. Unfortunately, EPA cannot share preliminary data this time because it contains privileged information. Nevertheless, preliminary data from the study does show homes with levels over 4 pCr/L (EPA Action Level) that might need mitigation to protect the health of their inhabitants.

Although many states have developed laws and regulations governing radon disclosure, certification, and mitigation, Puerto Rico lacks legislation or mandatory radon testing provisions for new construction, remodeling, setuing or bunying bomes. Given this loophole and aiming to answer your request, the EPA can provide information on Best Management Practices for sampling indoor radon in Puerto Rico.

¹ Reference: USGS. Geologic Radon Potential of Guam and Puerto Rico, Report 93-292-K. Washington, DC: USGS. Retrieved 9/11/2024, from https://pubs.usgs.gov/of/1993/0292k/report.pdf. 2


If you have any questions or need any additional information, please contact me at 787-977-5865 or guerrero.carmen@epa.gov or have your staff contact Reyes, Brenda at reves.brenda@epa.gov or (787) 977-5869.

Sincerely,
CARMEN
GUERRERO
PEREZ
Carmen R. Guerrero I

Digitally signed by CARMEN GUERRERO PEREZ Date: 2024.09.23 09:41:39 -04'00' Pérez Director

Roberto Mendez, Esq (Acting Secretary, PR Department of Natural and Env. Resources) cc: Melany Medina: mmedina@vivienda.pr.gov Elaine Dume Mejia: Edume@vivienda.pr.gov Luz S Colon Ortiz: <u>Lcolon@vivienda.pr.gov</u> Aldo A. Rivera-Vazquez: <u>aarivera@vivienda.pr.gov</u>

cesar o. nounguez.	esarrounguez@urna.pr.gov	
Marita Rosa Olivares:	maritzarosaolivares@drna.pr	r.gov



Endangered Species Act Partner Worksheet, Threatened and Endangered Species USFWS Consultation with IPaC, and Critical Habitat Map



This Worksheet was designed to be used by those "Partners" (including Public Housing Authorities, consultants, contractors, and nonprofits) who assist Responsible Entities and HUD in preparing environmental reviews, but legally cannot take full responsibilities for these reviews themselves. Responsible Entities and HUD should use the RE/HUD version of the Worksheet.

Endangered Species Act (CEST and EA) – PARTNER

https://www.hudexchange.info/environmental-review/endangered-species

- 1. Does the project involve any activities that have the potential to affect species or habitats?
 - □No, the project will have No Effect due to the nature of the activities involved in the project.
 - → If the RE/HUD agrees with this recommendation, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Provide any documents used to make your determination.

□No, the project will have No Effect based on a letter of understanding, memorandum of agreement, programmatic agreement, or checklist provided by local HUD office.

Explain your determination:

Click here to enter text.

→ If the RE/HUD agrees with this recommendation, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Provide any documents used to make your determination.

 \boxtimes Yes, the activities involved in the project have the potential to affect species and/or habitats. \rightarrow *Continue to Question 2.*

2. Are federally listed species or designated critical habitats present in the action area?

Obtain a list of protected species from the Services. This information is available on the FWS Website.

 \Box No, the project will have No Effect due to the absence of federally listed species and designated critical habitat.

- → If the RE/HUD agrees with this recommendation, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Provide any documents used to make your determination. Documentation may include letters from the Services, species lists from the Services' websites, surveys or other documents and analysis showing that there are no species in the action area.
- \boxtimes Yes, there are federally listed species or designated critical habitats present in the action area. \rightarrow Continue to Question 3.

- 3. Recommend one of the following effects that the project will have on federally listed species or designated critical habitat:
 - □ No Effect: Based on the specifics of both the project and any federally listed species in the action area, you have determined that the project will have absolutely no effect on listed species or critical habitat.
 - → If the RE/HUD agrees with this recommendation, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Provide any documents used to make your determination. Documentation should include a species list and explanation of your conclusion, and may require maps, photographs, and surveys as appropriate.
 - May Affect, Not Likely to Adversely Affect: Any effects that the project may have on federally listed species or critical habitats would be beneficial, discountable, or insignificant.
 - → Partner entities should not contact the Services directly. If the RE/HUD agrees with this recommendation, they will have to complete Informal Consultation. Provide the RE/HUD with a biological evaluation or equivalent document. They may request additional information, including surveys and professional analysis, to complete their consultation.

Likely to Adversely Affect: The project may have negative effects on one or more listed species or critical habitat.

→ Partner entities should not contact the Services directly. If the RE/HUD agrees with this recommendation, they will have to complete Formal Consultation. Provide the RE/HUD with a biological evaluation or equivalent document. They may request additional information, including surveys and professional analysis, to complete their consultation.

Worksheet Summary

Provide a full description of your determination and a synopsis of the information that it was based on, such as:

- Map panel numbers and dates
- Names of all consulted parties and relevant consultation dates
- Names of plans or reports and relevant page numbers
- Any additional requirements specific to your program or region

Include all documentation supporting your findings in your submission to HUD.

Threatened, endangered, and migratory bird species were identified by reviewing data from the United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) Information and Planning Consultation (IPaC) Tool. In addition, critical species habitat was reviewed through the USFWS IPaC Critical Habitat Portal.

The review identified five federally listed species (Puerto Rican broad-winged hawk [Buteo platypterus brunnescens], Puerto Rican parrot [Amazona vittata], Puerto Rican sharp-shinned hawk [Accipiter striatus venator], Puerto Rican boa [Chilabothrus inornatus], and the Puerto Rican harlequin butterfly [Atlantea tulita]) with the potential to occur within the project area. There is no designated or proposed critical habitat within the project area; the closest final designated critical habitat is located 9,688 feet (2 mi) away.

The project area is adjacent to forested areas; therefore, the applicant will employ conservation measures for all five species, as outlined in Appendix E of the attached USACE informal consultation letter. As such, it is anticipated that the project may affect, but is not likely to adversely affect the Puerto Rican broad-winged hawk, Puerto Rican parrot, Puerto Rican boa, Puerto Rican sharp-shinned hawk, and Puerto Rican harlequin butterfly. The project will have no effect on designated critical habitat. See the attached USFWS informal consultation request and subsequent concurrence, dated September 30, 2024.



10245 West Little York Road, Suite 600 Houston, Texas 77040 Tel 281.617.3217 Fax 281.617.3227 www.swca.com

August 26, 2024

Lourdes Mena Field Supervisor Caribbean Ecological Services Field Office U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Office Park I, Suite 303 State Road #2 Km 156.5 Mayagüez, Puerto Rico 00680 Email: <u>Caribbean_es@fws.gov</u>; <u>Lourdes_Mena@fws.gov</u>

Re: Federally Listed Threatened and Endangered Species Evaluation for the Puerto Rico Department of Housing ReGrow PR-RGRW-01322 Project/ SWCA Project No. 72428

Dear Ms. Mena:

SWCA Environmental Consultants (SWCA), on behalf of the Puerto Rico Department of Housing, is requesting informal consultation under Section 7(a)(2) of the Endangered Species Act (Act) (87 Stat. 884, as amended; 16 United States Code 1531 *et seq.*), and in accordance with the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act (47 Stat. 401, as amended; 16 U.S.C. 661 *et seq.*) for the proposed ReGrow PR-RGRW-01322 Project (project). The Project is located on 2.69 acres at Carretera 105 KM 42.1 Indiera Baja, Maricao, PR 00606 (66.89757°W 18.158342°N).

The proposed Project involves the construction of a new water well. Construction of the water well will not require any vegetation removal or tree clearing.

Using the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) Information for Planning and Consultation (IPaC) system, we have determined that the proposed project lies within the range of the following federally listed species:

Species	Listing Status
Puerto Rican Boa (Chilabothrus inornatus)	Endangered
Puerto Rican Broad-winged Hawk (Buteo platypterus brunnescens)	Endangered
Puerto Rican Parrot (<i>Amazona vittata</i>) Endangered	
Puerto Rican Sharp-shinned Hawk (Accipiter striatus venator)	Endangered
Puerto Rican Harlequin Butterfly (Atlantea tulita)	Threatened

No designated or proposed critical habitat for any listed species was identified within the project area. Based on the nature of the project, scope of work, information available, and a careful analysis of the existing habitat, we have made the following effects determinations:

Species	Effect Determination	Conservation Measures to be Implemented
Puerto Rican Boa	Not likely to adversely affect	Puerto Rican Boa General
(Chilabothrus inornatus)	(NLAA)	Project Design Guidelines
Puerto Rican Broad-winged Hawk	Not likely to adversely affect	Puerto Rican Broad-winged
(Buteo platypterus brunnescens)	(NLAA)	Hawk Conservation Measures
Puerto Rican Parrot (Amazona vittata)	No effect (NE)	No Conservation Measures
Puerto Rican Sharp-shinned Hawk	Not likely to adversely affect	Puerto Rican Sharp-shinned
(Accipiter striatus venator)	(NLAA)	Hawk Conservation Measures
Puerto Rican Harlequin Butterfly (Atlantea tulita)	No effect (NE)	No Conservation Measures

In accordance with the 2024 Puerto Rican Boa General Project Design Guidelines, if a Puerto Rican Boa (PR Boa) is found in the project action site, work shall cease until the individual moves off on its own. If the PR Boa does not move off, the construction manager shall contact the Puerto Rico Department of Natural and Environmental Resources and ask for them to relocate the PR Boa.

In order to complete the informal consultation process, we are requesting your concurrence for the NLAA determinations included in this letter. Attached to this letter, we are including the documents used to reach our effect determinations for the listed species. If you have any questions or require any additional information, please contact me at (346) 388-1157 or susan.fischer@swca.com.

Sincerely,

InFish

Susan Fischer Wildlife Ecologist SWCA Environmental Consultants

Attachments: Threatened and Endangered Species Technical Memorandum



10245 West Little York Road, Suite 600 Houston, Texas 77040 Tel 281.617.3217 Fax 713.896.3189 www.swca.com

2/68

TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM

To:	Caribbean Ecological Services Field Office U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service P.O. Box 491 Boquerón, Puerto Rico 00622
From:	Susan Fischer, Project Biologist on behalf of the Puerto Rico Department of Housing
Date:	June 11, 2024
Re:	Threatened and Endangered Species Evaluation for the Puerto Rico Department of Housing ReGrow PR-RGRW-01322 Project/ SWCA Project No. 72428

Project Description

Reynaldo Ruiz Feliciano, the applicant, is proposing to construct a new water well on a 2.69-acre property in the Municipio of Maricao, Puerto Rico (project) (Appendix A, Figure 1). The project is located at Carretera 105 KM 42.1 Indiera Baja, Maricao, PR 00606, in a rural area. The proposed water well will be approximately 400 feet deep with a ground surface area of approximately 16 square inches and a diameter up to 8 inches (Appendix A, Figure 2).

Existing conditions

The existing habitat conditions at the proposed water well location consist of barren ground surrounded by shrubs and trees. There are no wetlands or waterbodies mapped within or adjacent to the subject property (Appendix A, Figure 3). Construction of the water well would not require any tree clearing or vegetation removal. Representative photographs of the proposed locations are provided in Appendix B.

Federally Protected Species

SWCA obtained a federal threatened and endangered species list from the USFWS (2024) Information for Planning and Consultation ("IPaC") website for a 100-foot buffer around the water well location (review area) (Appendix C). The IPaC automatically generates a list of species and other resources of concern, such as critical habitat, that are known or expected to be in the specified area and could potentially be directly or indirectly affected. According to the IPaC query for the project, five federally listed endangered species has the potential to occur in the review area; the Puerto Rican broad-winged hawk (*Buteo platypterus brunnescens*), Puerto Rican Parrot (*Amazona vittata*), Puerto Rican sharp-shinned hawk (*Accipiter striatus venator*), Puerto Rican boa (*Chilabothrus inornatus*), and the Puerto Rican harlequin butterfly (*Atlantea tulita*). SWCA also evaluated the review area for potential habitat for bald eagles (*Haliaeetus leucocephalus*) and golden eagles (*Aquila chrysaetos*) as they are protected by the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act of 1940 ("BGEPA").

The bald and golden eagle's range do not extend into Puerto Rico (Cornell Lab of Ornithology 2024); therefore, these species were eliminated from further analysis for this project. Table 1 identifies the species carried forward for further evaluation and summarizes each species' habitat requirements, potential for occurrence in the project area, and determination of effects.

Common Name (Scientific Name)	Status*	Range or Habitat Requirements	Potential for Occurrence in Project Area	Determination of Effects/Impacts
Birds				
Puerto Rican Broad- winged Hawk (<i>Buteo platypterus</i> <i>brunnescens</i>)	FE	The Puerto Rican broad-winged hawk occurs in elfin woodland, sierra palm, caimitillo-granadillo, and tabonuco forest types of the Río Abajo Commonwealth Forest, Carite Commonwealth Forest, and El Yunque National Forest as well as within hardwood plantations, shade coffee plantations, and mature secondary forests (USFWS 2019).	Unlikely to occur. Although there are forested areas surrounding the project area, there are no forested areas within the immediate vicinity of the project area.	<i>No effect.</i> There is no suitable habitat for Puerto Rican broad-winged hawks in the project area.
Puerto Rican Parrot (<i>Amazona vittata</i>)	FE	The Puerto Rican parrot is a frugivorous cavity nester. It depends on mature forests with large cavity forming trees. (USFWS 2009). It is currently confined to the Maricao Forest, El Yunque National Forest, and the Río Abajo Forest (USDA Forest Service 2023).	Unlikely to occur. The project area is not located within the Maricao Forest, El Yunque National Forest, or the Río Abajo Forest. Additionally, there are no forested areas within the immediate vicinity of the project area.	<i>No effect</i> . There is no suitable habitat for Puerto Rican parrots in the project area.
Puerto Rican Sharp- shinned Hawk (<i>Accipiter striatus</i> <i>venator</i>)	FE	The Puerto Rican sharp-shinned hawk occurs primarily in high elevation mature closed canopy forests (USFWS 2019a).	Unlikely to occur. Although there are forested areas surrounding the project area, there are no forested areas within the immediate vicinity of the project area.	<i>No effect.</i> There is no suitable habitat for Puerto Rican broad-winged hawks in the project area.
Reptiles				
Puerto Rican Boa (Chilabothrus inornatus)	FE	Considered to be a habitat generalist, the Puerto Rican boa tolerates a wide variety of terrestrial and arboreal habitats, including rocky areas, haystack hill, trees and branches, rotting stumps, caves, plantations, various types of forested areas such as karst and mangrove forests, forested urban and rural areas, and along streams and road edges (USFWS 2011).	<i>May occur</i> . The project area is adjacent to densely forested areas.	May affect, but not likely to adversely affect. See discussion below.
Insects	-			
Puerto Rican Harlequin Butterfly	FT	This species inhabits areas with moderately dense to dense canopy cover and dense	<i>Unlikely to occur.</i> There are no	<i>No effect.</i> There is no suitable habitat

Table 1. Federally Listed Species Range and/or Habitat Requirements

Common Name (Scientific Name)	Status*	Range or Habitat Requirements	Potential for Occurrence in Project Area	Determination of Effects/Impacts
(Atlantea tulita)		vegetation and a source of water within a kilometer. Eggs and larvae are found only on the prickly bush (<i>Oplonia spinosa</i>), while adult butterflies feed on the nectar of several tree species and drink water (USFWS 2019b).	prickly bush plants or forested areas within the project area.	for the Puerto Rican harlequin butterfly in the project area.

*Status Definitions:

FE = Federally listed endangered; FT = Federally listed threatened

The Caribbean Determination Key (DKey) was completed for the project, which generated a *may affect* determination for the Puerto Rican boa and a *may affect, but is not likely to adversely affect* determination for the Puerto Rican broad-winged hawk and Puerto Rican sharp-shinned hawk (Appendix D). Due to the generalist nature of the Puerto Rican boa, forested habitat surrounding the project area, and dense ground cover present throughout the review area, the Puerto Rican boa may occur within the project area. The applicant will employ the conservation measures outlined in the 2024 USFWS General Project Design Guidelines for the Puerto Rican boa (Appendix E), including but not limited to issuing a stop work if a Puerto Rican boa were to occur within the project area, and contacting the Puerto Rico Department of Natural and Environmental Resources for safe capture and relocation of the individual if such action is required. Based on the site visit and habitat evaluations, the Puerto Rican broad-winged hawk, Puerto Rican Parrot, Puerto Rican sharp-shinned hawk, and the Puerto Rican harlequin butterfly are all considered unlikely to occur within the project area due to lack of suitable habitat. Therefore, it is anticipated that the project *may affect, but is not likely to adversely affect* the Puerto Rican boa, Puerto Rican broad-winged hawk, and Puerto Rican sharp-shinned hawk, and will have *no effect* on the Puerto Rican parrot and Puerto Rican harlequin butterfly.

Critical Habitat and National Wildlife Refuges

Potential effects to habitat(s) within the project area critical to endangered species must be analyzed along with the endangered species themselves, while any activity proposed on National Wildlife Refuge lands must undergo a compatibility determination conducted by the Refuge. No designated critical habitats are present within 100-feet of any of the proposed project location (Appendix A, Figure 4) (USFWS 2023).


LITERATURE CITED

- Cornell Lab of Ornithology. 2024. All About Birds. Available at: https://www.allaboutbirds.org/guide/. Accessed March 2024.
- U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) Forest Service. 2024. the Iguaca, Puerto Rican Parrot. Available at: chromeextension://efaidnbmnnnibpcajpcglclefindmkaj/https://www.fs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUM ENTS/stelprdb5338966.pdf. Accessed March 2024.
- U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). 2011. *Puerto Rican Boa* (Epicrates inornatus) 5-Year Review: Summary and Evaluation. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Southeast Region. Boquerón, Puerto Rico.
- ------. 2019b. Species Status Assessment Report for the Puerto Rican Harlequin Butterfly (Atlantea tulita) Version 1.5. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Southeast Region. Atlanta, Georgia.
- ------. 20234. Information for Planning and Consultation System (IPaC). Available at: http://ecos.fws.gov/ipac/. Accessed February 2024.

APPENDIX A

Maps


USGS Topographic Map



Site Vicinity Map




Wetlands Map




Applicant ID: PR-RGRW-01322






Data Source: https:// apps.nationalmap.gov/downloader/#/ https://www.fws.gov/program/national-wetlands-inventory/data-download Base Map: ESRI ArcGIS Online, accessed November 2023 Update: 11/3/2023 Layout: Wetlands Protection



Critical Habitat Map



Critical Habitat Map

Applicant ID: PR-RGRW-01322






Critical Habitat - Final

National Wildlife Refuges

Carr 105 km 42.1 Indiera Baja Maricao, Puerto Rico 00606 Parcel ID: 263-000-009-45-901 Parcel Center: 66.910242°W 18.152285°N


Data Source: https://services.arcgis. com/QVENGdaPbd4LUkLV/arcgis/ rest/services/USFWS_Critical_Habitat/ Base Map: ESRI ArcGIS Online, accessed November 2023 Updated: 11/3/2023 Layout: Critical Habitat Aprx: 72428_ReGrowTier2Maps




APPENDIX B

Photographic Log


Project #: PR-RGRW-01322	Photographer: Armando Ramos
Location Address: Carr 105 km 42.1 Indiera Baja,	Coordinates: 18.158378, -66.897569
Maricao, PR 00606	





Project #: PR-RGRW-01322	Photographer: Armando Ramos
Location Address: Carr 105 km 42.1 Indiera Baja,	Coordinates: 18.158378, -66.897569
Maricao, PR 00606	

Photo #:	Date:		
03	10/25/2023		
Photo Dire	ection:		
Sou	thwest		r Ala
Descriptio			
	corner of site		
	r water well		
4x4in.			
		San Allenter	



Project #: PR-RGRW-01322	Photographer: Armando Ramos
Location Address: Carr 105 km 42.1 Indiera Baja,	Coordinates: 18.158378, -66.897569
Maricao, PR 00606	

Photo #: 05	Date: 10/25/2023	
Photo Dire		
No	rtheast	
Descriptio		
location fo	corner of site r water well	
4x4in.		
		NO NO NO NO



Project #: PR-RGRW-01322	Photographer: Armando Ramos
Location Address: Carr 105 km 42.1 Indiera Baja,	Coordinates: 18.158378, -66.897569
Maricao, PR 00606	

Photo #:	Date:	
07	10/25/2023	
Photo Dire	ection:	
	East	
Descriptio	n:	
	nt for water	

Photo #:	Date:	
08	10/25/2023	
Photo Direction:		
South		
Descriptio		
Center point for water well 4x4in.		

Project #: PR-RGRW-01322	Photographer: Armando Ramos
Location Address: Carr 105 km 42.1 Indiera Baja,	Coordinates: 18.158378, -66.897569
Maricao, PR 00606	

Photo #:	Date:	
09	10/25/2023	
Photo Dire	ection:	
West		
Descriptio	n:	
Center point for water well 4x4in.		

Photo #:	Date:	
10	10/25/2023	
Photo Direction:		
West		
Descriptio	n:	
Overview of	of site	
location fo	r 600-gallon	
cistern.		

Project #: PR-RGRW-01322	Photographer: Armando Ramos
Location Address: Carr 105 km 42.1 Indiera Baja,	Coordinates: 18.158378, -66.897569
Maricao, PR 00606	

Photo #:	Date:	
11	10/25/2023	
Photo Dire	ection:	
Sou	thwest	
Descriptio	n:	
East corner of site		
location fo	r 600-gallon	
cistern.		

Photo #:	Date:	
12	10/25/2023	
Photo Dire	ection:	
Northwest		
Descriptio		
East corne		
location for 600-gallon		
cistern.		

Project #: PR-RGRW-01322	Photographer: Armando Ramos
Location Address: Carr 105 km 42.1 Indiera Baja,	Coordinates: 18.158378, -66.897569
Maricao, PR 00606	



APPENDIX C

USFWS Information for Planning and Consultation Species List



United States Department of the Interior

FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE Caribbean Ecological Services Field Office Post Office Box 491 Boqueron, PR 00622-0491 Phone: (787) 834-1600 Fax: (787) 851-7440 Email Address: <u>CARIBBEAN ES@FWS.GOV</u>



In Reply Refer To: Project Code: 2024-0044833 Project Name: PR-RGRW-01322 February 05, 2024

Subject: List of threatened and endangered species that may occur in your proposed project location or may be affected by your proposed project

To Whom It May Concern:

THE FOLLOWING SPECIES LIST IS NOT A SECTION 7 CONSULTATION. PLEASE CONTACT OUR OFFICE TO COMPLETE THE CONSULTATION PROCESS

The purpose of the Endangered Species Act (Act) is to provide a means whereby threatened, and endangered species and the ecosystems upon which they depend may be conserved. Under sections 7(a)(1) and 7(a)(2) of the Act and its implementing regulations (50 CFR 402 et seq.), Federal agencies are required to utilize their authorities to carry out programs for the conservation of threatened and endangered species and to determine whether projects may affect those species and/or their designated critical habitat.

Federal agencies are required to "request of the Secretary of Interior information whether any species which is listed or proposed to be listed may be present in the area of a proposed action". The enclosed species list provides information to assist with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) consultation process under section 7 of the Act. However, **the enclosed species list does not complete the required consultation process.** The species list identifies threatened, endangered, proposed and candidate species, as well as proposed and designated critical habitats, that may occur within the boundary of your proposed project and/or may be affected by your proposed project. A discussion between the Federal agency and the Service should include what types of listed species may occur in the proposed action area and what effect the proposed action may have on those species. This process initiates informal consultation.

Once a species list is obtained for the proposed project, an effect determination for endangered and threatened species should be made. The applicant could make an effect determination by using available keys on IPaC for specific species. For species with no determination keys, the applicant should request concurrence from the Service by sending a project package to <u>caribbean_es@fws.gov</u>. To obtain guidance for completing this process and the minimum requirements for project packages, please visit:

https://www.fws.gov/sites/default/files/documents/consultation-under-section-7-of-theendangered-species-act-with-the-caribbean-ecological%20Services-field-office-templateletter.pdf

When a federal agency, after discussions with the Service, determines that the proposed action is not likely to adversely affect any listed species, or adversely modify any designated critical habitat, and the Service concurs, the informal consultation is complete, and the proposed project moves ahead. If the proposed action is suspected to affect a listed species or modify designated critical habitat, the Federal agency may then prepare a Biological Assessment (B.A.) to assist in its determination of the project's effects on species and their habitat. However, a B.A. is required for construction projects (or other undertakings having similar physical impacts) that are major Federal actions significantly affecting the quality of the human environment as defined in the National Environmental Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 4332(2) (c)). For projects other than major construction activities, the Service suggests that a biological evaluation similar to a B.A. where the agency provides the Service with an evaluation on the likely effects of the action to determine whether the project may affect listed or proposed species and/or designated or proposed critical habitat. Recommended contents of a B.A. are described at 50 CFR 402.12.

If a federal agency determines, based on its B.A. or biological evaluation, that listed species and/ or designated critical habitat may be affected by the proposed project, the agency is required to further consult with the Service pursuant to 50 CFR 402. In addition, the Service recommends that candidate species, proposed species, and proposed critical habitat be addressed within the consultation process. More information on the regulations and procedures for section 7 consultation, including the role of permit or license applicants, can be found in the "Endangered Species Consultation Handbook" at:

https://www.fws.gov/sites/default/files/documents/endangered-species-consultationhandbook.pdf

New information based on updated surveys, changes in the abundance and distribution of species, changed habitat conditions, or other factors could change this list. Please feel free to contact us if you need more current information or assistance regarding the potential impacts to federally proposed, listed, and candidate species.

This list is provided pursuant to Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act and fulfills the requirement for Federal agencies to "request of the Secretary of the Interior information whether any species which is listed or proposed to be listed may be present in the area of a proposed action". Please use this list to determine whether your project requires consultation and to make your effects determination. For more guidance, use the Guideline for Consultation under Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act with the Caribbean Ecological Services Field Office by clicking here.

This species list is provided by:

Caribbean Ecological Services Field Office caribbean es@fws.gov Post Office Box 491 Boqueron, PR 00622-0491 (786) 244-0081

Attachment(s):

- Official Species List
- USFWS National Wildlife Refuges and Fish Hatcheries
- Bald & Golden Eagles
- Migratory Birds
- Wetlands

OFFICIAL SPECIES LIST

This list is provided pursuant to Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act, and fulfills the requirement for Federal agencies to "request of the Secretary of the Interior information whether any species which is listed or proposed to be listed may be present in the area of a proposed action".

This species list is provided by:

Caribbean Ecological Services Field Office

Post Office Box 491 Boqueron, PR 00622-0491 (787) 834-1600

PROJECT SUMMARY

Project Code:2024-0044833Project Name:PR-RGRW-01322Project Type:Disaster-related GrantsProject Description:Installation of a new water well.Project Location:Value (Value (Value

The approximate location of the project can be viewed in Google Maps: <u>https://www.google.com/maps/@18.15833665,-66.8978136434281,14z</u>



Counties: Maricao County, Puerto Rico

ENDANGERED SPECIES ACT SPECIES

There is a total of 5 threatened, endangered, or candidate species on this species list.

Species on this list should be considered in an effects analysis for your project and could include species that exist in another geographic area. For example, certain fish may appear on the species list because a project could affect downstream species.

IPaC does not display listed species or critical habitats under the sole jurisdiction of NOAA Fisheries¹, as USFWS does not have the authority to speak on behalf of NOAA and the Department of Commerce.

See the "Critical habitats" section below for those critical habitats that lie wholly or partially within your project area under this office's jurisdiction. Please contact the designated FWS office if you have questions.

1. <u>NOAA Fisheries</u>, also known as the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), is an office of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration within the Department of Commerce.

BIRDS

NAME	STATUS
Puerto Rican Broad-winged Hawk <i>Buteo platypterus brunnescens</i> No critical habitat has been designated for this species. Species profile: <u>https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/5512</u>	Endangered
Puerto Rican Parrot <i>Amazona vittata</i> No critical habitat has been designated for this species. Species profile: <u>https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/3067</u>	Endangered
Puerto Rican Sharp-shinned Hawk <i>Accipiter striatus venator</i> No critical habitat has been designated for this species. Species profile: <u>https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/604</u>	Endangered

REPTILES

NAME	STATUS
Puerto Rican Boa Chilabothrus inornatus	Endangered
No critical habitat has been designated for this species.	0
Species profile: <u>https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/6628</u>	
General project design guidelines:	
https://ipac.ecosphere.fws.gov/project/HDDITBDI6RH23ABA4XSESW3HOU/documents/	
generated/7159.pdf	

INSECTS

NAMESTATUSPuerto Rican Harlequin Butterfly Atlantea tulita
There is final critical habitat for this species. Your location does not overlap the critical habitat.
Species profile: https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/9005Threatened

CRITICAL HABITATS

THERE ARE NO CRITICAL HABITATS WITHIN YOUR PROJECT AREA UNDER THIS OFFICE'S JURISDICTION.

YOU ARE STILL REQUIRED TO DETERMINE IF YOUR PROJECT(S) MAY HAVE EFFECTS ON ALL ABOVE LISTED SPECIES.

USFWS NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE LANDS AND FISH HATCHERIES

Any activity proposed on lands managed by the <u>National Wildlife Refuge</u> system must undergo a 'Compatibility Determination' conducted by the Refuge. Please contact the individual Refuges to discuss any questions or concerns.

THERE ARE NO REFUGE LANDS OR FISH HATCHERIES WITHIN YOUR PROJECT AREA.

BALD & GOLDEN EAGLES

Bald and golden eagles are protected under the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act¹ and the Migratory Bird Treaty Act².

Any person or organization who plans or conducts activities that may result in impacts to bald or golden eagles, or their habitats³, should follow appropriate regulations and consider implementing appropriate conservation measures, as described in the links below. Specifically, please review the <u>"Supplemental Information on Migratory Birds and Eagles"</u>.

- 1. The <u>Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act</u> of 1940.
- 2. The <u>Migratory Birds Treaty Act</u> of 1918.
- 3. 50 C.F.R. Sec. 10.12 and 16 U.S.C. Sec. 668(a)

THERE ARE NO BALD AND GOLDEN EAGLES WITHIN THE VICINITY OF YOUR PROJECT AREA.

MIGRATORY BIRDS

Certain birds are protected under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act¹ and the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act².

Any person or organization who plans or conducts activities that may result in impacts to migratory birds, eagles, and their habitats³ should follow appropriate regulations and consider implementing appropriate conservation measures, as described in the links below. Specifically, please review the <u>"Supplemental Information on Migratory Birds and Eagles"</u>.

- 1. The <u>Migratory Birds Treaty Act</u> of 1918.
- 2. The <u>Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act</u> of 1940.
- 3. 50 C.F.R. Sec. 10.12 and 16 U.S.C. Sec. 668(a)

THERE ARE NO FWS MIGRATORY BIRDS OF CONCERN WITHIN THE VICINITY OF YOUR PROJECT AREA.

WETLANDS

Impacts to <u>NWI wetlands</u> and other aquatic habitats may be subject to regulation under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act, or other State/Federal statutes.

For more information please contact the Regulatory Program of the local <u>U.S. Army Corps of</u> <u>Engineers District</u>.

Please note that the NWI data being shown may be out of date. We are currently working to update our NWI data set. We recommend you verify these results with a site visit to determine the actual extent of wetlands on site.

THERE ARE NO WETLANDS WITHIN YOUR PROJECT AREA.

IPAC USER CONTACT INFORMATION

Agency:SWCAName:Kaitie WilmsAddress:911 Hammond DriveCity:North AugustaState:SCZip:29841Emailkaitie.wilms@swca.com

Phone: 8436930711

APPENDIX D

USFWS Consistency Letter



United States Department of the Interior

FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE Caribbean Ecological Services Field Office Post Office Box 491 Boqueron, PR 00622-0491 Phone: (787) 834-1600 Fax: (787) 851-7440 Email Address: <u>CARIBBEAN ES@FWS.GOV</u>



In Reply Refer To: Project code: 2024-0044833 Project Name: PR-RGRW-01322 February 05, 2024

Subject: Consistency letter for the project named 'PR-RGRW-01322' for specified threatened and endangered species, that may occur in your proposed project location, pursuant to the IPaC determination key titled Caribbean Determination Key (DKey).

Dear Applicant:

Thank you for using the assisted evaluation keys in IPaC. This letter is provided pursuant to the Service's authority under the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (ESA) (87 Stat. 884; 16 U.S.C. 1531et seq.). On February 05, 2024, Kaitie Wilms used the Caribbean DKey; dated January 19, 2024, in the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's online <u>IPaC application</u> to evaluate potential impacts to federally listed species, from a project named 'PR-RGRW-01322'. The project is located in Maricao County, Puerto Rico (shown below).

The approximate location of the project can be viewed in Google Maps: <u>https://www.google.com/maps/@18.15833665,-66.8978136434281,14z</u>



The following description was provided for the project 'PR-RGRW-01322':

Installation of a new water well.

Based on your answers and the assistance of the Service's Caribbean DKey, you made the following effect determination(s) for the proposed Action:

Species	Listing Status	Determination
Puerto Rican Boa (<i>Chilabothrus inornatus</i>)	Endangered	May affect
Puerto Rican Broad-winged Hawk (Buteo platypterus	Endangered	NLAA
brunnescens)		
Puerto Rican Sharp-shinned Hawk (Accipiter striatus	Endangered	NLAA
venator)		

<u>Consultation with the Service is not complete.</u> Further consultation with the Caribbean Ecological Services office is required for those species with a determination of "may affect" listed above. Please contact the Caribbean Ecological Services office to discuss methods to avoid or minimize potential adverse effects to those species.

We appreciate your interest in protecting endangered species and their habitats. It is the Service's mission to work with others to conserve, protect, and enhance fish, wildlife, and plants and their habitats for the continuing benefit of our people. If you have any questions or require additional information, please contact our office at Caribbean_es@fws.gov.

Action Description

You provided to IPaC the following name and description for the subject Action.

1. Name


PR-RGRW-01322

2. Description

The following description was provided for the project 'PR-RGRW-01322':

Installation of a new water well.

The approximate location of the project can be viewed in Google Maps: <u>https://www.google.com/maps/@18.15833665,-66.8978136434281,14z</u>



QUALIFICATION INTERVIEW

1. Is the proposed project an EPA Multi-Sector General Permit (MSGP) renewal for an existing project? (MSGP Fact Sheet)

No

2. Is the proposed project within an urban developed area? (i.e., cities, downtowns, shopping malls etc.)

Note: Urban and developed areas has one or more of the following characteristics: Presence of existing buildings, residential areas, and commercial establishments. Well-established infrastructure including roads, utilities, and urban facilities. High population density. Established neighborhoods and urban amenities ("urbanizaciones"). Developed landscape with paved surfaces, parking lots, and industrial areas. Signs of human activity and urbanization, such as shopping centers and recreational facilities. Location within the boundaries of a city or town ("casco urbano"). High concentration of built-up structures and limited open spaces. Aerial imagery might be requested to the applicant. .

No

3. Does the proposed project consist of rehabilitation or demolition of existing single-family homes and buildings?

No

4. Does the proposed project consist of improvements to existing facilities?

Note: Examples of facilities are occupied single family homes, and buildings; existing recreational facilities, including the installation of roofs to existing basketball courts, etc.

No

5. Does the proposed project consist of repavement or repair of existing roads and installing transit signage or guardrails?

No

6. Does the proposed project consist of the construction of gutters and/or sidewalks along existing roads, and developments?

No

7. Does the proposed project consist of replacement or repair of existing bridges which include cutting vegetation or earth movement?

No

8. Does the proposed project consist of activities within existing Right of Ways (ROWs) along roads which include cutting vegetation or earth movement?

No

9. Is the proposed project located within a rural area covered by grassland (pasture lands "pastos")?

No

10. Is the proposed project adjacent or within a forested area?

Note: Examples of immediately adjacent to forested areas are rock walls and haystack hills ("mogotes"), wet montane forest, lowland wet forest, remnant coastal, mangrove forest, damp and dry limestone karst forests, pastureland with patches of exotic trees.

No

11. Is the proposed project an existing facility or the expansion of an existing facility within the footprint of the already developed area?

No

12. Is the proposed project a new facility which would require earth moving, vegetation clearing, or debris removal using heavy machinery, the use of staging areas, construction of temporary access roads?

No

13. [Hidden Semantic] Does the proposed project intersect the Puerto Rican boa area of influence?

Automatically answered Yes

- 14. Will the proposed project be conducted during the Puerto Rican sharp-shinned hawk or Puerto Rican Broad-winged hawk breeding season between December and July? No
- 15. If nests are detected within the project area, will the proposed project avoid any disturbance until fledging leaves the nest permanently? *Yes*

16. Outside of the breeding season, in the event of a Sharp-shinned hawk nest encounter,

would a buffer zone be implemented?

Note: The species or nests might be found out of the breeding season. A buffer zone is an area around the detected nests where no actions or activities can take place. The buffer zone should be clearly marked or delineated in the field and in the project plans.

Yes

17. Will the Fish and Wildlife Service and the Department of Natural Resources be notified immediately if a nest is detected?

Yes

18. Does the proposed project intersect the Puerto Rican sharp-shinned hawk area of influence?

Automatically answered *Yes*

19. Does the proposed project intersect the Puerto Rican Broad-winged hawk area of influence?

Automatically answered Yes

IPAC USER CONTACT INFORMATION

Agency: SWCA Name: Kaitie Wilms Address: 911 Hammond Drive City: North Augusta State: SC 29841 Zip: kaitie.wilms@swca.com Email Phone: 8436930711

LEAD AGENCY CONTACT INFORMATION

Lead Agency: Department of Housing and Urban Development


APPENDIX E

Project Design Guidelines

U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service

General Project Design Guidelines (1 Species)

Generated February 05, 2024 02:37 PM UTC, IPaC v6.104.2-rc1



IPaC - Information for Planning and Consultation (https://ipac.ecosphere.fws.gov/): A project planning tool to help streamline the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service environmental review process.

Table of Contents

Species Document Availability	1
Puerto Rican Boa - Caribbean Ecological Services Field Office	2

Species Document Availability

Species with general design guidelines

Puerto Rican Boa Chilabothrus inornatus

Species without general design guidelines available

Puerto Rican Broad-winged Hawk Buteo platypterus brunnescens

Puerto Rican Harlequin Butterfly Atlantea tulita

Puerto Rican Parrot Amazona vittata

Puerto Rican Sharp-shinned Hawk Accipiter striatus venator

General Project Design Guidelines - Puerto Rican Sharp-shinned Hawk and 4 more species

Published by Caribbean Ecological Services Field Office for the following species included in your project

Puerto Rican Sharp-shinned Hawk Accipiter striatus venator

Puerto Rican Parrot Amazona vittata

Puerto Rican Harlequin Butterfly Atlantea tulita

Puerto Rican Boa Chilabothrus inornatus

Puerto Rican Broad-winged Hawk Buteo platypterus brunnescens




U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE CARIBBEAN ECOLOGICAL SERVICES FIELD OFFICE

Conservation Measures for the Puerto Rican boa (*Chilabothrus inornatus*)

Section 7 (a)(1) of the Endangered Species Act (ESA) charges Federal agencies to aid in the conservation of listed species, and section 7 (a)(2) requires the agencies, through consultation with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service), to ensure their activities are not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of listed species or adversely modify designated critical habitats. Section 7 applies to the management of Federal lands as well as Federal actions that may affect federally listed species, such as Federal approval of private activities through the issuance of Federal funding, permits, licenses, or other actions. Any person that injures, captures, or kills a Puerto Rico boa is subject to penalties under the ESA. If Federal funds or permits are needed, the funding or permitting agency should initiate Section 7 consultation with the Service. To initiate a consultation under the Section 7 of the ESA, you must submit a project package with the established minimum requirements. These conservation measures should be incorporated into the project plans to minimize possible impacts to the species.

The endangered Puerto Rican (PR) boa (*Chilabothrus inornatus*, formerly *Epicrates inornatus*) is the largest endemic snake species that inhabits Puerto Rico. The PR boa is non-venomous and does not pose any life threatening danger to humans, but some individuals may try to bite if disturbed or during capture or handling. Its body color ranges from tan to dark brown with irregular diffuse marking on the dorsum, but some individuals lack marking and are uniformly dark. Juveniles may have a reddish color with more pronounced markings. In general, as they mature, their body color tends to darken.



The PR boa was federally listed in 1970. Currently, the species has an island-wide distribution and occurs in a wide variety of habitat types, ranging from wet montane to subtropical dry forest and can be found from mature forest to areas with different degrees of human disturbance such as roadsides or houses, especially if near their habitat in rural areas. The PR boa is considered mostly nocturnal, remaining less active, concealed or basking under the sun during the day.

The Service has developed the following conservation measures with the purpose of assisting others to avoid or minimize adverse effects to the PR boa and its habitat. These recommendations may be incorporated into new project plans and under certain circumstances into existing projects. Depending on the project, additional conservation measures can be implemented besides the ones presented in this document.

Conservation Measures:

- 1. Inform all project personnel about the potential presence of the PR boa in areas where the proposed work will be conducted. A pre-construction meeting should be conducted to inform all project personnel about the need to avoid harming the species as well as penalties for harassing or harming PR boas. An educational poster or sign with photo or illustration of the species should be displayed at the project site.
- 2. Prior to any construction activity, including removal of vegetation and earth movements, the boundaries of the project and areas to be excluded and protected should be clearly marked in the project plan and in the field in order to avoid further habitat degradation into forested and conservation areas.
- 3. Once areas are clearly marked, and prior to the use of heavy machinery and any construction activity (including removal of vegetation and earth movement), a biologist or project personnel with experience on this species should survey the areas to be cleared to verify the presence of any PR boa within the work area.
- 4. If a PR boa is found within any of the working or construction areas, activities should stop at that area and information recorded (see #5). **Do not capture the boa.** If boas need to be moved out of harm's way, designated personnel shall immediately contact the Puerto Rico Department of Natural and Environmental Resources (PRDNER) Rangers for safe capture and relocation of the animal (PRDNER phone #s: (787) 724-5700, (787) 230-5550, (787) 771-1124). If immediate relocation is not an option, project-related activities at that area must stop until the boa moves out of harm's way on its own. Activities at other work sites, where no boas have been found after surveying the area, may continue.
- 5. For all boa sightings (dead or alive), record the time and date of the sighting and the specific location where it was found. PR boa data should also include a photo of the animal (dead or alive), site GPS coordinates, the time and date, and comments on how the animal was detected and its behavior.

- 6. If a PR boa is captured by PRDNER personnel, record the name of that person and information on where the PR boa will be taken. This information should be reported to the Service.
- 7. Measures should be taken to avoid and minimize PR boa casualties by heavy machinery or motor vehicles being used on site. Any heavy machinery left on site (staging) or near potential PR boa habitat (within 50 meters of potential boa habitat), needs to be thoroughly inspected each morning before work starts to ensure that no boas have sheltered within engine compartments or other areas of the equipment. If PR boas are found within vehicles or equipment, do not capture the animal, and let it move on its own or call PRDNER Rangers for safe capture and relocation of the animal (see #4). If not possible, the animal should be left alone until it leaves the vehicle on its own.
- 8. PR boas may seek shelter in debris piles. Measures should be taken to avoid and minimize boa casualties associated with sheltering in debris piles as a result of project activities. Debris piles should be placed far away from forested areas. Prior to moving, disposing or shredding, debris piles should be carefully inspected for the presence of boas. If debris piles will be left on site, we recommend they be placed in areas that will not be disturbed in the future.
- 9. If a dead PR boa is found, immediately cease all work in that area and record the information accordingly (see #5). If the PR boa was accidentally killed as part of the project actions, please include information on what conservation measures had been implemented and what actions will be taken to avoid further killings. A dead boa report should be sent by email (see contacts below) to the Service within 48 hours of the event.
- 10. Projects must comply with all state laws and regulations. Please contact the PRDNER for further guidance.

If you have any questions regarding the above conservation measures, please contact the Service:

- José Cruz-Burgos, Endangered Species Program Coordinator
 - Email: jose_cruz-burgos@fws.gov
 - Office phone (305) 304-1386
- Jan Zegarra, Fish and Wildlife Biologist
 - Email: jan_zegarra@fws.gov
 - o Office phone (786) 933-1451



United States Department of the Interior

FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE Caribbean Ecological Services Field Office Bayamón | Mayagüez | Maricao | Río Grande | St Croix P.O. Box 491 Boquerón, Puerto Rico 00622



In Reply Refer to: FWS/R4/CESFO/72093-Gen

Submitted Via Electronic Mail: jcperez@vivienda.pr.gov

Juan Carlos Pérez-Bofill, PE, MEng. Director – Disaster Recovery CDBG-DR Program Puerto Rico Department of Housing P.O. Box 21365 San Juan, P.R 00928-1365

> Re: CDBG-DR PR-RGRW-01322 Reynaldo Ruiz Feliciano, Maricao, Puerto Rico

Dear Mr. Pérez-Bofill

Thank you for your letter dated August 27, 2024, requesting informal consultation on the above referenced project. As per your request, our comments are provided under the Endangered Species Act (Act) (87 Stat. 884, as amended; 16 United States Code 1531 et seq.), and the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act (48 Stat. 401, as amended; 16 U.S.C. 661 et seq.).

The Puerto Rico Department of Housing (PRDOH) is proposing the construction of a new water well (400 feet (ft) deep) on a 2.69-acre property located on State Road PR-105, Km. 42.1, Indiera Baja (18°09'30.0"N 66°53'51.3"W) in the municipality of Maricao. Construction of the water well will not require any vegetation removal or tree clearing but ground disturbance will occur.

Using the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's (Service) Information for Planning and Consultation (IPaC) system, the PRDOH has determined that the proposed project site is located within the range of Puerto Rican boa (*Chilabothrus inornatus*), Puerto Rican broad-winged hawk (*Buteo platypterus brunnescens*), Puerto Rican sharp-shinned hawk (*Accipiter striatus venator*), *Puerto* Rican parrot (*Amazona vittata*) and Puerto Rican harlequin butterfly (*Atlantea tulita*).

PRDOH used the Caribbean Determination Key (DKey) in the IPaC application to evaluate the potential impacts of the proposed project on federally listed species (Project code: 2024-0044833). Based on the answers provided, a consistency letter was obtained for the Puerto Rican boa, which determined that the proposed actions for this project may affect, but is likely to adversely affect (MLAA) this species. As for the Puerto Rican broad-winged hawk and Puerto Rican sharp-shinned hawk a may affect, but is not likely to adversely affect (NLAA) determination was obtained. Conservation measures for these species will be implemented.

Mr. Pérez-Bofill

Based on the nature of the project, scope of work, information available, and analysis of the existing habitat (barren ground surrounded by shrubs and trees), PRDOH has determined that the proposed project may affect, but is not likely to adversely affect (NLAA) the Puerto Rican boa instead of the MLAA obtained by using the DKey. Conservation measures developed by the Service for the Puerto Rican boa will be implemented in case an encounter with this species occur. As for the Puerto Rican parrot and Puerto Rican harlequin butterfly, PRDOH has determined that the proposed actions will have no effect on these species due to the lack of suitable habitat at project site.

We acknowledge receipt of PRDOH's NE determination for the Puerto Rican parrot and Puerto Rican harlequin butterfly. Currently, we do not have information to refute that determination. Because the PRDOH made a NE determination, PRDOH is not required to conduct formal or informal section 7 consultation with the Service, and the Service is not required to concur with PRDOH's NE determination.

As for the Puerto Rican boa, we have reviewed the information provided and our files, and concur with PRDOH's determination that the proposed project may affect, but is not likely to adversely affect this species with the implementation of the conservation measures. Also, the Service acknowledges receipt of the NLAA consistency letter for the Puerto Rican broad-winged hawk and Puerto Rican sharp-shinned hawk.

In view of this, we believe that requirements of section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (Act) have been satisfied. However, obligations under section 7 of the Act must be reconsidered if: (1) new information reveals impact of this identified action that may affect listed species or critical habitat in a manner that was not previously considered; (2) this action is subsequently modified in a manner not previously considered in this assessment; or, (3) a new species is listed, or critical habitat determined that may be affected by the identified action.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this project. If you have any questions or require additional information, please contact us via email at <u>caribbean_es@fws.gov</u> or by phone at (786) 244-0081.

Sincerely,


LOURDES MENA

Digitally signed by LOURDES MENA Date: 2024.09.30 20:10:13 -04'00'

Lourdes Mena Field Supervisor

drr

cc: SWCA HUD DNER



REGROW PROGRAM

Figure B 7-1: Critical Habitat Map Applicant ID: PR-RGRW-01322






Buffer (100-ft) Critical Habitat - Final

National Wildlife Refuges

Carr 105 km 42.1 Indiera Baja Maricao, Puerto Rico 00606 Parcel ID: 263-000-009-45-901 Parcel Center: 66.910242°W 18.152285°N

Data Source: https://services.arcgis. com/QVENGdaPbd4LUkLV/arcgis/ rest/services/USFWS_Critical_Habitat/ Base Map: ESRI ArcGIS_Online, accessed November 2023 Updated: 11/3/2023 Layout: Critical Habitat Aprx: 72428_ReGrowTier2Maps



Attachment 8

Explosive and Flammable Hazards Partner Worksheet



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT WASHINGTON, DC 20410-1000

This Worksheet was designed to be used by those "Partners" (including Public Housing Authorities, consultants, contractors, and nonprofits) who assist Responsible Entities and HUD in preparing environmental reviews, but legally cannot take full responsibilities for these reviews themselves. Responsible Entities and HUD should use the RE/HUD version of the Worksheet.

Explosive and Flammable Hazards (CEST and EA) – PARTNER

https://www.hudexchange.info/environmental-review/explosive-and-flammable-facilities

1. Does the proposed HUD-assisted project include a hazardous facility (a facility that mainly stores, handles or processes flammable or combustible chemicals such as bulk fuel storage facilities and refineries)?

🛛 No

 \rightarrow Continue to Question 2.

□ Yes Explain: Click here to enter text. → Continue to Question 5.

2. Does this project include any of the following activities: development, construction, rehabilitation that will increase residential densities, or conversion?

 \boxtimes No \rightarrow If the RE/HUD agrees with this recommendation, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below.

 \Box Yes \rightarrow Continue to Question 3.

- 3. Within 1 mile of the project site, are there any current *or planned* stationary aboveground storage containers:
 - Of more than 100-gallon capacity, containing common liquid industrial fuels OR
 - Of any capacity, containing hazardous liquids or gases that are not common liquid industrial fuels?

 \square No \rightarrow If the RE/HUD agrees with this recommendation, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Provide all documents used to make your determination.

 \Box Yes \rightarrow Continue to Question 4.

- **4.** Is the Separation Distance from the project acceptable based on standards in the Regulation? Please visit HUD's website for information on calculating Acceptable Separation Distance.
 - 🗆 Yes
 - → If the RE/HUD agrees with this recommendation, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below.

Provide map(s) showing the location of the project site relative to any tanks and your separation distance calculations. If the map identifies more than one tank, please identify the tank you have chosen as the "assessed tank."

🗆 No

 \rightarrow Continue to Question 6.

Provide map(s) showing the location of the project site relative to any tanks and your separation distance calculations. If the map identifies more than one tank, please identify the tank you have chosen as the "assessed tank."

5. Is the hazardous facility located at an acceptable separation distance from residences and any other facility or area where people may congregate or be present?

Please visit HUD's website for information on calculating Acceptable Separation Distance.

🗆 Yes

 \rightarrow If the RE/HUD agrees with this recommendation, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below.

Provide map(s) showing the location of the project site relative to residences and any other facility or area where people congregate or are present and your separation distance calculations.

🗆 No

 \rightarrow Continue to Question 6.

Provide map(s) showing the location of the project site relative to residences and any other facility or area where people congregate or are present and your separation distance calculations.

6. For the project to be brought into compliance with this section, all adverse impacts must be mitigated. Explain in detail the exact measures that must be implemented to make the Separation Distance acceptable, including the timeline for implementation. If negative effects cannot be mitigated, cancel the project at this location.

Note that only licensed professional engineers should design and implement blast barriers. If a barrier will be used or the project will be modified to compensate for an unacceptable separation distance, provide approval from a licensed professional engineer.

Worksheet Summary

Provide a full description of your determination and a synopsis of the information that it was based on, such as:

- Map panel numbers and dates
- Names of all consulted parties and relevant consultation dates
- Names of plans or reports and relevant page numbers
- Any additional requirements specific to your program or region

Include all documentation supporting your findings in your submission to HUD.

The project includes the new construction of a water well. The project itself is not the development of a hazardous facility nor will the project increase residential densities. No further evaluation is required. The project is in compliance with explosive and flammable hazard requirements.

Attachment 9

Farmlands Protection Partner Worksheet and Prime Farmland Map



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT WASHINGTON, DC 20410-1000

This Worksheet was designed to be used by those "Partners" (including Public Housing Authorities, consultants, contractors, and nonprofits) who assist Responsible Entities and HUD in preparing environmental reviews, but legally cannot take full responsibilities for these reviews themselves. Responsible Entities and HUD should use the RE/HUD version of the Worksheet.

Farmlands Protection (CEST and EA) - PARTNER

https://www.hudexchange.info/environmental-review/farmlands-protection

- 1. Does your project include any activities, including new construction, acquisition of undeveloped land or conversion, that could convert agricultural land to a non-agricultural use?
 - \Box Yes \rightarrow Continue to Question 2.
 - 🛛 No

 \rightarrow If the RE/HUD agrees with this recommendation, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below.

- 2. Does "important farmland," including prime farmland, unique farmland, or farmland of statewide or local importance regulated under the Farmland Protection Policy Act, occur on the project site? You may use the links below to determine important farmland occurs on the project site:
 - Utilize USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service's (NRCS) Web Soil Survey <u>http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/HomePage.htm</u>
 - Check with your city or county's planning department and ask them to document if the project is on land regulated by the FPPA (zoning important farmland as non-agricultural does not exempt it from FPPA requirements)
 - Contact NRCS at the local USDA service center <u>http://offices.sc.egov.usda.gov/locator/app?agency=nrcs</u> or your NRCS state soil scientist <u>http://soils.usda.gov/contact/state_offices/</u> for assistance
 - □ No → If the RE/HUD agrees with this recommendation, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Provide any documents used to make your determination.
 - \Box Yes \rightarrow Continue to Question 3.
- 3. Consider alternatives to completing the project on important farmland and means of avoiding impacts to important farmland.
 - Complete form <u>AD-1006</u>, "Farmland Conversion Impact Rating" and contact the state soil scientist before sending it to the local NRCS District Conservationist.
 - Work with NRCS to minimize the impact of the project on the protected farmland. When you
 have finished with your analysis, return a copy of form AD-1006 to the USDA-NRCS State Soil
 Scientist or his/her designee informing them of your determination.

Work with the RE/HUD to determine how the project will proceed. Document the conclusion:

Explain in detail the proposed measures that must be implemented to mitigate for the impact or effect, including the timeline for implementation.

Click here to enter text.

→ If the RE/HUD agrees with this recommendation, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Provide form AD-1006 and all other documents used to make your determination.

□Project will proceed without mitigation.

Explain why mitigation will not be made here:

Click here to enter text.

→ If the RE/HUD agrees with this recommendation, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Provide form AD-1006 and all other documents used to make your determination.

Worksheet Summary

Provide a full description of your determination and a synopsis of the information that it was based on, such as:

- Map panel numbers and dates
- Names of all consulted parties and relevant consultation dates
- Names of plans or reports and relevant page numbers
- Any additional requirements specific to your program or region

Include all documentation supporting your findings in your submission to HUD.

This project does not include any activities that could potentially convert agricultural land to nonagricultural use. No prime farmland or farmlands of statewide importance are within the project area. Although the project includes new construction, the project is exempt from review under the Farmland Protection Policy Act (FFPA) as the project is limited to construction of on-farm structures needed for farm operations. No further review is required. The project is in compliance with the Farmland Protection Policy Act.




Figure B 9-1: Prime Farmland Map Applicant ID: PR-RGRW-01322



Site Parcel Project Footprint (Option) All areas are prime farmland Farmland of statewide

importanceFarmland of statewideimportance, if irrigatedPrime farmland if drained

Prime farmland if irrigatedPrime farmland if irrigated and reclaimed of excess salts and sodium


Prime farmland if protected from flooding or not frequently flooded during the growing season

 Not prime farmland

 Not Public Information

Carr 105 km 42.1 Indiera Baja Maricao, Puerto Rico 00606 Parcel ID: 263-000-009-45-901 Parcel Center: 66.8978°W 18.158326°N

Data Source: https:// websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/ Base Map: ESRI ArcGIS Online, accessed November 2023 Updated: 11/3/2023 Layout: Prime Farmland Aprx: 72428_ReGrowTier2Maps



Attachment 10

Floodplain Management Partner Worksheet and Advisory Base Flood Elevation Map



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT WASHINGTON, DC 20410-1000

This Worksheet was designed to be used by those "Partners" (including Public Housing Authorities, consultants, contractors, and nonprofits) who assist Responsible Entities and HUD in preparing environmental reviews, but legally cannot take full responsibilities for these reviews themselves. Responsible Entities and HUD should use the RE/HUD version of the Worksheet.

Floodplain Management (CEST and EA)

General Requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Executive Order 11988,	Executive Order 11988	24 CFR 55
Floodplain Management,	Executive Order 13690	
requires Federal activities to	42 USC <u>4001-4128</u>	
avoid impacts to floodplains and	42 USC 5154a	
to avoid direct and indirect		
support of floodplain		
development to the extent		
practicable.		
Reference		
https://www.hudexchange.info/environmental-review/floodplain-management		

1. Does this project meet an exemption at <u>24 CFR 55.12</u> from compliance with HUD's floodplain management regulations in Part 55 or utilize the delayed compliance date for certain Office of Housing programs?

🗆 Yes

Select the applicable citation at <u>24 CFR 55.12</u> and provide supporting documentation for the determination if applicable.

- a)
 HUD-assisted activities described in 24 CFR 58.34 and 58.35(b)
- **b)** \Box HUD-assisted activities described in 24 CFR 50.19, except as otherwise indicated in § 50.19
- c)
 The approval of financial assistance for restoring and preserving the natural and beneficial functions and values of floodplains and wetlands, including through acquisition of such floodplain and wetland property, where a permanent covenant or comparable restriction is place on the property's continued use for flood control, wetland projection, open space, or park land, but only if:
 - (1) The property is cleared of all existing buildings and walled structures; and
 - (2) The property is cleared of related improvements except those which:
 - (i) Are directly related to flood control, wetland protection, open space, or park land (including playgrounds and recreation areas);
 - (ii) Do not modify existing wetland areas or involve fill, paving, or other ground disturbance beyond minimal trails or paths; and
 - (iii) Are designed to be compatible with the beneficial floodplain or wetland function of the property.

- e) \Box Policy-level actions described at 24 CFR 50.16 that do not involve site-based decisions
- **f)** \Box A minor amendment to a previously approved action with no additional adverse impact on or from a floodplain or wetland;
- **g)** \Box HUD's or the responsible entity's approval of a project site, an incidental portion of which is situated in the FFRMS floodplain (not including the floodway, LiMWA, or coastal high hazard area) but only if:

(1) The proposed project site does not include any existing or proposed buildings or improvements that modify or occupy the FFRMS floodplain except de minimis improvements such as recreation areas and trails; and

(2) the proposed project will not result in any new construction in or modifications of a wetland

- h)
 Issuance or use of Housing Vouchers or other forms of rental subsidy where HUD, the awarding community, or the public housing agency that administers the contract awards rental subsidies that are not project-based (i.e., do not involve site-specific subsidies)
- i) Special projects directed to the removal of material and architectural barriers that restrict the mobility of and accessibility to elderly and persons with disabilities.

Exemptions do not apply due to the project activities being the construction of a water well.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below.

□ Yes. Office of Housing programs utilizing the January 1, 2025 compliance date. These reviews must comply with the 2013 version of the Part 55 regulations. Continue to Worksheet Summary for 2013 version to upload supporting documentation.

 \boxtimes No. Continue to Question 2.

2. Does the project include a Critical Action?

□ Yes. Describe the Critical Action. Examples of Critical Actions include projects involving hospitals, fire and police stations, nursing homes, hazardous chemical storage, storage of valuable records, and utility plants. Continue to Question 4.

No, the project is not a Critical Action as defined in 24 CFR 55.2(b)(3)

 \boxtimes No. Continue to Question 3.

3. Determine the extent of the FFRMS floodplain and provide mapping documentation in support of that determination.

The extent of the FFRMS floodplain can be determined using a Climate Informed Science Approach (CISA), 0.2 percent flood approach (0.2 PFA), or freeboard value approach (FVA). For projects in areas without available CISA data or without FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs), Flood Insurance Studies (FISs) or Advisory Base Flood Elevations (ABFEs), use the best available information to determine flood elevation. Include documentation and an explanation of why this is the best available information for the site. Note that newly constructed and substantially improved structures must be elevated to the FFRMS floodplain regardless of the approach chosen to determine the floodplain.

Select one of the following three options:

□ CISA for non-critical actions. If using a local tool, data, or resources, ensure that the FFRMS elevation is higher than would have been determined using the 0.2 PFA or the FVA.

☑ 0.2-PFA. Where FEMA has defined the 0.2-percent-annual-chance floodplain, the FFRMS floodplain is the area that FEMA has designated as within the 0.2-percent-annual-chance floodplain.

 \Box FVA. If neither CISA nor 0.2-PFA is available, for non-critical actions, the FFRMS floodplain is the area that results from adding two feet to the base flood elevation as established by the effective FIRM or FIS or—if available —a FEMA-provided preliminary or pending FIRM or FIS or advisory base flood elevations, whether regulatory or informational in nature. However, an interim or preliminary FEMA map cannot be used if it is lower than the current FIRM or FIS.

a. Does your project occur in the FFRMS floodplain?

☐ Yes, continue to part b.
 ☑ No. Review for floodplain management is complete.

b. Is your project located in any of the floodplain categories below? Select all that apply. If none apply, continue to question 7.

□ Floodway: *Continue to Question 5. Floodways.*

□ Coastal High Hazard Area (V Zone) or Limit of Moderate Wave Action (LiMWA): *Continue* to Question 6. Coastal High Hazard Areas and LiMWAs.

4. Determine the extent of the FFRMS floodplain and provide mapping documentation in support of that determination.

The extent of the FFRMS floodplain can be determined using a Climate Informed Science Approach (CISA), or the higher of the 0.2 percent flood approach (0.2 PFA), or freeboard value approach (FVA). For projects in areas without available CISA data or without FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs), Flood Insurance Studies (FISs) or Advisory Base Flood Elevations (ABFEs), use the best available information to determine flood elevation. Note that newly constructed and substantially improved structures must be elevated to the FFRMS floodplain regardless of the approach chosen to determine the floodplain.

Utilize CISA to determine the FFRMS floodplain for critical actions

 \Box CISA for Critical Actions. If using a local tool, ensure that the FFRMS elevation provided is higher than the 0.2 PFA or 3' above the base flood elevation.

OR;

Choose the higher of 0.2 PFA or FVA elevations

□ 0.2-PFA. Where FEMA has defined the 0.2-percent-annual-chance floodplain, the FFRMS floodplain is the area that FEMA has designated as within the 0.2-percent-annual-chance floodplain.

 \Box FVA. For critical actions, the FFRMS floodplain is the area that results from adding three feet to the base flood elevation as established by the effective FEMA FIRM or FIS or—if available —a FEMA-provided preliminary or pending FIRM or FIS or advisory base flood elevations, whether regulatory or informational in nature. However, an interim or preliminary FEMA map cannot be used if it is lower than the current FIRM or FIS.

a. Does your project occur in the FFRMS floodplain?

 \Box Yes, continue to part b.

□No. Review for floodplain management is complete.

b. Is your project located in any of the floodplain categories below? Select all that apply. If none apply, continue to question 7.

□ Floodway: *Continue to Question 5. Floodways.*

Coastal High Hazard Area (V Zone) or LiMWA: *Continue to Question 6. Coastal High Hazard Areas and LiMWAs.*

5. Floodways

Do the floodway exemptions at 55.8 or 55.21 apply?

🗆 Yes

<u>The 8-Step Process is required.</u> Document mitigation measures necessary to meet the requirements in 55.8 or 55.21. Provide a completed 8-Step Process, including the early public notice and the final notice.

Continue to Question 7. 8-Step Process.

🗆 No

Federal assistance may not be used at this location. You must either choose an alternate site or cancel the project at this location.

6. Coastal High Hazard Area (V Zone) and LiMWAs Do the exemptions at <u>55.8</u> or <u>55.21</u> apply? 🗆 Yes

<u>The 8-Step Process is required.</u> Document mitigation measures necessary to mee the requirements in 55.8 or 55.21. Provide a completed 8-Step Process, including the early public notice and the final notice.

Continue to Question 7. 8-Step Process.

🗆 No

Federal assistance may not be used at this location. You must either choose an alternate site or cancel the project at this location.

7. 8-Step Process.

Does the 8-Step Process apply? Select one of the following options:

□ 8-Step Process is inapplicable per 55.13.

Select the applicable citation:

- □ (a) HUD's mortgage insurance actions and other financial assistance for the purchasing, mortgaging, or refinancing of existing one- to four-family properties in communities that are in the Regular Program of the NFIP and in good standing (i.e., not suspended from program eligibility or placed on probation under 44 CFR 59.24), where the action is not a critical action and the property is not located in a floodway, coastal high hazard area, or LiMWA;
- □ (b) Financial assistance for minor repairs or improvements on one- to four-family properties that do not meet the thresholds for "substantial improvement" under § 55.2(b)(12);
- □ (c) HUD or a recipient's actions involving the disposition of individual HUD or recipient held, one- to four-family properties;
- □ (d) HUD guarantees under the Loan Guarantee Recovery Fund Program (24 CFR part 573), where any new construction or rehabilitation financed by the existing loan or mortgage has been completed prior to the filing of an application under the program, and the refinancing will not allow further construction or rehabilitation, nor result in any physical impacts or changes except for routine maintenance;
- □ (e) The approval of financial assistance to lease units within an existing structure located within the floodplain, but only if;

(1) The structure is located outside the floodway or coastal high hazard area, and is in a community that is in the Regular Program of the NFIP and in good standing (i.e., not suspended from program eligibility or placed on probation under 44 CFR 59.24); and

(2) The project is not a critical action; and

(3) The entire structure is or will be fully insured or insured to the maximum extent available under the NFIP for at least the term of the lease.

□ (f) Special projects for the purpose of improving efficiency of utilities or installing renewable energy that involve the repair, rehabilitation, modernization, weatherization, or improvement of existing structures or infrastructure, do not meet the thresholds for "substantial improvement" under § 55.2(b)(12), and do not include the installation of equipment below the FFRMS floodplain elevation.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below.

□ 5-Step Process is applicable per 55.14.

Provide documentation of 5-Step Process.

Select the applicable citation:

- □ (a) HUD actions involving the disposition of HUD-acquired multifamily housing projects or "bulk sales" of HUD-acquired one- to four-family properties in communities that are in the Regular Program of the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) and in good standing (i.e., not suspended from program eligibility or placed on probation under 44 CFR 59.24).
- □ (b)HUD's actions under the National Housing Act (12 U.S.C. 1701) for the purchase or refinancing of existing multifamily housing projects, hospitals, nursing homes, assisted living facilities, board and care facilities, and intermediate care facilities, in communities that are in good standing under the NFIP.
- □ (c) HUD's or the recipient's actions under any HUD program involving the repair, rehabilitation, modernization, weatherization, or improvement of existing multifamily housing projects, hospitals, nursing homes, assisted living facilities, board and care facilities, intermediate care facilities, and one- to four-family properties, in communities that are in the Regular Program of the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) and are in good standing, provided that the number of units is not increased more than 20 percent, the action does not involve a conversion from nonresidential to residential land use, the action does not meet the thresholds for "substantial improvement" under § 55.2(b)(10), and the footprint of the structure and paved areas is not increased by more than 20 percent.
- □ (d) HUD's (or the recipient's) actions under any HUD program involving the repair, rehabilitation, modernization, weatherization, or improvement of existing nonresidential buildings and structures, in communities that are in the Regular Program of the NFIP and are in good standing, provided that the action does not meet the thresholds for "substantial improvement" under § 55.2(b)(10) and that the footprint of the structure and paved areas is not increased by more than 20 percent
- □ (e) HUD's or the recipient's actions under any HUD program involving the repair, rehabilitation, or replacement of existing nonstructural improvements including streets, curbs and gutters, where any increase of the total impervious surface area of the facility is de minimis. This provision does not include critical actions, levee systems, chemical storage facilities (including any tanks), wastewater facilities, or sewer lagoons.

Continue to Question 8. Mitigation.

□ 8-Step Process applies.

Provide a completed 8-Step Process, including the early public notice and the final notice.

Continue to Question 8. Mitigation.

8. Mitigation

For the project to comply with this section, all adverse impacts must be mitigated. Explain in detail the measures that must be implemented to mitigate the impact or effect, including the timeline for implementation. Note: newly constructed and substantially improved structures within the FFRMS floodplain must be elevated to the FFRMS floodplain elevation or floodproofed, if applicable.

N/A

Which of the following if any mitigation/minimization measures have been identified for this project in the 8-Step or 5-Step Process? Select all that apply.

- □ Buyout and demolition or other supported clearance of floodplain structures
- \Box Insurance purchased in excess of statutory requirement under the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973
- Permeable surfaces
- □ Natural landscape enhancements that maintain or restore natural hydrology
- □ Planting or restoring native plant species
- □ Bioswales
- □ Stormwater capture and reuse
- □ Green or vegetative roofs with drainage provisions
- □ Natural Resources Conservation Service conservation easements or similar easements
- □ Floodproofing of structures as allowable (e.g. non-residential floors)
- □ Elevating structures (including freeboard above the required base flood elevations)
- □ Levee or structural protection from flooding
- □ Channelizing or redefining the floodway or floodplain through a Letter of Map Revision (LOMR)

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below.

Worksheet Summary

Compliance Determination

Provide a clear description of your determination and a synopsis of the information that it was based on, such as:

- FIRM panel numbers
- CISA data or maps
- Information on other data or tools used or accessed
- Names of all consulted parties and relevant consultation dates
- Names of plans or reports and relevant page numbers
- Any additional requirements specific to your region

Include all documentation supporting your findings in your submission to HUD

See below.

Worksheet Summary for 2013 Version Compliance Determination

Attach 'Floodplain Management Partner Worksheet' (OMB No. 2506-0177), FIRM map indicating project location, and summary of 8-step or 5-step decision making process if applicable.

Provide a clear description of your determination and a synopsis of the information that it was based on, such as:

- Map panel numbers and dates
- Names of all consulted parties and relevant consultation dates
- Names of plans or reports and relevant page numbers
- Any additional requirements specific to your region

Include all documentation supporting your findings in your submission to HUD

An Advisory Base Flood Elevation (ABFE) map was developed by FEMA for this FIRM, which shows the project sites are in Flood Zone X. The project is not located in the 100-year floodplain or ABFE special flood hazard area; therefore, no further action is required. The extent of the FFRMS floodplain was determined using the 0.2 percent flood approach. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11988,. as amended by Executive Order 13690.An Advisory Base Flood Elevation (ABFE) map was developed by FEMA for this FIRM, which shows the project sites are in Flood Zone X.

PFIRMs in Puerto Rico were only developed for certain sections of the municipalities of Carolina, Canovanas, Loiza, San Juan and Trujillo Alto. The proposed project is located in the municipality of Maricao; therefore, PFIRM information was not available for the area and therefore not considered in the review. The project is not located in the 100-year floodplain or ABFE special flood hazard area; therefore, no further action is required. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11988.

HUD implemented new floodplain regulations under 89 FR 30850 effective June 24, 2024 which created the Federal Flood Risk Management Standard (FFRMS). The current project comprises non-critical actions that lie outside the 0.2-percent-annual-chance (500-year flood elevation), which is the approach used for this project to comply with the FFRMS; therefore, mitigation is not required and the project is in compliance with 24 CFR Part 55.




Figure B 10-1: Advisory Base Flood Elevation For 100-Year Floodplain Map

Applicant ID: PR-RGRW-01322

ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANTS

Zone A Zone A-Floodway

Coastal A Zone Coastal A Zone and Floodway

- Zone AE-Floodway
- Advisory Base Flood Elevation (ABFE) Zone AO 0.2% Annual Chance Flood Zone VE 1% Annual Chance Flood

E


Project Footprint (Option)

- Zone X (500-year floodplain)
 - Zone/BFE Boundary

Carr 105 km 42.1 Indiera Baja Maricao, Puerto Rico 00606

Parcel ID: 263-000-009-45-901 Parcel Center: 66.8978°W 18.158326°N

Data Source: https://gis.fema.gov/arcgis/rest/ services/DR/PuertoRico_ABFE_1PC1/ MapServer Base Maj: ESRI ArcGIS Online, accessed November 2023 Updated: 11/3/2023 Layout: ABFE 1Pct Aprx: 72428_ReGrowTier2Maps



Attachment 11

Historic Preservation Partner Worksheet and SHPO Consultation



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT WASHINGTON, DC 20410-1000

This Worksheet was designed to be used by those "Partners" (including Public Housing Authorities, consultants, contractors, and nonprofits) who assist Responsible Entities and HUD in preparing environmental reviews, but legally cannot take full responsibilities for these reviews themselves. Responsible Entities and HUD should use the RE/HUD version of the Worksheet.

Historic Preservation (CEST and EA) – PARTNER

https://www.hudexchange.info/environmental-review/historic-preservation

Threshold

Is Section 106 review required for your project?

□ No, because a Programmatic Agreement states that all activities included in this project are exempt. (See the <u>PA Database</u> to find applicable PAs.)

Either provide the PA itself or a link to it here. Mark the applicable exemptions or include the text here:

Click here to enter text.

 \rightarrow Continue to the Worksheet Summary.

□ No, because the project consists solely of activities included in a No Potential to Cause Effects memo or other determination [36 CFR 800.3(a)(1)].

Either provide the memo itself or a link to it here. Explain and justify the other determination here:

Click here to enter text.

 \rightarrow Continue to the Worksheet Summary.

 \boxtimes Yes, because the project includes activities with potential to cause effects (direct or indirect). \rightarrow *Continue to Step 1.*

The Section 106 Process

After determining the need to do a Section 106 review, HUD or the RE will initiate consultation with regulatory and other interested parties, identify and evaluate historic properties, assess effects of the project on properties listed on or eligible for the National Register of Historic Places, and resolve any adverse effects through project design modifications or mitigation.

Step 1: Initiate consultation

- Step 2: Identify and evaluate historic properties
- Step 3: Assess effects of the project on historic properties
- Step 4: Resolve any adverse effects

Only RF or HUD staff may initiate the Section 106 consultation process. Partner entities may gather information, including from SHPO records, identify and evaluate historic properties, and make initial assessments of effects of the project on properties listed in or eligible for the National Register of Historic Place. Partners should then provide their RE or HUD with all of their analysis and documentation so that they may initiate consultation.

Step 1 - Initiate Consultation

The following parties are entitled to participate in Section 106 reviews: Advisory Council on Historic Preservation; State Historic Preservation Officers (SHPOs); federally recognized Indian tribes/Tribal Historic Preservation Officers (THPOs); Native Hawaiian Organizations (NHOs); local governments; and project grantees. The general public and individuals and organizations with a demonstrated interest in a project may participate as consulting parties at the discretion of the RE or HUD official. Participation varies with the nature and scope of a project. Refer to HUD's website for guidance on consultation, including the required timeframes for response. Consultation should begin early to enable full consideration of preservation options.

Use the <u>When To Consult With Tribes checklist</u> within <u>Notice CPD-12-006</u>: <u>Process for Tribal Consultation</u> to determine if the RE or HUD should invite tribes to consult on a particular project. Use the <u>Tribal</u> <u>Directory Assessment Tool (TDAT)</u> to identify tribes that may have an interest in the area where the project is located. Note that only HUD or the RE may initiate consultation with Tribes. Partner entities may prepare a draft letter for the RE or HUD to use to initiate consultation with tribes, but may not send the letter themselves.

List all organizations and individuals that you believe may have an interest in the project here: SHPO, PRDOH, applicant

\rightarrow Continue to Step 2.

Step 2 - Identify and Evaluate Historic Properties

Provide a preliminary definition of the Area of Potential Effect (APE), either by entering the address(es) or providing a map depicting the APE. Attach an additional page if necessary.

Project Location: Water Well Location (18.158326, -66.8978) is in the central-west portion of the parcel. As defined in 36 CFR §800.16(d), the area of potential effects (APE) is the geographic area or areas within which an undertaking may directly or indirectly cause changes in the character or use of historic properties if any such properties exist. Based on this definition and the nature and scope of the Undertaking, the Program has determined that the direct APE for this project is the location of the water well plus a 15-meter horizontal buffer to allow for some variation in final placement during construction and the visual APE is the viewshed of the proposed project.

Gather information about known historic properties in the APE. Historic buildings, districts and archeological sites may have been identified in local, state, and national surveys and registers, local historic districts, municipal plans, town and county histories, and local history websites. If not already listed on the National Register of Historic Places, identified properties are then evaluated to see if they are eligible for the National Register. Refer to HUD's website for guidance on identifying and evaluating historic properties.

In the space below, list historic properties identified and evaluated in the APE.

Every historic property that may be affected by the project should be listed. For each historic property or district, include the National Register status, whether the SHPO has concurred with the finding, and whether information on the site is sensitive. Attach an additional page if necessary.

Archaeology-Existing information on previously identified historic properties has been reviewed to determine if any such properties are located within the APE of this undertaking. The review of this existing information by a Program contracted archaeologist meeting the Secretary of the Interior's Professional Qualification Standards (36 CFR Part 61) who searched the records at the SHPO and the Instituto de Cultura Puertorriqueña which shows that there are no reported archaeological materials or significant cultural properties within a half-mile (mi) radius of the project location. Three (3) archaeological evaluation (Section 106) and one (1) survey of cultural resources have been conducted within the 0.5 mi review radius with no cultural resources found. The closest archaeological study is the survey SHPO code 10-05-93-01 at 0.18 mi northwest of the project location which was sponsored by the Puerto Rico Aqueduct and Sewer Authority (PRASA) or Autoridad de Acueductos y Alcantarillados in Spanish (AAA) for the improvement of the potable water system of Barrio Bucarabones, and the communities of Indiera Alta y Baja of Maricao. The next-nearest study is an archaeological evaluation at 0.3 miles northwest of the project location coded SHPO 02-06-15-05 to rehabilitate a residence for low- or moderate-income owners. The last two Section 106 evaluations were conducted to repair the pavement of the community roads in different areas of the municipality of Maricao; the nearest was SHPO code 06-29-15-02 at 0.36 mi west, and the next one was SHPO code10-12-14-02 at 0.50 mi northeast.

The proposed project is located in a rural, mountainous area in the west portion of the Island at an elevation of 830 ft (253 meters [m]) above mean sea level. Per the USGS/NRCS Web Soil Survey, the project area crosses two mapped soil series: LuF2 (Los Guineos clay, 20 to 60 percent slopes, eroded) and MrF2 (Maricao clay, 20 to 60 percent slopes). The project area APE is in the southeast portion of the municipality of Maricao. The general project area is located on a locally west-facing slope in a broader area of highland topography characterized by very high, variable relief and dense, tropical forest vegetation interspersed with cleared agricultural fields and sparse residential construction. The closest freshwater source is an unnamed tributary to the Río Sapo located 0.2 mi (0.3 kilometer [km]) north of the project area. The south coast is approximately 15.2 mi (24.4 km) from the project area. Architecture-

Existing information on previously identified historic properties has been reviewed to determine if any such properties are located within the APE of this undertaking. The review of this existing information, by a Program contracted Historic Preservation Specialist meeting the Secretary of the Interior's Professional Qualification Standards (36 CFR Part 61) shows that the project area is not within the boundaries of a National Register of Historic Places (NRHP)-eligible or listed Traditional Urban Center or Historic District. Three S106 surveys and one survey of cultural resources have been conducted within 0.5-mi review radius with no cultural resources found. The closest is SHPO code 10-05-93-01 at 0.18 mi northwest of the project location which was sponsored by the Puerto Rico Aqueduct and Sewer Authority (PRASA) or Autoridad de Acueductos y Alcantarillados in Spanish (AAA) for the improvement of the potable water system of Barrio Bucarabones, and the communities of Indiera Alta y Baja of Maricao. The next-nearest study is an archaeological evaluation at 0.3 miles northwest of the project location, SHPO code 02-06-15-05, to rehabilitate a residence for low- or moderate-income owners under a Community Development Block Grant. The last two Section 106 evaluations were conducted to repair the pavement of the community roads in different areas of the municipality of Maricao; the nearest was SHPO code 06-29-15-02 at 0.36 mi west, and the next one was SHPO code 10-12-14-02 at 0.50 mi northeast.

The project area is in a rural, mountainous area of Maricao, northwest of Inderia Alta and southeast of Hacienda Llanada. The project area has rolling hills, tall vegetation, and is north of the Lake Lucietti Preserve. The property is only visible on the east side of Highway 105, and the project area is located on the rear of the property. No vegetation or tree clearing is required for construction. Historic Aerials (https://www.historicaerials.com/viewer) had imagery for this area from 1959 and 1975. The building

on the property first appears on the 1975 aerial from this data set. Aerial imagery from Earth Explorer (EarthExplorer [usgs.gov]) for 1975 and 1977 show the presence of a structure on the site of the owner's house. There are multiple buildings currently on the property in question: one garage, one shop for the retail of the owners' agricultural goods, one concrete building for water storage, and the property owner's house. An SWCA architectural historian determined the date of construction of the owner's house and the garage as c. 1965, which accords with building make, materials, workmanship, and design. The garage and the primary residence are alike in style and materials. The owner's house is a single-story, single-family residence that sits at grade on a concrete foundation. Siding is painted stucco, and the half-height, multipane windows are consistent throughout the structure. The windows are pairs of 3-pane awning windows arranged 7 panes high. The roof is high style green stucco with international influences, and curved clay tiles over the center of the façade. The roof overhang is supported by tapered decorative columns with mid-century influences. A porch is delineated by a chair-height rail of decorative columns. A cast-iron, decorative fence surrounds the residence. The garage is similar in design, sitting at grade, with stucco siding, and typical multi-pane awning windows. The agricultural commercial building is a simple vernacular structure that sits at grade, with stucco siding, and a shingle shed roof with a mural on the façade. The remaining building is a concrete shed, which already has a cistern on top of it. As the project does not involve alterations to the historic aged buildings on the property, and no vegetation or tree removal is proposed, no historic properties will be affected by the project.

Provide the documentation (survey forms, Register nominations, concurrence(s) and/or objection(s), notes, and photos) that justify your National Register Status determination.

Was a survey of historic buildings and/or archeological sites done as part of the project?

If the APE contains previously unsurveyed buildings or structures over 50 years old, or there is a likely presence of previously unsurveyed archeological sites, a survey may be necessary. For Archeological surveys, refer to HP Fact Sheet #6, <u>Guidance on Archeological Investigations in HUD Projects</u>.

□ Yes → Provide survey(s) and report(s) and continue to Step 3.
 Additional notes:
 Click here to enter text.

 \boxtimes No \rightarrow Continue to Step 3.

Step 3 - Assess Effects of the Project on Historic Properties

Only properties that are listed on or eligible for the National Register of Historic Places receive further consideration under Section 106. Assess the effect(s) of the project by applying the Criteria of Adverse Effect. (<u>36 CFR 800.5</u>) Consider direct and indirect effects as applicable as per HUD guidance.

Choose one of the findings below to recommend to the RE or HUD.

Please note: this is a recommendation only. It is **not** the official finding, which will be made by the RE or HUD, but only your suggestion as a Partner entity.

⊠ <u>No Historic Properties Affected</u>

Document reason for finding:

 \boxtimes No historic properties present.

 $\hfill\square$ Historic properties present, but project will have no effect upon them.

□ <u>No Adverse Effect</u>

Document reason for finding and provide any comments below.

Comments may include recommendations for mitigation, monitoring, a plan for unanticipated discoveries, etc.

Click here to enter text.

□ <u>Adverse Effect</u>

Document reason for finding:

Copy and paste applicable Criteria into text box with summary and justification. Criteria of Adverse Effect: <u>36 CFR 800.5</u>]

Click here to enter text.

Provide any comments below:

Comments may include recommendations for avoidance, minimization, and/or mitigation. Click here to enter text.

Remember to provide all documentation that justifies your National Register Status determination and recommendations along with this worksheet.



GOVERNMENT OF PUERTO RICO

STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICE

Executive Director I Carlos A. Rubio-Cancela I carubio@prshpo.pr.gov

March 06, 2024

Lauren Bair Poche HORNE- Architectural Historian Manager

10000 Perkins Rowe, Suite 610 Bldg G Baton Rouge, LA 70810

SHPO: CF-02-27-24-04 PUERTO RICO DISASTER RECOVERY, CDBG-DR RE-GROW PR URBAN-RURAL AGRICULTURAL RE-GROW PROGRAM, PR-RGRW-01322, REYNALDO RUIZ FELICIANO, CARR. 105 KM 42.1 INDIERA BAJA, MARICAO, PUERTO RICO

Dear Ms. Poche,

Our Office has received and reviewed the above referenced project in accordance with 54 USC 306108 (commonly known as Section 106 of the *National Historic Preservation Act, as amended*) and 36 CFR Part 800: *Protection of Historic Properties* from the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation. The State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) is to advise and assist federal agencies and other responsible entities when identifying historic properties, assessing effects upon them, and considering alternatives to avoid or reduce the project's effects.

Our records support your finding of **no historic properties affected** within the project's area of potential effects.

Please note that should the Agency discover any historic properties at any point during project implementation, you should notify the SHPO immediately. If you have any questions concerning our comments, do not hesitate to contact our Office.

Sincerely,



Carlos A. Rubio-Cancela State Historic Preservation Officer CARC/GMO/LGC



OFICINA ESTATAL DE CONSERVACIÓN HISTÓRICA OFICINA DEL GOBERNADOR

STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICE OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR

Cuartel de Ballajá (Tercer Piso), Calle Norzagaray, Esq. Beneficencia, Viejo San Juan, PR 00901 | PO Box 9023935, San Juan, PR 00902-3935



February 27, 2024

Carlos A. Rubio Cancela State Historic Preservation Officer Puerto Rico State Historic Preservation Office Cuartel de Ballajá (Tercer Piso) San Juan, PR 00902-3935

Puerto Rico Disaster Recovery, CDBG-DR Re-Grow PR Urban-Rural Agricultural (Re-Grow PR) Program

Section 106 NHPA Effect Determination Submittal: PR-RGRW-01322 – Reynaldo Ruiz Feliciano – Carretera 105 km 42.1 Indiera Baja, Maricao, Puerto Rico – *No Historic Properties Affected*

Dear Architect Rubio Cancela,

In accordance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act and its implementing regulations, 36 CFR Part 800, HORNE is providing information for your review and requesting your concurrence regarding the above-referenced projects on behalf of the Puerto Rico Department of Housing (PRDOH). On February 9, 2018, an allocation of Community Development Block Grant - Disaster Recovery (CDBG-DR) funds was approved by the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) under the Federal Register Volume 83, No. 28, 83 FR 5844, to assist the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico in meeting unmet needs in the wake of Hurricanes Irma and Maria. On August 14, 2018, an additional \$8.22 billion recovery allocation was allocated to Puerto Rico under the Federal Register Volume 83, No. 157, 83 FR 40314. With these funding allocations, the Puerto Rico Department of Housing (Housing) aims to lead a comprehensive and transparent recovery for the benefit of Puerto Rico residents.

On behalf of PRDOH and the subrecipient, the Puerto Rico Department of Agriculture, HORNE is submitting documentation for activities proposed by Reynaldo Ruiz Feliciano located at Carretera 105 km 42.1 Indiera Baja, in the municipality of Maricao. The undertaking for this project includes the installation of a water well. The proposed water well will be approximately 400 feet (ft) deep with a ground surface area of approximately 16 square inches (in). The water well will be built near an existing structure that would serve as the electric line required for the water pump. The applicant anticipates the electricity will be provided above ground. If it is determined the lines need to be underground, the electricity connection will be approximately 1 ft deep extending 10 ft from the existing structure to the water well. The water well will be located near the western portion of the property. Water from the water well will be moved to a 600-gallon cistern via above ground PVC pipes, to be stored



and used to water the crops. Water will be distributed to the site via an above ground irrigation system using various PVC pipes.

Based on the submitted documentation, the Program requests a concurrence that a finding of no historic properties affected is appropriate for this proposed project.

Please contact me by email at <u>lauren.poche@horne.com</u> or phone at 225-405-7676 with any questions or concerns.

Kindest regards, Jauan B Pada Lauren Bair Poche, M.A. Architectural Historian, Historic Preservation Senior Manager

Attachments



Applicant: Reynaldo Ruiz Feliciano

Case ID: PR-RGRW-01322

City: Maricao

08Project Location: Carretera 105 km 42.1 Indiera Baja, Maricao, PR 00606		
Project Coordinates: 18.158326, -66.8978 (as provided by applicant during field visit)		
TPID (Número de Catastro): 263-000-009-45-901		
Type of Undertaking:		
Substantial Repair/Improvements		
⊠ New Construction		
Construction Date (AH est.): c. 1965	Property Size (acres): 2.69 acres total	
	Water Well: 0.000646 acre (28 sq. ft)	

SOI-Qualified Architect/Architectural Historian: Ella McIntire, M.A.	
Date Reviewed: February 5, 2024	
SOI-Qualified Archaeologist: Delise Torres Ortiz, M.A.	
Date Reviewed: January 13, 2024.	

In compliance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA), the Program is responsible for identifying historic properties listed in the NRHP and any properties not listed that would be considered eligible for listing that are located within the geographic area of potential effects (APE) of the proposed project and assessing the potential effects of its undertakings on these historic properties.

Project Description (Undertaking)

The proposed project includes the installation of a water well. The proposed water well will be approximately 400 feet (ft) deep with a ground surface area of approximately 16 square inches (in). The water well will be built near an existing structure that would serve as the electric line required for the water pump. The applicant anticipates the electricity be provided aboveground. If it is determined the lines need to be underground, the electricity connection will be approximately 1 ft deep extending 10 ft from the existing structure to the water well. The water well will be located near the western portion of the property. Water from the water well will be moved to a 600-gallon cistern via aboveground PVC pipes, to be stored and used to water the crops. Water will be distributed to the site via an aboveground irrigation system using various PVC pipes. The proposed well will be installed by contractors, who will be responsible for obtaining the proper permitting required for construction of wells prior to the start of construction activities.

The project will involve extensive ground disturbance for the drilling of the well, but no vegetation or tree clearing is required for construction. The applicant owns the property; therefore, no acquisition is required.

Area of Potential Effects

As defined in 36 CFR §800.16(d), the area of potential effects (APE) is the geographic area or areas within which an undertaking may directly or indirectly cause changes in the

PUERTO RICO 2017 DISASTER RECOVERY, CDBG-DR PROGRAM REGROW PUERTO RICO PROGRAM Section 106 NHPA Effect Determination	GOVERNMENT OF PUERTO RICO
Applicant: Reynaldo Ruiz Feliciano	
Case ID: PR-RGRW-01322	City: Maricao

character or use of historic properties if any such properties exist. Based on this definition and the nature and scope of the Undertaking, the Program has determined that the direct APE for this project is the location of the water well, the possible underground electricity connection plus a 15-meter horizontal buffer to allow for some variation in final placement during construction and the visual APE is the viewshed of the proposed project.

Identification of Historic Properties - Archaeology

Existing information on previously identified historic properties has been reviewed to determine if any such properties are located within the APE of this undertaking. The review of this existing information by a Program contracted archaeologist meeting the Secretary of the Interior's Professional Qualification Standards (36 CFR Part 61) who searched the records at the SHPO and the Instituto de Cultura Puertorriqueña which shows that there are no reported archaeological materials or significant cultural properties within a half-mile (mi) radius of the project location. Three (3) archaeological evaluation (Section 106) and one (1) survey of cultural resources have been conducted within the 0.5 mi review radius with no cultural resources found. The closest archaeological study is the survey SHPO code 10-05-93-01 at 0.18 mi northwest of the project location which was sponsored by the Puerto Rico Aqueduct and Sewer Authority (PRASA) or Autoridad de Acueductos y Alcantarillados in Spanish (AAA) for the improvement of the potable water system of Barrio Bucarabones, and the communities of Indiera Alta y Baja of Maricao. The next-nearest study is an archaeological evaluation at 0.3 miles northwest of the project location coded SHPO 02-06-15-05 to rehabilitate a residence for low- or moderate-income owners. The last two Section 106 evaluations were conducted to repair the pavement of the community roads in different areas of the municipality of Maricao; the nearest was SHPO code 06-29-15-02 at 0.36 mi west, and the next one was SHPO code10-12-14-02 at 0.50 mi northeast.

The proposed project is located in a rural, mountainous area in the west portion of the Island at an elevation of 830 ft (253 meters [m]) above mean sea level. Per the USGS/NRCS Web Soil Survey, the project area crosses two mapped soil series: LuF2 (Los Guineos clay, 20 to 60 percent slopes, eroded) and MrF2 (Maricao clay, 20 to 60 percent slopes). The project area APE is in the southeast portion of the municipality of Maricao. The general project area is located on a locally west-facing slope in a broader area of highland topography characterized by very high, variable relief and dense, tropical forest vegetation interspersed with cleared agricultural fields and sparse residential construction. The closest freshwater source is an unnamed tributary to the Río Sapo located 0.2 mi (0.3 kilometer [km]) north of the project area. The south coast is approximately 15.2 mi (24.4 km) from the project area.

PUERTO RICO 2017 DISASTER RECOVERY, CDBG-DR PROGRAM REGROW PUERTO RICO PROGRAM Section 106 NHPA Effect Determination	GOVERNMENT OF PUERTO RICO
Applicant: Reynaldo Ruiz Feliciano	
Case ID: PR-RGRW-01322	City: Maricao

Identification of Historic Properties - Architecture

Existing information on previously identified historic properties has been reviewed to determine if any such properties are located within the APE of this undertaking. The review of this existing information, by a Program contracted Historic Preservation Specialist meeting the Secretary of the Interior's Professional Qualification Standards (36 CFR Part 61) shows that the project area is not within the boundaries of a National Register of Historic Places (NRHP)eligible or listed Traditional Urban Center or Historic District. Three \$106 surveys and one survey of cultural resources have been conducted within 0.5-mi review radius with no cultural resources found. The closest is SHPO code 10-05-93-01 at 0.18 mi northwest of the project location which was sponsored by the Puerto Rico Aqueduct and Sewer Authority (PRASA) or Autoridad de Acueductos y Alcantarillados in Spanish (AAA) for the improvement of the potable water system of Barrio Bucarabones, and the communities of Indiera Alta y Baja of Maricao. The next-nearest study is an archaeological evaluation at 0.3 miles northwest of the project location, SHPO code 02-06-15-05, to rehabilitate a residence for low- or moderate-income owners under a Community Development Block Grant. The last two Section 106 evaluations were conducted to repair the pavement of the community roads in different areas of the municipality of Maricao; the nearest was SHPO code 06-29-15-02 at 0.36 mi west, and the next one was SHPO code 10-12-14-02 at 0.50 mi northeast.

The project area is in a rural, mountainous area of Maricao, northwest of Inderia Alta and southeast of Hacienda Llanada. The project area has rolling hills, tall vegetation, and is north of the Lake Lucietti Preserve. The property is only visible on the east side of Highway 105, and the project area is located on the rear of the property. No vegetation or tree clearing is required for construction. Historic Aerials (https://www.historicaerials.com/viewer) had imagery for this area from 1959 and 1975. The building on the property first appears on the 1975 aerial from this data set. Aerial imagery from Earth Explorer (EarthExplorer [usgs.gov]) for 1975 and 1977 show the presence of a structure on the site of the owner's house. There are multiple buildings currently on the property in question: one garage, one shop for the retail of the owners' agricultural goods, one concrete building for water storage, and the property owner's house. An SWCA architectural historian determined the date of construction of the owner's house and the garage as c. 1965, which accords with building make, materials, workmanship, and design. The garage and the primary residence are alike in style and materials. The owner's house is a single-story, single-family residence that sits at grade on a concrete foundation. Siding is painted stucco, and the half-height, multipane windows are consistent throughout the structure. The windows are pairs of 3-pane awning windows arranged 7 panes high. The roof is high style green stucco with international influences, and curved clay tiles over the center of the facade. The roof overhang is supported by tapered decorative columns with mid-century influences. A porch is

PUERTO RICO 2017 DISASTER RECOVERY, CDBG-DR PROGRAM REGROW PUERTO RICO PROGRAM Section 106 NHPA Effect Determination	GOVERNMENT OF PUERTO RICO
Applicant: Reynaldo Ruiz Feliciano	
Case ID: PR-RGRW-01322	City: Maricao

delineated by a chair-height rail of decorative columns. A cast-iron, decorative fence surrounds the residence. The garage is similar in design, sitting at grade, with stucco siding, and typical multi-pane awning windows. The agricultural commercial building is a simple vernacular structure that sits at grade, with stucco siding, and a shingle shed roof with a mural on the façade. The remaining building is a concrete shed, which already has a cistern on top of it. As the project does not involve alterations to the historic aged buildings on the property, and no vegetation or tree removal is proposed, no historic properties will be affected by the project.

Determination

The following historic properties have been identified within the APE:

- Direct Effect:
 - o None
- Indirect Effect:
 - o None

Based on the results of our historic property identification efforts, the Program has determined that project actions will not affect historic properties that compose the Area of Potential Effect. The project area is not within or adjacent to the boundaries of a National Register of Historic Places (NRHP)-eligible or listed historic district or Traditional Urban Center. There are no reported archaeological materials or significant cultural remains within a half-mile radius of the proposed project location. No known archaeological sites or NRHP listed/eligible historic properties are within or adjacent to the property or the parcel in which the Area of Potential Effect of case PR-RGRW-01322 is located. The closest freshwater body is approximately 0.2 mi (0.3 km) north of the project area. The size of the proposed project activities is very small (0.000646 acre) and construction of public roads, residential structures, and agricultural infrastructure has disturbed the surrounding terrain. Therefore, no historic properties will be affected by the proposed project activities.



Applicant: Reynaldo Ruiz Feliciano

Case ID: PR-RGRW-01322

City: Maricao

Recommendation (Please keep on same page as SHPO Staff Section)

The Puerto Rico Department of Housing requests that the Puerto Rico SHPO concur that the following determination is appropriate for the undertaking (Choose One):

No Historic Properties Affected
 No Adverse Effect
 Condition (if applicable):
 Adverse Effect
 Proposed Resolution (if appliable)

This Section is to be Completed by SHPO Staff Only

The Puerto Rico State Historic Preservation Office has reviewed the above information and:

□ **Concurs** with the information provided.

Does not concur with the information provided.

Comments:

Carlos Rubio-Cancela	Data
State Historic Preservation Officer	Date:



Applicant: Reynaldo Ruiz Feliciano

Case ID: PR-RGRW-01322

City: Maricao

Project (Parcel) Location – Area of Potential Effect Map (Aerial) Water W Carr 105 km 42.1 Indiera Baja Maricao, Puerto Rico 00606 REGROW PROGRAM Site Project Location Area of Potential Effects (APE) Map Site Parcel Parcel ID: 263-000-009-45-901 Parcel Center: 66.8978°W 18.158326°N Ν Project Footprint (Option) A APE (Buffer (15-meters)) Applicant ID: PR-RGRW-01322 1:1,700 Puerto Rico e Map: ESRI ArcGIS Onlin accessed November 202 Updated: 11/3/202 SWCA* Layout: APE Aprx: 72428_ReGrowTier2Maps



Applicant: Reynaldo Ruiz Feliciano


Case ID: PR-RGRW-01322





Applicant: Reynaldo Ruiz Feliciano


Case ID: PR-RGRW-01322





Applicant: Reynaldo Ruiz Feliciano


Case ID: PR-RGRW-01322





Applicant: Reynaldo Ruiz Feliciano

Case ID: PR-RGRW-01322





Applicant: Reynaldo Ruiz Feliciano


Case ID: PR-RGRW-01322





Applicant: Reynaldo Ruiz Feliciano

Case ID: PR-RGRW-01322





City: Maricao

Applicant: Reynaldo Ruiz Feliciano


Case ID: PR-RGRW-01322

Photo #: 01 Date: 10/25/2023	Description (south): Project overview for the site location for a water well 4x4 in.
Photo #: 02 Date: 10/25/2023	Description (southeast): Northwest corner of the site location for a water well 4x4 in.



Applicant: Reynaldo Ruiz Feliciano


Case ID: PR-RGRW-01322





Applicant: Reynaldo Ruiz Feliciano


Case ID: PR-RGRW-01322





Applicant: Reynaldo Ruiz Feliciano


Case ID: PR-RGRW-01322





Applicant: Reynaldo Ruiz Feliciano


Case ID: PR-RGRW-01322





Applicant: Reynaldo Ruiz Feliciano


Case ID: PR-RGRW-01322





Applicant: Reynaldo Ruiz Feliciano


Case ID: PR-RGRW-01322





Applicant: Reynaldo Ruiz Feliciano


Case ID: PR-RGRW-01322





Applicant: Reynaldo Ruiz Feliciano

Case ID: PR-RGRW-01322





Applicant: Reynaldo Ruiz Feliciano

Case ID: PR-RGRW-01322

Photo #: 19	Description (southwest): Applicant's agricultural shop, built over 30
Date: 10/25/2023	years ago when the applicant bought the property.
Photo #: 20	Description (northwest): Applicant's property, built before the
Date: 10/25/2023	applicant bought the property over 30 years ago.





October 20, 2022

Arch. Carlos A. Rubio Cancela

Executive Director State Historic Preservation Officer Cuartel de Ballajá Bldg. San Juan, Puerto Rico

Re: Authorization to Submit Documents

Dear Arch. Rubio Cancela:

The U.S. Department of Housing (HUD) approved the allocations of Community Development Block Grant (CDBG-DR) funds on February 9, 2018. It also approved the allocation of Community Development Block Grant Mitigation (CDBG-MIT) funds on January 27, 2020. The purpose of these allocations is to address unsatisfied needs as a result of Hurricanes Irma and Maria in September 2017; and to carry out strategic and high-impact activities to mitigate disaster risks and reduce future losses.

To comply with the environmental requirements established by HUD, the Department of Housing of Puerto Rico (PRDOH) contracted Horne Federal LLC to provide environmental registry review services, among others, that will support the objectives of the agenda for both CDBG-DR and CDBG -MIT Programs.

In line to expedite the processes, Horne Federal LLC, is authorized to submit to the State Historic Preservation Officer, documentation of projects related to both the CDBG-DR and CDBG-MIT on behalf of PRDOH.

Cordially,

Juan C Pérez Bofill, P.E. M.Eng Director of Disaster Recovery CDBG DR-MIT

CDBG-DR FUNDS I HOUSING

Attachment 12

Wetlands Protection Partner Worksheet and Map



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT WASHINGTON, DC 20410-1000

This Worksheet was designed to be used by those "Partners" (including Public Housing Authorities, consultants, contractors, and nonprofits) who assist Responsible Entities and HUD in preparing environmental reviews, but legally cannot take full responsibilities for these reviews themselves. Responsible Entities and HUD should use the RE/HUD version of the Worksheet.

Wetlands (CEST and EA) - Partner

https://www.hudexchange.info/environmental-review/wetlands-protection

1. Does this project involve new construction as defined in Executive Order 11990, expansion of a building's footprint, or ground disturbance?

The term "new construction" includes draining, dredging, channelizing, filling, diking, impounding, and related activities and construction of any any structures or facilities.

 \square No \rightarrow If the RE/HUD agrees with this recommendation, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below.

 \boxtimes Yes \rightarrow Continue to Question 2.

- 2. Will the new construction or other ground disturbance impact a wetland as defined in E.O. 11990?
 - \boxtimes No \rightarrow If the RE/HUD agrees with this recommendation, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Provide a map or any other relevant documentation to explain your determination.

 \Box Yes \rightarrow <u>Work with HUD or the RE to assist with the 8-Step Process.</u> Continue to Question 3.

3. Does Section 55.12 state that the 8-Step Process is not required?

□ No, the 8-Step Process applies.

This project will require mitigation and may require elevating structure or structures. See the link to the HUD Exchange above for information on HUD's elevation requirements. \rightarrow Work with the RE/HUD to assist with the 8-Step Process. Continue to Worksheet Summary.

□ 5-Step Process is applicable per 55.12(a).

Provide the applicable citation at 24 CFR 55.12(a) here.

Click here to enter text.

 \rightarrow Work with the RE/HUD to assist with the 5-Step Process. This project may require mitigation or alternations. Continue to Worksheet Summary.

 8-Step Process is inapplicable per 55.12(b).
 Provide the applicable citation at 24 CFR 55.12(b) here. Click here to enter text. \rightarrow If the RE/HUD agrees with this recommendation, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to Worksheet Summary.

□ 8-Step Process is inapplicable per 55.12(c).

Provide the applicable citation at 24 CFR 55.12(c) here.

Click here to enter text.

 \rightarrow If the RE/HUD agrees with this recommendation, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to Worksheet Summary.

Worksheet Summary

Provide a full description of your determination and a synopsis of the information that it was based on, such as:

- Map panel numbers and dates
- Names of all consulted parties and relevant consultation dates
- Names of plans or reports and relevant page numbers
- Any additional requirements specific to your program or region

Include all documentation supporting your findings in your submission to HUD.

The project site was reviewed for wetlands using the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) Wetland Inventory Mapper and a visual confirmation during the field site inspection. No wetlands were determined to be present on site. No further evaluation is required. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11990.




Figure B 12-1: Wetlands Protection Мар

Applicant ID: PR-RGRW-01322






Freshwater Forested/ Shrub Wetland Freshwater Pond Lake Riverine

Carr 105 km 42.1 Indiera Baja Maricao, Puerto Rico 00606 Parcel ID: 263-000-009-45-901 Parcel Center: 66.8978°W 18.158326°N

Data Source: https:// apps.nationalmap.gov/downloader/#/ https://www.fws.gov/program/national-wetlands-inventory/data-download Base Map: ESRI ArcGIS Online, accessed November 2023 Update: 11/3/2023 Layout: Wetlands Protection



Attachment 13

Wild and Scenic Rivers Partner Worksheet and Wild and Scenic Rivers Map

Wild and Scenic Rivers (CEST and EA) – PARTNER

This Worksheet was designed to be used by those "Partners" (including Public Housing Authorities, consultants, contractors, and nonprofits) who assist Responsible Entities and HUD in preparing environmental reviews, but legally cannot take full responsibilities for these reviews themselves. Responsible Entities and HUD should use the RE/HUD version of the Worksheet.

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Wild and Scenic Rivers Act	The Wild and Scenic Rivers	36 CFR Part 297
provides federal protection for	Act (16 U.S.C. 1271-1287),	
certain free-flowing, wild, scenic	particularly section 7(b) and	
and recreational rivers	(c) (16 U.S.C. 1278(b) and (c))	
designated as components or		
potential components of the		
National Wild and Scenic Rivers		
System (NWSRS) from the effects		
of construction or development.		
References		
https://www.hudexchange.info/environmental-review/wild-and-scenic-rivers		

1. Is your project within proximity of a NWSRS river as defined below?

Wild & Scenic Rivers: These rivers or river segments have been designated by Congress or by states (with the concurrence of the Secretary of the Interior) as wild, scenic, or recreational

<u>Study Rivers</u>: These rivers or river segments are being studied as a potential component of the Wild & Scenic River system.

<u>Nationwide Rivers Inventory (NRI)</u>: The National Park Service has compiled and maintains the NRI, a register of river segments that potentially qualify as national wild, scenic, or recreational river areas

🛛 No

→ If the RE/HUD agrees with this recommendation, the review is in compliance with this section. Provide documentation used to make your determination, such as a map identifying the project site and its surrounding area or a list of rivers in your region in the Screen Summary at the conclusion of this screen.

□ Yes, the project is in proximity of a Nationwide Rivers Inventory (NRI) River.

 \rightarrow Continue to Question 2.

2. Could the project do any of the following?

- Have a direct and adverse effect within Wild and Scenic River Boundaries,
- Invade the area or unreasonably diminish the river outside Wild and Scenic River Boundaries, or
- Have an adverse effect on the natural, cultural, and/or recreational values of a NRI segment.

Consultation with the appropriate federal/state/local/tribal Managing Agency(s) is required, pursuant to Section 7 of the Act, to determine if the proposed project may have an adverse effect on a Wild & Scenic River or a Study River and, if so, to determine the appropriate avoidance or mitigation measures.

<u>Note</u>: Concurrence may be assumed if the Managing Agency does not respond within 30 days; however, you are still obligated to avoid or mitigate adverse effects on the rivers identified in the NWSRS

- □ No, the Managing Agency has concurred that the proposed project will not alter, directly, or indirectly, any of the characteristics that qualifies or potentially qualifies the river for inclusion in the NWSRS.
- → If the RE/HUD agrees with this recommendation, the review is in compliance with this section. Provide documentation of the consultation (including the Managing Agency's concurrence) and any other documentation used to make your determination.
- □ Yes, the Managing Agency was consulted and the proposed project may alter, directly, or indirectly, any of the characteristics that qualifies or potentially qualifies the river for inclusion in the NWSRS.
- → The RE/HUD must work with the Managing Agency to identify mitigation measures to mitigate the impact or effect of the project on the river.

Worksheet Summary

Compliance Determination

Provide a clear description of your determination and a synopsis of the information that it was based on, such as:

- Map panel numbers and dates
- Names of all consulted parties and relevant consultation dates
- Names of plans or reports and relevant page numbers
- Any additional requirements specific to your region

A review of the USFWS National Wild and Scenic River mapper identified no Wild and Scenic Rivers or National Rivers Inventory (NRI) rivers present in Maricao Municipio. The closest Wild and Scenic River segment is located 387,154 feet (73 miles) from the project site. No further evaluation is required. The project is in compliance with the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act.

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

□ Yes ⊠ No



Attachment 14

Environmental Justice Partner Worksheet and EJScreen Report



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT WASHINGTON, DC 20410-1000

This Worksheet was designed to be used by those "Partners" (including Public Housing Authorities, consultants, contractors, and nonprofits) who assist Responsible Entities and HUD in preparing environmental reviews, but legally cannot take full responsibilities for these reviews themselves. Responsible Entities and HUD should use the RE/HUD version of the Worksheet.

Environmental Justice (CEST and EA) – PARTNER

https://www.hudexchange.info/environmental-review/environmental-justice

HUD strongly encourages starting the Environmental Justice analysis only after all other laws and authorities, including Environmental Assessment factors if necessary, have been completed.

- 1. Were any adverse environmental impacts identified in any other compliance review portion of this project's total environmental review?
 - \Box Yes \rightarrow Continue to Question 2.
 - \boxtimes No \rightarrow If the RE/HUD agrees with this recommendation, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below.
- 2. Were these adverse environmental impacts disproportionately high for low-income and/or minority communities?

□Yes

Explain:

Click here to enter text.

 \rightarrow The RE/HUD must work with the affected low-income or minority community to decide what mitigation actions, if any, will be taken. Provide any supporting documentation.

□No

Explain:

Click here to enter text.

 \rightarrow If the RE/HUD agrees with this recommendation, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below.

Worksheet Summary

Provide a full description of your determination and a synopsis of the information that it was based on, such as:

- Map panel numbers and dates
- Names of all consulted parties and relevant consultation dates
- Names of plans or reports and relevant page numbers
- Any additional requirements specific to your program or region

Include all documentation supporting your findings in your submission to HUD.

The ReGrow Program intends to alleviate negative economic impacts to, and strengthen, the agricultural industry in Puerto Rico. The project's direct and indirect impacts are limited to a small area on a single land parcel. The project will benefit the farm owner by improving agricultural use and production. The project would not facilitate development that would negatively affect human health or result in disproportionate adverse environmental impacts to low-income or minority populations. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 12898.

EJScreen Community Report

This report provides environmental and socioeconomic information for user-defined areas, and combines that data into environmental justice and supplemental indexes.

Source: Esri, Maxar, Earthstar Geographics, and the G User Community, Esri, HERE, Garmin, IPC

Maricao Municipio, PR

A3 Landscape


LANGUAGES SPOKEN AT HOME

No language data available.	
	No language data available.

1 mile Ring around the Area Population: 377 Area in square miles: 3.45

COMMUNITY INFORMATION

€PA



LIMITED ENGLISH SPEAKING BREAKDOWN

Speak Spanish	100%
Speak Other Indo-European Languages	0%
Speak Asian-Pacific Island Languages	0%
Speak Other Languages	0%


Notes: Numbers may not sum to totals due to rounding. Hispanic population can be of any race. Source: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey (ACS) 2017-2021. Life expectancy data comes from the Centers for Disease Control.

Environmental Justice & Supplemental Indexes

The environmental justice and supplemental indexes are a combination of environmental and socioeconomic information. There are thirteen EJ indexes and supplemental indexes in EJScreen reflecting the 13 environmental indicators. The indexes for a selected area are compared to those for all other locations in the state or nation. For more information and calculation details on the EJ and supplemental indexes, please visit the EJScreen website.

EJ INDEXES


The EJ indexes help users screen for potential EJ concerns. To do this, the EJ index combines data on low income and people of color populations with a single environmental indicator.



EJ INDEXES FOR THE SELECTED LOCATION

SUPPLEMENTAL INDEXES

The supplemental indexes offer a different perspective on community-level vulnerability. They combine data on percent low-income, percent linguistically isolated, percent less than high school education, percent unemploved, and low life expectancy with a single environmental indicator.



SUPPLEMENTAL INDEXES FOR THE SELECTED LOCATION

These percentiles provide perspective on how the selected block group or buffer area compares to the entire state or nation.

Report for 1 mile Ring around the Area

 \equiv

 \equiv

EJScreen Environmental and Socioeconomic Indicators Data

SELECTED VARIABLES	VALUE	STATE AVERAGE	PERCENTILE IN STATE	USA AVERAGE	PERCENTILE IN USA
POLLUTION AND SOURCES					
Particulate Matter (µg/m ³)	N/A	N/A	N/A	8.08	N/A
Ozone (ppb)	N/A	N/A	N/A	61.6	N/A
Diesel Particulate Matter (µg/m ³)	0.0202	0.0667	25	0.261	0
Air Toxics Cancer Risk* (lifetime risk per million)	10	20	0	25	1
Air Toxics Respiratory HI*	0.1	0.19	0	0.31	1
Toxic Releases to Air	100	4,300	11	4,600	24
Traffic Proximity (daily traffic count/distance to road)	1.4	180	0	210	5
Lead Paint (% Pre-1960 Housing)	0.18	0.16	71	0.3	46
Superfund Proximity (site count/km distance)	0.061	0.15	35	0.13	50
RMP Facility Proximity (facility count/km distance)	0.096	0.47	27	0.43	28
Hazardous Waste Proximity (facility count/km distance)		0.76	15	1.9	18
Underground Storage Tanks (count/km ²)		1.7	0	3.9	0
Wastewater Discharge (toxicity-weighted concentration/m distance)	0.00018	2.3	14	22	34
SOCIOECONOMIC INDICATORS					
Demographic Index	97%	83%	91	35%	99
Supplemental Demographic Index	61%	43%	92	14%	99
People of Color	100%	96%	31	39%	98
Low Income	95%	70%	91	31%	99
Unemployment Rate	0%	15%	0	6%	0
Limited English Speaking Households	94%	67%	97	5%	99
Less Than High School Education	53%	21%	98	12%	99
Under Age 5	6%	4%	82	6%	65
Over Age 64	20%	22%	44	17%	68
Low Life Expectancy	N/A	N/A%	N/A	20%	N/A

*Diesel particulate matter, air toxics cancer risk, and air toxics respiratory hazard index are from the EPA's Air Toxics Data Update, which is the Agency's ongoing, comprehensive evaluation of air toxics in the United States. This effort aims to prioritize air toxics, emission sources, and locations of interest for further study. It is important to remember that the air toxics data presented here provide broad estimates of health risks over geographic areas of the country, not definitive risks to specific individuals or locations. Cancer risks and hazard indices from the Air Toxics Data update are reported to one significant figure and any additional significant figures here are due to rounding. More information on the Air Toxics Data Update can be found at: https://www.epa.gov/haps/air-toxics-data-update.

Sites reporting to EPA within defined area:

Superfund	0
Hazardous Waste, Treatment, Storage, and Disposal Facilities	0
Water Dischargers	0
Air Pollution	0
Brownfields	0
Toxic Release Inventory	0

Other community features within defined area:

Schools
Hospitals 0
Places of Worship 0

Other environmental data:

Air Non-attainment	No
Impaired Waters	Yes

Selected location contains American Indian Reservation Lands*	No
Selected location contains a "Justice40 (CEJST)" disadvantaged community	Yes
Selected location contains an EPA IRA disadvantaged community	Yes

Report for 1 mile Ring around the Area

EJScreen Environmental and Socioeconomic Indicators Data

HEALTH INDICATORS					
INDICATOR	HEALTH VALUE	STATE AVERAGE	STATE PERCENTILE	US AVERAGE	US PERCENTILE
Low Life Expectancy	N/A	N/A	N/A	20%	N/A
Heart Disease	N/A	N/A	N/A	6.1	N/A
Asthma	N/A	N/A	N/A	10	N/A
Cancer	N/A	N/A	N/A	6.1	N/A
Persons with Disabilities	7%	21.6%	3	13.4%	12

CLIMATE INDICATORS						
INDICATOR	HEALTH VALUE	STATE AVERAGE	STATE PERCENTILE	US AVERAGE	US PERCENTILE	
Flood Risk	N/A	N/A	N/A	12%	N/A	
Wildfire Risk	N/A	N/A	N/A	14%	N/A	

CRITICAL SERVICE GAPS					
INDICATOR	HEALTH VALUE	STATE AVERAGE	STATE PERCENTILE	US AVERAGE	US PERCENTILE
Broadband Internet	83%	32%	99	14%	99
Lack of Health Insurance	3%	7%	13	9%	21
Housing Burden	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Transportation Access	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Food Desert	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Footnotes

Report for 1 mile Ring around the Area

www.epa.gov/ejscreen

Attachment 15

Sole Source Aquifer Partner Worksheet and Map



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT WASHINGTON, DC 20410-1000

This Worksheet was designed to be used by those "Partners" (including Public Housing Authorities, consultants, contractors, and nonprofits) who assist Responsible Entities and HUD in preparing environmental reviews, but legally cannot take full responsibilities for these reviews themselves. Responsible Entities and HUD should use the RE/HUD version of the Worksheet.

Sole Source Aquifers (CEST and EA) - PARTNER

https://www.hudexchange.info/environmental-review/sole-source-aquifers

1. Is the project located on a sole source aquifer (SSA)¹?

 \boxtimes No \rightarrow If the RE/HUD agrees with this recommendation, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Provide documentation used to make your determination, such as a map of your project or jurisdiction in relation to the nearest SSA.

 \Box Yes \rightarrow Continue to Question 2.

2. Does the project consist solely of acquisition, leasing, or rehabilitation of an existing building(s)? \Box Yes \rightarrow The review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below.

 \Box No \rightarrow Continue to Question 3.

3. Does your region have a memorandum of understanding (MOU) or other working agreement with EPA for HUD projects impacting a sole source aquifer?

Contact your Field or Regional Environmental Officer or visit the HUD webpage at the link above to determine if an MOU or agreement exists in your area.

 \Box Yes \rightarrow Continue to Question 4.

 \Box No \rightarrow Continue to Question 5.

4. Does your MOU or working agreement exclude your project from further review?

 \Box Yes \rightarrow If the RE/HUD agrees with this recommendation, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Provide documentation used to make your determination and document where your project fits within the MOU or agreement.

 \Box No \rightarrow Continue to Question 5.

5. Will the proposed project contaminate the aquifer and create a significant hazard to public health? Consult with your Regional EPA Office. Your consultation request should include detailed information about your proposed project and its relationship to the aquifer and associated streamflow source area.

¹ A sole source aquifer is defined as an aquifer that supplies at least 50 percent of the drinking water consumed in the area overlying the aquifer. This includes streamflow source areas, which are upstream areas of losing streams that flow into the recharge area.

EPA will also want to know about water, storm water and waste water at the proposed project. Follow your MOU or working agreement or contact your Regional EPA office for specific information you may need to provide. EPA may request additional information if impacts to the aquifer are questionable after this information is submitted for review.

- \square No \rightarrow If the RE/HUD agrees with this recommendation, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Provide your correspondence with the EPA and all documents used to make your determination.
- □Yes → The RE/HUD will work with EPA to develop mitigation measures. If mitigation measures are approved, attach correspondence with EPA and include the mitigation measures in your environmental review documents and project contracts. If EPA determines that the project continues to pose a significant risk to the aquifer, federal financial assistance must be denied. Continue to Question 6.


Worksheet Summary

Provide a full description of your determination and a synopsis of the information that it was based on, such as:

- Map panel numbers and dates
- Names of all consulted parties and relevant consultation dates
- Names of plans or reports and relevant page numbers
- Any additional requirements specific to your program or region

Include all documentation supporting your findings in your submission to HUD.

According to the USEPA's Source Water Protection, Sole Source Aquifer Protection Program, there are no sole source aquifers in Puerto Rico. No further evaluation is required. The project is in compliance with the Safe Drinking Water Act.



Appendix C

Environmental Site Inspection Report





ENVIRONMENTAL FIELD ASSESSMENT FORM





Applicant Name: Reynaldo Ruiz Feliciano	Program ID: PR-RGRW-01322
Project Coordinates: 18.158378, -66.897569	Parcel ID:
Parcel Address:	Municipio:
Zip Code	

	I (* D (10/05/0000
Inspector Name: Armando Ramos	Inspection Date: 10/25/2023

General Site Conditions

Was property accessible by vehicle?	Yes / No	Comment:
Access issues?	Yes / <mark>No</mark>	Comment: None / Examples of manual entry: Dogs, Locked gate, Traffic hazards, Uncooperative residents, Confrontational Neighbors.
Are water wells present?	Yes / <mark>No</mark>	Comment:
Are creeks or ponds present?	Yes / <mark>No</mark>	Comment:
Are any potential wetlands on- site or visible on adjacent parcel?	Yes / <mark>No</mark>	Comment:

Parcel Conditions

Note - for Any Yes answers specify type, contents and location

Do any of the proposed project work areas show evidence of site preparation?	Yes / <mark>No</mark>	Comment: If yes, ask applicant when the preparation work was completed.
Are commercial or industrial hazardous facilities at parcel or within visual sight?	Yes / <mark>No</mark>	Comment:
Are there signs of underground storage tanks?	Yes / <mark>No</mark>	Comment:
Are above-ground tanks >10 gallons present? If Yes, also state condition.	<mark>Yes</mark> / No	Comment: 2 aboveground cisterns 600-gallons each.
Are 55-gallon drums present? If Yes, also state condition.	<mark>Yes</mark> / No	Comment: For trash disposal.





ENVIRONMENTAL FIELD ASSESSMENT FORM ReGrow



Are abandoned vehicles or electrical equipment present?	Yes / <mark>No</mark>	Comment:
Is other potential environmentally hazardous debris on the parcel?	Yes / <mark>No</mark>	Comment:
Is there non-environmentally hazardous debris on the parcel?	Yes / <mark>No</mark>	Comment:
Are any leaks, soil stains, or stressed vegetation present associated with any of the above or separately?	Yes / <mark>No</mark>	Comment:
Are there any pungent, foul or noxious odors?	Yes / <mark>No</mark>	Comment:
Are there any potentially hazardous trees that could fall?	Yes / <mark>No</mark>	Comment:
Are any bird nests visible?	<mark>Yes</mark> / No	Comment: There are a few chickens and chicken nests near the site for the water well and cistern.
Are there any animal burrows visible?	Yes / <mark>No</mark>	Comment:
Are there any buildings in direct visual sight of the project locations?	<mark>Yes</mark> / No	Comment: Applicant's property, garage, and shop where he sells agricultural products. All built before applicant bought property over 30 years ago.

Additional Needs Analysis

Based on the above findings, does additional information need to be obtained from the applicant to determine whether an environmental hazard is present?	Yes / <mark>No</mark>	Comment:
--	--------------------------	----------

 \boxtimes I verify that I have physically visited this property and that the findings outlined above are accurate.

Inspector Signature Armando Ramos {Inspector Name} Armando Ramos {Inspection Date} 10/25/2023

Project #: PR-RGRW-01322	Photographer: Armando Ramos
Location Address: Carr 105 km 42.1 Indiera Baja,	Coordinates: 18.158378, -66.897569
Maricao, PR 00606	

Photo #: 01	Date: 10/25/2 023	
Photo Dire	ection:	
Sou	ıth	
Description	n:	
Project ove	erview for	
site locatio		
water well	4x4in.	



Project #: PR-RGRW-01322	Photographer: Armando Ramos
Location Address: Carr 105 km 42.1 Indiera Baja,	Coordinates: 18.158378, -66.897569
Maricao, PR 00606	

Photo #: 03	Date: 10/25/2 023	
Photo Dire	ection:	
South	west	
Descriptio	n:	
Northeast	corner of	
site locatio	on for	
water well	4x4in.	



Project #: PR-RGRW-01322	Photographer: Armando Ramos
Location Address: Carr 105 km 42.1 Indiera Baja,	Coordinates: 18.158378, -66.897569
Maricao, PR 00606	

Photo #: 05	Date: 10/25/2 023	
Photo Dire	ection:	
North	neast	
Descriptio	n:	
Southwest	corner	
of site loca	ition for	
water well	4x4in.	



Project #: PR-RGRW-01322	Photographer: Armando Ramos
Location Address: Carr 105 km 42.1 Indiera Baja,	Coordinates: 18.158378, -66.897569
Maricao, PR 00606	

Photo #: 07	Date: 10/25/2 023	
Photo Dire	ection: st	
Descriptio Center poi water wel	n: nt for	

Photo #: 08	Date: 10/25/2 023	
Photo Dire	ection:	
Sou	ıth	
Descriptio	n:	
Center point for		
water well		

Project #: PR-RGRW-01322	Photographer: Armando Ramos
Location Address: Carr 105 km 42.1 Indiera Baja,	Coordinates: 18.158378, -66.897569
Maricao, PR 00606	






Project #: PR-RGRW-01322	Photographer: Armando Ramos
Location Address: Carr 105 km 42.1 Indiera Baja,	Coordinates: 18.158378, -66.897569
Maricao, PR 00606	

Photo	Date:	
#:		
11	10/25/2023	
Photo Di	rection:	
Sou	uthwest	
Descripti	ion:	
East corn	ner of site	
location for 600-		
gallon cis	stern.	


Photo #: 12	Date: 10/25/2023		
Photo Direction:			
Description: East corner of site location for 600- gallon cistern.			

Project #: PR-RGRW-01322	Photographer: Armando Ramos
Location Address: Carr 105 km 42.1 Indiera Baja,	Coordinates: 18.158378, -66.897569
Maricao, PR 00606	





Project #: PR-RGRW-01322	Photographer: Armando Ramos
Location Address: Carr 105 km 42.1 Indiera Baja,	Coordinates: 18.158378, -66.897569
Maricao, PR 00606	





Project #: PR-RGRW-01322	Photographer: Armando Ramos
Location Address: Carr 105 km 42.1 Indiera Baja,	Coordinates: 18.158378, -66.897569
Maricao, PR 00606	

Photo #: 17	Date: 10/25/2023	
Photo D	irection:	
-	South	
Description: Source for electric connection for water pump. Structure built over 30 years ago. 600-gallon cistern on roof.		



Project #: PR-RGRW-01322	Photographer: Armando Ramos
Location Address: Carr 105 km 42.1 Indiera Baja,	Coordinates: 18.158378, -66.897569
Maricao, PR 00606	



